

Exhibit C



Planet Depos®
We Make It *Happen™*

Transcript of Hao-y Tran Froemling, Designated Representative

Date: October 4, 2018

Case: El Hady, et al. -v- Kable, et al.

Planet Depos

Phone: 888.433.3767

Email: transcripts@planetdepos.com

www.planetdepos.com

Transcript of Hao-y Tran Froemling, Designated Representative 1 (1 to 4)
Conducted on October 4, 2018

1	<p>1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT</p> <p>2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA</p> <p>3 ALEXANDRIA DIVISION</p> <p>4 - - - - - x</p> <p>5 ANAS ELHADY, et al., :</p> <p>6 Plaintiffs, :</p> <p>7 v. : Case No.</p> <p>8 CHARLES H. KABLE, : 16-cv-00375</p> <p>9 Director of the :</p> <p>10 Terrorist Screening :</p> <p>11 Center; in his :</p> <p>12 official capacity, et :</p> <p>13 al.; :</p> <p>14 Defendants. :</p> <p>15 - - - - - x</p> <p>16 Deposition of Hao-y Tran Froemling</p> <p>17 Washington, DC</p> <p>18 Thursday, October 4, 2018</p> <p>19 10:40 a.m.</p> <p>20 Job No.: 209464</p> <p>21 Pages: 1 - 107</p> <p>22 Reported By: Katy M. Zamora, CRR, RMR</p>	3
2	<p>1 A P P E A R A N C E S</p> <p>2 ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFFS:</p> <p>3 CAROLYN HOMER, ESQUIRE</p> <p>4 GADEIR ABBAS, ESQUIRE</p> <p>5 LENA F. MASRI, ESQUIRE (via telephone)</p> <p>6 COUNCIL ON AMERICAN-ISLAMIC RELATIONS</p> <p>7 453 New Jersey Avenue, Southeast</p> <p>8 Washington, DC 20003</p> <p>9 (202) 488-0833</p> <p>10</p> <p>11 ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANTS:</p> <p>12 ANTONIA KONKOLY, ESQUIRE</p> <p>13 CHRISTOPHER HEALY, ESQUIRE</p> <p>14 UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE</p> <p>15 1100 L Street, Northwest</p> <p>16 Washington, DC 20005</p> <p>17 (202) 514-2000</p> <p>18</p> <p>19</p> <p>20</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p>	4
2	<p>1 Deposition of Hao-y Tran Froemling held at the</p> <p>2 offices of:</p> <p>3</p> <p>4</p> <p>5 U.S. Department of Justice</p> <p>6 Civil Division</p> <p>7 1100 L Street, Northwest</p> <p>8 Washington, DC 20005</p> <p>9 (202) 514-2000</p> <p>10</p> <p>11</p> <p>12</p> <p>13</p> <p>14 Pursuant to notice, before Katy M. Zamora, CRR,</p> <p>15 RMR, Notary Public in and for the District of</p> <p>16 Columbia.</p> <p>17</p> <p>18</p> <p>19</p> <p>20</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p>	4
2	<p>1 A P P E A R A N C E S C O N T I N U E D</p> <p>2 ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANTS:</p> <p>3 CONSTANTINA GLOVER, ESQUIRE</p> <p>4 RACHEL MANN, ESQUIRE</p> <p>5 U.S. CUSTOMS AND BORDER PROTECTION</p> <p>6 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY</p> <p>7 1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, Northwest</p> <p>8 Suite 4.4B</p> <p>9 Washington, DC 20229</p> <p>10 (202) 344-3694</p> <p>11</p> <p>12 JENNIFER GREENBAND, ESQUIRE</p> <p>13 KEVIN HOULIHAN, ESQUIRE</p> <p>14 KATHLEEN GANNON, ESQUIRE</p> <p>15 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY</p> <p>16 TRANSPORTATION SECURITY ADMINISTRATION</p> <p>17 601 South 12th Street</p> <p>18 12th Floor, East Tower</p> <p>19 Arlington, Virginia 20598</p> <p>20 (571) 227-4294</p> <p>21 ALSO PRESENT:</p> <p>22 JOSEPH VELAZQUEZ, Videographer</p>	4

Transcript of Hao-y Tran Froemling, Designated Representative 2 (5 to 8)
Conducted on October 4, 2018

5	7
<p>1 C O N T E N T S</p> <p>2 EXAMINATION OF HAO-Y TRAN FROEMLING PAGE</p> <p>3 By Ms. Homer 6</p> <p>4</p> <p>5</p> <p>6 E X H I B I T S</p> <p>7 (Attached to the transcript)</p> <p>8 FROEMLING DEPOSITION EXHIBITS PAGE</p> <p>9 Exhibit 1 Notice of 30(B)(6) Deposition 8</p> <p>10 Exhibit 2 Froemling Declaration 19</p> <p>11 Exhibit 3 Quiet Skies Program Description 58</p> <p>12 Exhibit 4 NPR Story 101</p> <p>13</p> <p>14</p> <p>15</p> <p>16</p> <p>17</p> <p>18</p> <p>19</p> <p>20</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p>	<p>1 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. Would -- the court</p> <p>2 reporter today is Katy Zamora representing Planet</p> <p>3 Depos. Would the reporter please swear in the</p> <p>4 witness?</p> <p>5 Whereupon,</p> <p>6 HAO-Y TRAN FROEMLING,</p> <p>7 being first duly sworn or affirmed to testify to the</p> <p>8 truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth,</p> <p>9 was examined and testified as follows:</p> <p>10 EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS</p> <p>11 BY MS. HOMER:</p> <p>12 Q Okay. Ms. Froemling, I deposed you as a</p> <p>13 representative of the TSA back in March, correct?</p> <p>14 A Correct.</p> <p>15 Q You remember that?</p> <p>16 A Yes.</p> <p>17 Q And so you remember generally how a</p> <p>18 deposition works?</p> <p>19 A Yes.</p> <p>20 Q Okay. You understand you're under oath?</p> <p>21 A Yes.</p> <p>22 Q Is there any medical or other reason</p>
6	8
<p>1 P R O C E E D I N G S</p> <p>2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Here begins disc number</p> <p>3 one in the videotaped deposition of Hao-y Tran</p> <p>4 Froemling in the matter of Elhady, et al.,</p> <p>5 v. Kable, et al., in the United States District</p> <p>6 Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, case</p> <p>7 number 16-cv-375.</p> <p>8 Today's date is October 4, 2018.</p> <p>9 The time on the video monitor is 10:40 a.m.</p> <p>10 The videographer today is Joseph Velazquez</p> <p>11 representing Planet Depos.</p> <p>12 This video deposition is taking place at</p> <p>13 1100 L Street, Northwest, Washington, D.C.</p> <p>14 Would counsel please voice identify</p> <p>15 themselves and state who they represent.</p> <p>16 MS. HOMER: This is Carolyn Homer with the</p> <p>17 Council on American-Islamic Relations representing</p> <p>18 plaintiffs; and joining me shortly will be Gadeir</p> <p>19 Abbas, also for plaintiffs; and on the phone is Lena</p> <p>20 Masri for plaintiffs.</p> <p>21 MS. KONKOLY: Antonia Konkoly for the U.S.</p> <p>22 Department of Justice for the defendants.</p>	<p>1 preventing you from providing truthful testimony</p> <p>2 today?</p> <p>3 A No.</p> <p>4 Q Can you turn in your binder to what's marked</p> <p>5 as tab one.</p> <p>6 (Froemling Deposition Exhibit 1 was</p> <p>7 marked for identification and attached to the</p> <p>8 transcript.)</p> <p>9 Q Do you recognize this deposition notice?</p> <p>10 A Yes.</p> <p>11 Q Have you seen it before today?</p> <p>12 A Yes.</p> <p>13 Q Are you prepared to testify on behalf of the</p> <p>14 TSA on the three topics listed on this notice?</p> <p>15 A Yes.</p> <p>16 Q Okay. As an initial matter, Ms. Froemling,</p> <p>17 the Federal Air Marshal Service is the subcomponent</p> <p>18 of the TSA, correct?</p> <p>19 A Correct.</p> <p>20 Q Okay. And the TSA has the authority to</p> <p>21 direct the actions of the Federal Air Marshal</p> <p>22 Service?</p>

Conducted on October 4, 2018

<p style="text-align: right;">9</p> <p>1 A Correct.</p> <p>2 Q Okay. Ms. Froemling, does the TSA engage in</p> <p>3 intelligence collection on passengers in airports?</p> <p>4 A No.</p> <p>5 MS. KONKOLY: Can I get an objection?</p> <p>6 MS. HOMER: Yes.</p> <p>7 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as the</p> <p>8 complete answer would call for information protected</p> <p>9 by SSI. You can answer the best you can.</p> <p>10 A No.</p> <p>11 Q What is Federal Air Marshal special mission</p> <p>12 coverage?</p> <p>13 A So --</p> <p>14 MS. KONKOLY: I'm sorry. Objection insofar</p> <p>15 as the complete answer would call for information</p> <p>16 protected by SSI. You can answer insofar as you</p> <p>17 can.</p> <p>18 A So the Federal Air Marshals are charged with</p> <p>19 the mission of securing the aircraft for aviation</p> <p>20 security and protection of passengers and crew. So</p> <p>21 part of their -- their mission is to be on board</p> <p>22 flights, and that includes special mission coverage</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">11</p> <p>1 Q What is suspicious activity?</p> <p>2 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as that</p> <p>3 answer -- the complete answer would call for any</p> <p>4 information protected by SSI. You can answer to the</p> <p>5 extent that you can.</p> <p>6 A So related to as -- our Federal Air Marshals</p> <p>7 are law enforcement officers, and they are trained</p> <p>8 to observe activities of passengers and securing the</p> <p>9 cabin of the aircraft, as well as to secure the</p> <p>10 aviation security.</p> <p>11 They are looking for, as part of their law</p> <p>12 enforcement activities, any activities that may</p> <p>13 potentially cause harm or endanger the aircraft or</p> <p>14 passengers and crew. So their suspicious activity</p> <p>15 would be actions that seem out of the ordinary or</p> <p>16 anomalous behavior, something that could potentially</p> <p>17 endanger.</p> <p>18 Q If a Federal Air Marshal observes suspicious</p> <p>19 activity, do they document that in a report?</p> <p>20 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as the</p> <p>21 answer calls for any information protected by SSI.</p> <p>22 You can answer to the extent that you can.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">10</p> <p>1 when they are assigned to flights to protect</p> <p>2 aviation security.</p> <p>3 Q As part of special mission coverage, do</p> <p>4 Federal Air Marshals observe the behavior of</p> <p>5 specific passengers?</p> <p>6 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as the</p> <p>7 complete answer would call for any information</p> <p>8 protected by SSI. You can answer insofar as you</p> <p>9 can.</p> <p>10 A As also referencing in my declaration that</p> <p>11 the Federal Air Marshals are charged with, as part</p> <p>12 of their security and law enforcement purposes,</p> <p>13 observing passengers.</p> <p>14 Q Okay. Do Federal Air Marshals prepare</p> <p>15 reports on the observations they engage in of</p> <p>16 passengers?</p> <p>17 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as the</p> <p>18 answer calls for any information protected by SSI.</p> <p>19 Again, you can answer to the extent that you can.</p> <p>20 A So to the extent if they are noting any</p> <p>21 suspicious activity, they do prepare reports related</p> <p>22 to their mission and deployments.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">12</p> <p>1 A They do make notes if there is suspicious</p> <p>2 activity.</p> <p>3 Q What are those reports called?</p> <p>4 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as the</p> <p>5 answer would call for any information protected by</p> <p>6 SSI. You can answer to the extent that you can.</p> <p>7 A I do not know the actual name of the report,</p> <p>8 but it's primarily their FAMS mission reports.</p> <p>9 Q FAMS mission reports, okay.</p> <p>10 A High level.</p> <p>11 Q Are FAMS mission reports ever provided to</p> <p>12 intelligence agencies?</p> <p>13 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as the</p> <p>14 answer would call for any information protected by</p> <p>15 SSI. You can answer to the extent that you can.</p> <p>16 A At a high level related to their law</p> <p>17 enforcement duties as part of aviation security and</p> <p>18 homeland security mission. If there is information</p> <p>19 that rises to the level, depending on what it is</p> <p>20 that's identified requires further referral or</p> <p>21 investigation, they will provide it if it's -- for</p> <p>22 example, if it's related to terrorist-related</p>

Conducted on October 4, 2018

<p style="text-align: right;">13</p> <p>1 activities, it may be referred to other agencies.</p> <p>2 Q Why do FAMS mission reports provided to</p> <p>3 other agencies, including intelligence agencies, not</p> <p>4 constitute intelligence collection on passengers at</p> <p>5 airports?</p> <p>6 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as the</p> <p>7 answer calls for any information protected by SSI.</p> <p>8 You can answer to the extent that you can.</p> <p>9 A So the FAMS are performing -- their primary</p> <p>10 objective is to secure and provide aviation security</p> <p>11 and law enforcement -- provide the law enforcement</p> <p>12 presence to secure the aircraft, protect passengers</p> <p>13 and crew on flights.</p> <p>14 Their primary purpose is to thwart any</p> <p>15 potential harm that may come in that mission.</p> <p>16 Should any activities occur, be it -- whether it's</p> <p>17 law enforcement related or other -- if it rises to</p> <p>18 terrorist activity or something that occurs, that is</p> <p>19 the point at which it would be forwarded.</p> <p>20 It is not intelligence collection. Their</p> <p>21 purpose is not to observe and perform intelligence</p> <p>22 collection. As stated in my prior testimony, we do</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">15</p> <p>1 information and further review.</p> <p>2 Q So in some circumstances, particularly</p> <p>3 related to terrorism, FAMS do provide information to</p> <p>4 intelligence agencies?</p> <p>5 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as the</p> <p>6 answer calls for any information protected by SSI.</p> <p>7 Objection, mischaracterizes prior testimony. You</p> <p>8 can answer to the extent that you can.</p> <p>9 A Correct. Information that is observed in</p> <p>10 the course of performing their law enforcement and</p> <p>11 aviation security mission, they will, if necessary,</p> <p>12 be referred to the appropriate agency. Same thing</p> <p>13 if criminal activity is observed, it is forwarded to</p> <p>14 the appropriate law enforcement agencies. If there</p> <p>15 is information that may rise and be related to</p> <p>16 terrorist-related activities, it may be referred to</p> <p>17 intelligence agencies, to answer your question.</p> <p>18 Q What are the intelligence agencies that the</p> <p>19 Federal Air Marshal Service may supply information</p> <p>20 to?</p> <p>21 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as the</p> <p>22 answer calls for any information protected by SSI.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">14</p> <p>1 not perform intelligence collection. The FAMS are</p> <p>2 performing their law enforcement duties to observe</p> <p>3 passengers and aircraft and monitor for potential</p> <p>4 activities that may cause harm. That is not</p> <p>5 intelligence collection. That is performing law</p> <p>6 enforcement and aviation security mission.</p> <p>7 Q In the course of FAMS performing their law</p> <p>8 enforcement mission, would it be fair to</p> <p>9 characterize some of the information they obtained</p> <p>10 during that mission as intelligence?</p> <p>11 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as the</p> <p>12 answer would call for any information protected by</p> <p>13 SSI. You can answer to the extent that you can.</p> <p>14 A In the broader scheme, as part of their law</p> <p>15 enforcement mission, they are securing the aircraft.</p> <p>16 If there's additional information that is later</p> <p>17 determined to warrant further investigation or rises</p> <p>18 to the level of providing additional information</p> <p>19 related to terrorist activity or other capabilities</p> <p>20 or operational activities that relate to adversarial</p> <p>21 actions, that could be -- become then</p> <p>22 intelligence -- provided for intelligence</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">16</p> <p>1 You can answer to the extent that you can.</p> <p>2 A So broadly, it would depend on the</p> <p>3 information. When it rises to terrorist-related</p> <p>4 activities, we do share that information with the</p> <p>5 FBI and the National Counterterrorism Center, but it</p> <p>6 would very much depend on the information on a</p> <p>7 particular activity.</p> <p>8 Q Does the TSA maintain any list separate from</p> <p>9 the terrorist screening database, which are designed</p> <p>10 to identify high-risk passengers?</p> <p>11 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as the</p> <p>12 complete answer would call for any information</p> <p>13 protected by SSI, and objection also as to the scope</p> <p>14 of the deposition, but you can answer to the extent</p> <p>15 that you can.</p> <p>16 A So related to my prior testimony, we had</p> <p>17 discussed rules-based passengers where they are not</p> <p>18 specific lists direct. However, we do have</p> <p>19 high-risk passengers where they may be derived based</p> <p>20 on rules that then are utilized to identify</p> <p>21 high-risk passengers.</p> <p>22 And to your question of any other lists</p>

Conducted on October 4, 2018

<p style="text-align: right;">17</p> <p>1 outside of the TSDB, keeping within the scope, in my</p> <p>2 prior testimony we had also discussed that there are</p> <p>3 other watch lists, to include the CDC and "Do Not</p> <p>4 Board" lists, that would be deemed higher risk.</p> <p>5 Q What is Quiet Skies?</p> <p>6 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as the</p> <p>7 answer would call for any information protected by</p> <p>8 SSI. You can answer to the extent that you can.</p> <p>9 A So per my declaration, the Quiet Skies is</p> <p>10 one of TSA's high-risk, rules-based passenger</p> <p>11 programs related to TSA's risk-based,</p> <p>12 intelligence-driven rules to identify passengers</p> <p>13 that may present an elevated risk.</p> <p>14 Q And is every passenger currently subject to</p> <p>15 Quiet Skies rules compiled on a single list</p> <p>16 somewhere?</p> <p>17 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as the</p> <p>18 answer calls for any information protected by SSI.</p> <p>19 You can answer to the extent that you can.</p> <p>20 A So as discussed previously, it is not a list</p> <p>21 direct similar to the watch list. What we have is</p> <p>22 we run a series of risk-based, intelligence-driven</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">19</p> <p>1 A So as discussed in my declaration, we have</p> <p>2 international-based and international-based subsets</p> <p>3 for domestic screening operations, which is Quiet</p> <p>4 Skies. We also have -- the name for the</p> <p>5 international-based rules is Silent Partner.</p> <p>6 Q I will just confess, I'm slightly confused.</p> <p>7 You used the word "international" for both of those.</p> <p>8 So what's the difference between Quiet Skies and</p> <p>9 Silent Partner?</p> <p>10 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as that the</p> <p>11 answer calls for any information protected by SSI,</p> <p>12 but you can answer to the extent that you can.</p> <p>13 A So may I refer to my declaration?</p> <p>14 Q Yeah. Let's go ahead and just mark that.</p> <p>15 So the -- it's tab two we will mark as Exhibit 2.</p> <p>16 (Froemling Deposition Exhibit 2 was</p> <p>17 marked for identification and attached to the</p> <p>18 transcript.)</p> <p>19 A So on page 7 of 14, section 14, I discussed,</p> <p>20 we have rules that designate passengers on</p> <p>21 international flights for enhanced screening. As I</p> <p>22 just mentioned, that is our reference to Silent</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">18</p> <p>1 rules that then derive -- are matched up against a</p> <p>2 list of passengers. So, in effect, in order to</p> <p>3 execute it via our secure flight program, there is a</p> <p>4 list in order to be able to effect Quiet Skies and</p> <p>5 designate passengers for higher risk and enhanced</p> <p>6 screening.</p> <p>7 Q In addition to Quiet Skies, does the TSA</p> <p>8 have any other programs based off of the operation</p> <p>9 of high-risk rules?</p> <p>10 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as the</p> <p>11 answer calls for any information protected by SSI.</p> <p>12 You can answer to the extent that you can.</p> <p>13 A Yes. So per my declaration, Quiet Skies is</p> <p>14 one of our rules-based programs. Yes, we do have --</p> <p>15 Quiet Skies is one of our rules-based programs to</p> <p>16 identify higher risk passengers.</p> <p>17 Q What are the names of the TSA's other</p> <p>18 rules-based programs to identify high-risk</p> <p>19 passengers?</p> <p>20 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as an answer</p> <p>21 calls for any information protected by SSI, but you</p> <p>22 can answer to the extent that you can.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">20</p> <p>1 Partner. Quiet Skies international rules that apply</p> <p>2 to domestic screening operations are Quiet Skies</p> <p>3 rules.</p> <p>4 Q If a flight is inbound from an international</p> <p>5 flight to the United States, is that governed by</p> <p>6 Quiet Skies or Silent Partner?</p> <p>7 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as the</p> <p>8 answer could call for any information protected by</p> <p>9 SSI, but you can answer to the extent that you can.</p> <p>10 A As discussed, as that relates to</p> <p>11 international, that is Silent Partner.</p> <p>12 Q Okay. And if a flight is leaving the United</p> <p>13 States and flying to a foreign country, is that also</p> <p>14 Silent Partner?</p> <p>15 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as the</p> <p>16 answer calls for any information protected by SSI.</p> <p>17 You can answer to the extent that you can.</p> <p>18 A So that applies to our domestic screening</p> <p>19 operations, so that is Quiet Skies.</p> <p>20 Q Okay. And if a flight is simply between two</p> <p>21 domestic airports, is that also Quiet Skies?</p> <p>22 MS. KONKOLY: Again, objection insofar as</p>

Conducted on October 4, 2018

<p style="text-align: right;">21</p> <p>1 the answer calls for anything protected by SSI, but</p> <p>2 you can answer to the extent that you can.</p> <p>3 A Quiet Skies refers to our domestic screening</p> <p>4 operations, yes.</p> <p>5 Q And for -- does TSA perform any rules-based</p> <p>6 screening on international airport to international</p> <p>7 airport flights?</p> <p>8 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as the</p> <p>9 answer would call for any information protected by</p> <p>10 SSI. You can answer to the extent that you can.</p> <p>11 A So just to clarify your question, does TSA</p> <p>12 perform rule-based prescreening or physical</p> <p>13 screening? I just want to clarify the question.</p> <p>14 Sorry.</p> <p>15 Q Yeah. Does the TSA run any rules against</p> <p>16 the itineraries of individuals on</p> <p>17 international-to-international flights?</p> <p>18 MS. KONKOLY: And I'm going to object that</p> <p>19 that question calls for information protected by SSI</p> <p>20 and instruct the witness not to answer on that</p> <p>21 basis.</p> <p>22 Q Does the TSA cooperate with foreign</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">23</p> <p>1 question calls for any information protected by SSI,</p> <p>2 also as to the scope of the designated topics for</p> <p>3 this deposition, but you can answer if you can.</p> <p>4 A So at a high level TSA does not perform the</p> <p>5 screening abroad, so for international passengers</p> <p>6 there is a cooperation where we have requirements on</p> <p>7 carriers abroad to -- and airports' requirements to</p> <p>8 perform screening prior to passengers boarding</p> <p>9 aircraft.</p> <p>10 So insofar as TSA -- and I also discussed in</p> <p>11 my prior testimony -- provides the designation of</p> <p>12 whether individuals should receive enhanced</p> <p>13 screening, that information shared is not specific</p> <p>14 to just the rules. TSA provides back to the</p> <p>15 carriers which passengers are required to undergo</p> <p>16 enhanced screening.</p> <p>17 Q So foreign governments conducting screening</p> <p>18 of international passengers are made aware that the</p> <p>19 TSA requires enhanced screening on those passengers?</p> <p>20 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as the</p> <p>21 answer calls for any information protected by SSI,</p> <p>22 and, again, as to the scope of the designated topics</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">22</p> <p>1 governments in the operation of Silent Partner?</p> <p>2 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as that</p> <p>3 question calls for any information protected by SSI,</p> <p>4 but you can answer to the extent that you can.</p> <p>5 A So just to confirm the question, does the</p> <p>6 TSA cooperate with other foreign governments? TSA</p> <p>7 operates Silent Partner. Know that is primarily TSA</p> <p>8 executing that. It is not with foreign governments.</p> <p>9 Q Does the TSA share the results of the</p> <p>10 operation of the high-risk Silent Partner rules with</p> <p>11 any foreign government agencies?</p> <p>12 MS. KONKOLY: And, again, objection insofar</p> <p>13 as the answer calls for any information protected by</p> <p>14 SSI. You can answer to the extent that you can.</p> <p>15 A So TSA by its policy and procedures, we do</p> <p>16 not, as a norm, share any of the results of the</p> <p>17 rules direct to the rules. What we do is we operate</p> <p>18 these rules-based programs to identify passengers</p> <p>19 requiring enhanced screening. So we're -- go ahead.</p> <p>20 Q Does the TSA tell any foreign governments</p> <p>21 that they should subject international passengers to</p> <p>22 enhanced screening? Objection insofar as that</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">24</p> <p>1 for the deposition, but you can answer if you can.</p> <p>2 A Right. So per my prior statement, to the</p> <p>3 extent that they are made aware that there are</p> <p>4 individuals that TSA has designated for enhanced</p> <p>5 screening, they are aware and made aware via the</p> <p>6 designation via TSA to the carrier of passengers</p> <p>7 that have been designated to receive enhanced</p> <p>8 screening.</p> <p>9 Q Where did the name Silent Partner come from?</p> <p>10 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as that</p> <p>11 question calls for any information protected by SSI,</p> <p>12 but you can answer if you can.</p> <p>13 A I'm not sure I can answer other than as TSA</p> <p>14 worked to implement the execution. I was not there</p> <p>15 when they were creating the name.</p> <p>16 Q Yeah. Who's the "partner" in Silent</p> <p>17 Partner?</p> <p>18 MS. KONKOLY: Objection, vague. Objection</p> <p>19 insofar as the answer could call for any information</p> <p>20 protected by SSI. You can answer if you can.</p> <p>21 A It is not intended to be -- there is no</p> <p>22 direct partner. The intent is that Silent Partner</p>

Conducted on October 4, 2018

<p style="text-align: right;">25</p> <p>1 are those individuals on international-related</p> <p>2 flights that have been identified via TSA's</p> <p>3 high-risk rules that require enhanced screening.</p> <p>4 There's no intended partner that I am aware of.</p> <p>5 Q Does partner --</p> <p>6 MS. KONKOLY: Can I just interject to say</p> <p>7 that the whispering from counsel is somewhat</p> <p>8 distracting, and we'd appreciate if you could pass</p> <p>9 notes instead.</p> <p>10 MR. ABBAS: There's going to be some</p> <p>11 whispering.</p> <p>12 MS. KONKOLY: Not during the witness'</p> <p>13 testimony, please.</p> <p>14 MR. ABBAS: Go ahead.</p> <p>15 Q Why is Silent Partner called silent?</p> <p>16 MS. KONKOLY: Objection. Asked -- I'm</p> <p>17 sorry. Objection, vague. Objection insofar as the</p> <p>18 answer calls for any information protected by SSI.</p> <p>19 You can answer if you can.</p> <p>20 A I do not have the information regarding how</p> <p>21 the name was decided. I can answer to the construct</p> <p>22 of they are high-risk rules. They're designated to</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">27</p> <p>1 A As I've previously stated, I do not have the</p> <p>2 background regarding the creation of the actual</p> <p>3 name. It is intended to and as part of my</p> <p>4 declaration for international flights and travelers</p> <p>5 we coordinate to identify rules that would identify</p> <p>6 potential travel patterns or terrorist-related --</p> <p>7 terrorist-related travel patterns or tradecraft and</p> <p>8 identify rules that may designate passengers that</p> <p>9 would have an elevated risk. So --</p> <p>10 Q You just said we coordinate. Who is the --</p> <p>11 A We, TSA.</p> <p>12 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as the</p> <p>13 question calls for any information protected by SSI.</p> <p>14 Q Let me kind of make the question more</p> <p>15 precise for the record. You just said we</p> <p>16 coordinate. Who is the "we" that is coordinating on</p> <p>17 the rules?</p> <p>18 MS. KONKOLY: And let me put the objection</p> <p>19 in, insofar as it calls for any information</p> <p>20 protected by SSI, but you can answer if you can.</p> <p>21 A So TSA, the Office of Intelligence and</p> <p>22 Analysis, reviews current intelligence purporting to</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">26</p> <p>1 identify and implemented to mitigate threats to</p> <p>2 aviation security and to homeland security, identify</p> <p>3 passengers that may present a higher risk.</p> <p>4 Q In addition to Quiet Skies and Silent</p> <p>5 Partner, does the TSA have any other programs that</p> <p>6 are based on the operation of high-risk rules?</p> <p>7 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as the</p> <p>8 question calls for any information protected by SSI.</p> <p>9 You can answer to the extent that you can.</p> <p>10 A So per my declaration, we know we have</p> <p>11 Silent Partner and Quiet Skies that are the</p> <p>12 operations of our high-risk rules for identifying</p> <p>13 high-risk passengers.</p> <p>14 Q So it's just those two?</p> <p>15 MS. KONKOLY: Same objections.</p> <p>16 A Yes.</p> <p>17 Q What is your understanding of who or what is</p> <p>18 the partner in Silent Partner?</p> <p>19 MS. KONKOLY: Objection, asked and answered.</p> <p>20 Objection, vague. Objection insofar as the question</p> <p>21 calls for any information protected by SSI, but you</p> <p>22 can answer if you can.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">28</p> <p>1 identify threats to aviation security and to</p> <p>2 homeland security. We identify potential rules that</p> <p>3 would mitigate threats that have been identified,</p> <p>4 and I derived the rules. The coordination, as</p> <p>5 discussed in my declaration, is we do work with CBP</p> <p>6 to implement the rules.</p> <p>7 So when I say coordinate, we use their</p> <p>8 system, ATS, to implement our rules to identify</p> <p>9 high-risk passengers on international flights and</p> <p>10 then the subset is Quiet Skies for our domestic</p> <p>11 operations, which is implemented by TSA.</p> <p>12 Q In addition to CBP, does the TSA coordinate</p> <p>13 with any other federal foreign agencies --</p> <p>14 MS. KONKOLY: Objection --</p> <p>15 Q -- in the creation or implementation of</p> <p>16 high-risk rules?</p> <p>17 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as the</p> <p>18 answer calls for information protected by SSI. You</p> <p>19 can answer -- and law enforcement privilege. You</p> <p>20 can answer to the extent that you can.</p> <p>21 A TSA derives the rules. TSA does an</p> <p>22 independent assessment of the intelligence and</p>

Conducted on October 4, 2018

<p style="text-align: right;">29</p> <p>1 creates the rules. We do not coordinate the</p> <p>2 creation of the rules with any -- with other federal</p> <p>3 agencies or foreign governments, to answer your</p> <p>4 question.</p> <p>5 Q What sources of intelligence is TSA deriving</p> <p>6 the rules from?</p> <p>7 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as the</p> <p>8 answer calls for anything protected by SSI,</p> <p>9 potentially state secrets, and also the law</p> <p>10 enforcement privilege. You can answer to the --</p> <p>11 Q Let me ask that question a better way. What</p> <p>12 other agencies are communicating intelligence to the</p> <p>13 TSA that forms the basis of high-risk rules?</p> <p>14 MS. KONKOLY: And I'm going to object</p> <p>15 insofar as the answer calls for anything protected</p> <p>16 by SSI or the law enforcement privilege, and I'm</p> <p>17 going to instruct the witness not to answer.</p> <p>18 Q Is the FBI an agency that provides TSA with</p> <p>19 information that informs the creation of high-risk</p> <p>20 rules?</p> <p>21 MS. KONKOLY: I'm going to state the same</p> <p>22 objections, SSI, law enforcement privilege; and I'm</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">31</p> <p>1 not -- they are not the same as our process for</p> <p>2 watch list matching for passengers on the no-fly and</p> <p>3 selectee list.</p> <p>4 The high-risk rules are identification of</p> <p>5 passengers that have an elevated risk. They are</p> <p>6 derived from intelligence -- current intelligence</p> <p>7 reporting to mitigate threats to aviation security</p> <p>8 and homeland security.</p> <p>9 The rules themselves or matching to the rule</p> <p>10 are not -- those that match to the rule are not in</p> <p>11 and of themselves -- they are separate. They are</p> <p>12 not the -- we do not consider the high-risk rules</p> <p>13 matches those as the same as the TSDB watch list</p> <p>14 matching.</p> <p>15 Q Do the high-risk rules themselves cross</p> <p>16 reference any TSDB information?</p> <p>17 MS. KONKOLY: Objection, that answer calls</p> <p>18 for information protected by SSI, and I'm</p> <p>19 instructing the witness not to answer, and the law</p> <p>20 enforcement privilege.</p> <p>21 Q Does the operation of high-risk rules cross</p> <p>22 reference any phone numbers associated with TSDB</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">30</p> <p>1 going to again instruct the witness not to answer.</p> <p>2 Q Is the CIA an agency that provides</p> <p>3 information to the TSA that informs the creation of</p> <p>4 high-risk rules?</p> <p>5 MS. KONKOLY: Same objections, SSI, law</p> <p>6 enforcement privilege; and I'm again instructing the</p> <p>7 witness not to answer.</p> <p>8 Q Is the National Security Council an agency</p> <p>9 that provides intelligence information to the TSA</p> <p>10 that informs the creation of high-risk rules?</p> <p>11 MS. KONKOLY: Same objections, SSI, law</p> <p>12 enforcement privilege; and I'm instructing the</p> <p>13 witness not to answer.</p> <p>14 Q What is the relationship between the TSA's</p> <p>15 high-risk rules and the terrorist screening</p> <p>16 database?</p> <p>17 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as the</p> <p>18 answer calls for any information protected by SSI or</p> <p>19 the law enforcement privilege. You can answer to</p> <p>20 the extent that you can.</p> <p>21 A So as discussed in my declaration, I view</p> <p>22 them as separate. The rules -- high-risk rules are</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">32</p> <p>1 listees?</p> <p>2 MS. KONKOLY: Objection, that question calls</p> <p>3 for information protected by SSI, potentially the</p> <p>4 state secrets privilege, the law enforcement</p> <p>5 privilege. And I'm instructing the witness not to</p> <p>6 answer.</p> <p>7 Q Does the operation of high-risk rules cross</p> <p>8 reference any family members that may be associated</p> <p>9 with TSDB listees?</p> <p>10 MS. KONKOLY: I'm going to again object on</p> <p>11 the basis of SSI, the law enforcement privilege, and</p> <p>12 I'm instructing the witness not to answer.</p> <p>13 Q Does the operation of high-risk rules cross</p> <p>14 reference any email addresses associated with TSDB</p> <p>15 listees?</p> <p>16 MS. KONKOLY: I am again objecting on the</p> <p>17 basis of SSI, the law enforcement privilege, and</p> <p>18 instructing the witness not to answer.</p> <p>19 Q Does the operation of high-risk rules ever</p> <p>20 lead to the collection of information on the</p> <p>21 passengers flagged by the rules that then leads to</p> <p>22 that passenger's nomination to the TSDB?</p>

Conducted on October 4, 2018

<p style="text-align: right;">33</p> <p>1 MS. KONKOLY: Objection, vague. Objection</p> <p>2 insofar as the answer calls for any information</p> <p>3 protected by SSI and the law enforcement privilege.</p> <p>4 You can answer to the extent that you can.</p> <p>5 A So to date in our operation of high-risk</p> <p>6 rules, we have not identified any reporting specific</p> <p>7 to the rules that have been -- to make sure I'm</p> <p>8 answering your question, can you confirm the last</p> <p>9 part of your question just to make sure I'm</p> <p>10 answering it?</p> <p>11 MS. HOMER: Can you read it back?</p> <p>12 (The Reporter read the record as</p> <p>13 follows: Does the operation of high-risk rules ever</p> <p>14 lead to the collection of information on the</p> <p>15 passengers flagged by the rules that then leads to</p> <p>16 that passenger's nomination to the TSDB?)</p> <p>17 MS. KONKOLY: And same objections.</p> <p>18 A So as stated previously, we do not perform</p> <p>19 intelligence collection, and as I described, we are</p> <p>20 performing law enforcement observations to secure</p> <p>21 the crew. The -- by virtue of being a match to a</p> <p>22 rule does not lead automatically to a nomination to</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">35</p> <p>1 passenger's nomination to the TSDB.</p> <p>2 Q TSA Administrator Pekoske testified to</p> <p>3 Congress on September 5 that Quiet Skies had led to</p> <p>4 nominations to the watch list; is that correct?</p> <p>5 MS. KONKOLY: I'm going to ask first that</p> <p>6 the witness be provided a copy of the testimony</p> <p>7 you're referencing.</p> <p>8 Q Are you familiar with that -- are you</p> <p>9 familiar that Administrator David Pekoske testified</p> <p>10 to Congress on September 5?</p> <p>11 A Yes.</p> <p>12 Q Did you attend that hearing?</p> <p>13 A No.</p> <p>14 Q Did you read the testimony from that</p> <p>15 hearing?</p> <p>16 A I've read portions of the testimony.</p> <p>17 Q Did you review the video of that hearing?</p> <p>18 A No.</p> <p>19 MS. HOMER: Can we take a quick break?</p> <p>20 MS. KONKOLY: Sure.</p> <p>21 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Please stand by. We are</p> <p>22 going off the record. The time is 11:14 a.m.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">34</p> <p>1 the TSDB. To date those observations as performed</p> <p>2 have not led to nominations to the TSDB.</p> <p>3 Q Has the TSA ever nominated a Quiet Skies</p> <p>4 selectee to the TSDB?</p> <p>5 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as the</p> <p>6 answer calls for any information protected by SSI or</p> <p>7 potential law enforcement privilege. You can answer</p> <p>8 to the extent that you can.</p> <p>9 A To date, TSA, by virtue of being a Quiet</p> <p>10 Skies rules-based passenger, has not nominated a</p> <p>11 Quiet Skies rules-based passenger to the TSDB.</p> <p>12 Q Has the TSA ever nominated a Quiet Skies</p> <p>13 selectee who was subjected to Federal Air Marshal</p> <p>14 scrutiny to the TSDB?</p> <p>15 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as the</p> <p>16 answer calls for any information protected by SSI or</p> <p>17 the law enforcement privilege. You can answer to</p> <p>18 the extent that you can.</p> <p>19 A I believe I just answered that, in that</p> <p>20 Quiet Skies passengers' observations from our</p> <p>21 Federal Air Marshals for being a Quiet Skies</p> <p>22 rules-based passenger have not led to the</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">36</p> <p>1 (Off the record.)</p> <p>2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the</p> <p>3 record. The time is 10:32 a.m. (sic).</p> <p>4 MS. KONKOLY: And just a brief clarification</p> <p>5 before we move onto the next question. There was a</p> <p>6 line of questioning in which I objected and</p> <p>7 instructed the witness not to answer. We understood</p> <p>8 that line of questioning to be getting to the</p> <p>9 content of the Quiet -- or the rules-based</p> <p>10 targeting, and I just wanted to clarify that that is</p> <p>11 the understanding that we had of those questions on</p> <p>12 which we based those objections.</p> <p>13 BY MS. HOMER:</p> <p>14 Q Okay. One cleanup question from that, does</p> <p>15 the TSA's rules-based programs rely on terrorist</p> <p>16 screening database information in any capacity?</p> <p>17 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as the</p> <p>18 answer would call for information protected by SSI</p> <p>19 and the law enforcement privilege. And again, I</p> <p>20 need to instruct the witness not to answer.</p> <p>21 Q Okay. So before the break I referenced</p> <p>22 testimony by Chairman Pekoske that he gave to</p>

Conducted on October 4, 2018

<p style="text-align: right;">37</p> <p>1 Congress, specifically the Senate, I believe, 2 Transportation Oversight Committee on September 5, 3 2018. Senator Markey in a portion of that 4 deposition was asking Administrator Pekoske about 5 the Quiet Skies program. 6 That testimony, it's about an hour and a 7 half long, and it is recorded by C-SPAN at 8 C-span.org/video/?451104-1/ 9 TransportationSecurityAdministrationOversight. 10 MS. KONKOLY: Counsel, I'll just note what I 11 stated off the record which is that that's the URL 12 that is live today, but we would ask you to create a 13 permalink for that to preserve the testimony for the 14 record. 15 MS. HOMER: We are happy to do so. Starting 16 at the 1-hour, 8-minute, and 38-second portion, 17 there is a question from Senator Markey that Pekoske 18 answers that I am now going to play. 19 MR. ABBAS: Ask to confirm that that's 20 Pekoske. 21 MS. HOMER: Oh, yes. 22 MS. KONKOLY: I'm going to ask that one</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">39</p> <p>1 SENATOR MARKEY: -- prosecuted yet? And how 2 many threat --" 3 Q Did you hear that testimony? 4 A I did. 5 Q What is your understanding of what 6 chairman -- or Administrator Pekoske meant when he 7 said that individuals who are a part of the Quiet 8 Skies program had later been determined to be part 9 of a broader selectee pool? 10 MS. KONKOLY: Objection, calls for 11 speculation. Objection insofar as the answer would 12 call for any information protected by SSI or the law 13 enforcement privilege, but you can answer to the 14 extent that you can. 15 A Okay. So my understanding, and in line with 16 my previous statement, TSA has not nominated any 17 individuals related to Quiet Skies for being Quiet 18 Skies passengers to the TSDB. 19 My understanding of his testimony is TSA -- 20 it has been identified that past -- Quiet -- 21 individuals that have matched to Quiet Skies rules 22 have subsequently been added to the TSDB as known or</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">38</p> <p>1 counsel from plaintiff's side ask the questions. 2 MR. ABBAS: I did not ask a question of the 3 deponent. 4 Q All right. So first off, I want to show 5 this video of the URL or the picture. Is this TSA 6 Administrator Pekoske? 7 A Yes. 8 Q Okay. Now we're going to play this 9 question. 10 "MR. PEKOSKE: I don't have that information 11 off the top of my head. 12 SENATOR MARKEY: How many individuals 13 surveilled under the Quiet Skies program have been 14 arrested or prosecuted? 15 MR. PEKOSKE: I don't believe anybody has 16 been arrested or prosecuted. However, people that 17 are in the Quiet Skies program have eventually been 18 determined to be part of a larger selectee pool 19 based on what the Quiet Skies program -- 20 SENATOR MARKEY: I appreciate that, but no 21 one has been arrested or -- 22 MR. PEKOSKE: No, sir.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">40</p> <p>1 suspected terrorists. 2 Q Were those individuals who had matched to 3 Quiet Skies rules and who were subsequently added to 4 the TSDB as known or suspected terrorists on the 5 Quiet Skies list at the time of their nomination to 6 the TSDB? 7 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as the 8 answer would call for any information protected by 9 SSI or the law enforcement privilege. You can 10 answer to the extent that you can. 11 A I do not know for fact. I do know at a high 12 level the way we perform our passenger prescreening 13 and secure flight is we do conduct match it -- 14 passenger matching to identify if there are any 15 individuals on the no-fly or selectee, expanded 16 selectee list as discussed in my prior deposition. 17 So we conduct that match. If they match to 18 that, they would be identified as such. Separate to 19 that we also have our high-risk rules, TSA's 20 high-risk rules passengers. 21 Our system, the way I understand it is, if 22 you match to the TSDB to those lists, you would</p>

Conducted on October 4, 2018

<p style="text-align: right;">41</p> <p>1 be -- we would note it as such. If you're not on 2 those lists, we would also have our Quiet Skies 3 rules list matches. 4 And so, thus, if an individual has been 5 flagged for enhanced screening because of Quiet 6 Skies -- I do not know this for fact, but my 7 assumption is is they were not at the time on the 8 TSDB's no-fly selectee, expanded selectee. What we 9 have identified though, has been confirmed is that 10 individuals subsequent to being noted and matched to 11 Quiet Skies rules have been added to the TSDB. 12 Q Individuals are only flagged by Quiet Skies 13 for either 90 days or three encounters, correct? 14 MS. KONKOLY: Objection. That question 15 calls for information protected by SSI, and I'm 16 instructing the witness not to answer. 17 MS. GREENBAND: Oh, sorry. 18 MS. KONKOLY: That stands. 19 Q Has the TSA ever stopped flagging someone as 20 Quiet Skies selectees and then nominated that person 21 to the TSDB? 22 MS. KONKOLY: Objection, vague. Objection</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">43</p> <p>1 high-risk rule ever equivalent to derogatory 2 information that supports nomination to the TSDB? 3 MS. KONKOLY: Objection, vague. Objection 4 insofar as that question calls for any information 5 protected by SSI. And I need to instruct the 6 witness not to answer, and also on the basis of the 7 law enforcement privilege. 8 Q If an individual is flagged as a Quiet Skies 9 selectee on the basis of their travel patterns, can 10 the same travel pattern support information 11 nominating them to the TSDB? 12 MS. KONKOLY: Objection, that question calls 13 for information protected by SSI and the law 14 enforcement privilege, and I'm instructing the 15 witness not to answer. 16 Q Are the same facts that underlie application 17 of a Quiet Skies rule ever used to support a TSDB 18 nomination? 19 MS. KONKOLY: Same objections. That 20 question calls for information protected by SSI, the 21 law enforcement privilege, and I'm instructing the 22 witness not to answer.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">42</p> <p>1 insofar as the question calls for any information 2 protected by SSI, but you can answer that if you 3 can. 4 A So to make sure I understand the question, 5 as I stated previously, we have not nominated 6 individuals to the TSDB as a result or as part of 7 being Quiet Skies rules. 8 Q Does being flagged as a Quiet Skies designee 9 in any way support a nomination to the TSDB? 10 MS. KONKOLY: Objection, vague. Objection, 11 calls for speculation. Objection insofar as the 12 answer calls for any information protected by SSI. 13 You can answer to the extent that you can. 14 A So as stated, my declaration simply matching 15 our rules are established to identify passengers 16 that present an elevated risk and are higher risk. 17 Simply matching to the rule does not identify them 18 as being a known or suspicious terrorist. We have 19 not nominated -- we do not automatically nominate 20 anybody that matches to our high-risk rules to the 21 watch list. 22 Q Is the same information that triggers a</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">44</p> <p>1 Q Is the fact that an individual has been 2 flagged as a Quiet Skies selectee ever used in the 3 totality of the circumstances to support a 4 nomination to the TSDB? 5 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as the 6 question calls for any information protected by SSI 7 and the law enforcement privilege, but you can 8 answer that one to the extent that you can. 9 A I'm sorry. So repeat the question. Is the 10 fact that an individual is a match to the -- I'm 11 sorry. 12 MS. HOMER: Can you read it back for me, 13 please. 14 (Pending question read.) 15 MS. KONKOLY: And the same objections. 16 A So what I can state and I will reiterate is 17 to date TSA has not nominated any individuals 18 from -- that have been identified as a Quiet -- a 19 match to the Quiet Skies rules to the TSDB. By 20 virtue of being a match to the Quiet Skies rules 21 does not -- also does not automatically go to a 22 nomination to a TSDB.</p>

Conducted on October 4, 2018

<p style="text-align: right;">45</p> <p>1 Circumstances in the totality, as discussed</p> <p>2 in prior testimony, is there's multiple pieces of</p> <p>3 information and criteria that would need to be met</p> <p>4 to be nominated to the TSDB.</p> <p>5 So I'm trying to be responsive. I'm not</p> <p>6 sure if I could say -- the fact that they were a</p> <p>7 Quiet Skies match was used as part of a nomination</p> <p>8 to the TSDB. I can say for -- definitively TSA has</p> <p>9 not provided nor nominated anyone from the Quiet</p> <p>10 Skies to the TSDB. However -- I'll leave it at</p> <p>11 that.</p> <p>12 Q To the TSA's knowledge, has any other agency</p> <p>13 nominated an individual who happened to be a Quiet</p> <p>14 Skies selectee to the TSDB?</p> <p>15 MS. KONKOLY: I'm going to object that the</p> <p>16 witness is here to testify on behalf of the TSA</p> <p>17 only, so that is outside of the scope of the</p> <p>18 deposition for today. I'll also object based on</p> <p>19 insofar as the answer calls for any information</p> <p>20 protected by SSI, but you can answer that if you</p> <p>21 happen to know, to the extent that you can.</p> <p>22 Let me also interject to the law enforcement</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">47</p> <p>1 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as that</p> <p>2 question calls for anything protected by SSI, but</p> <p>3 you can answer to the extent that you can.</p> <p>4 A Correct. These are based on rules that we</p> <p>5 have derived from current intelligence reporting and</p> <p>6 present -- to identify passengers that present an</p> <p>7 elevated risk. This is not related to, as you</p> <p>8 stated, criminal -- specific criminal reporting of</p> <p>9 individuals to then put them in as a list.</p> <p>10 Q Okay. Can we turn to paragraph nine of your</p> <p>11 declaration, which was previously marked as</p> <p>12 Exhibit 2. In paragraph nine, the third full</p> <p>13 sentence reads, "Risk-based security increases</p> <p>14 operational efficiency and security effectiveness by</p> <p>15 allowing TSA to focus less on lower-risk travelers</p> <p>16 and more on higher-risk passengers or those about</p> <p>17 whom it knows less."</p> <p>18 And then it continues, "Quiet Skies is one</p> <p>19 of TSA's risk-based security programs that</p> <p>20 identifies and addresses potential threats from</p> <p>21 individuals who may intend harm to aviation security</p> <p>22 but who are not in the TSDB."</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">46</p> <p>1 privilege as well. Again, you can answer to the</p> <p>2 extent that you can.</p> <p>3 A So as stated earlier and after -- and also</p> <p>4 for clarification questions of what the</p> <p>5 administrator stated, I can not speak for other</p> <p>6 agencies. However, it has been identified -- the</p> <p>7 individuals that have matched to Quiet Skies rules</p> <p>8 but independent of TSA matching those individuals to</p> <p>9 Quiet Skies rules have been added to the TSDB.</p> <p>10 Q Is Quiet Skies information ever used in</p> <p>11 support of TSDB nominations?</p> <p>12 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as the</p> <p>13 question calls for any information protected by SSI</p> <p>14 and the law enforcement privilege, but you can</p> <p>15 answer that one to the extent that you can.</p> <p>16 A I believe I've answered that. TSA has not</p> <p>17 nominated individuals for -- based -- that have</p> <p>18 matched the Quiet Skies rules to the TSDB.</p> <p>19 Q The operation of either Quiet Skies or</p> <p>20 Silent Partner high-risk rules do not depend on an</p> <p>21 individual being under investigation for any crime,</p> <p>22 correct?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">48</p> <p>1 Did I read that correctly?</p> <p>2 A You did read that correctly.</p> <p>3 Q What constitutes an individual who may</p> <p>4 intend harm to aviation security but who is not in</p> <p>5 the TSDB?</p> <p>6 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as that</p> <p>7 question calls for any information protected by SSI</p> <p>8 or the law enforcement privilege, but you can answer</p> <p>9 that to the extent that you can.</p> <p>10 A So as you stated, from a risk-based security</p> <p>11 perspective, TSA is reviewing current intelligence</p> <p>12 reporting to identify threats to aviation security</p> <p>13 or homeland security. As I discussed earlier, TSA</p> <p>14 does perform matches against individuals in the TSDB</p> <p>15 for the no-fly selectee, expanded selectee lists.</p> <p>16 But we also -- there is a component of</p> <p>17 unknown -- so the TSDB are for those individuals</p> <p>18 that have met the reasonable suspicion standards to</p> <p>19 be a known or suspected terrorist. TSA also reviews</p> <p>20 current intelligence reporting to identify threats</p> <p>21 to aviation security and homeland security.</p> <p>22 There is enough information there to know</p>

Conducted on October 4, 2018

<p style="text-align: right;">49</p> <p>1 that there are threats and for that, but we do not</p> <p>2 know the actual individuals, right, and that's why</p> <p>3 this is separate from the TSDB related matching that</p> <p>4 we perform. We derive a series of rules to try to</p> <p>5 mitigate that threat and any potential harm that may</p> <p>6 come about because of that threat reporting.</p> <p>7 So this is to address -- those rules are to</p> <p>8 try to identify passengers who may present a higher</p> <p>9 risk for which TSA -- where we have established this</p> <p>10 to identify for enhanced screening to -- again, to</p> <p>11 try to mitigate the potential higher risk that</p> <p>12 passengers may present in mitigation of the aviation</p> <p>13 security and homeland security threats.</p> <p>14 Q Okay. In paragraph ten of your declaration,</p> <p>15 you use the phrase "unknown or partially known</p> <p>16 terrorists," correct?</p> <p>17 A Correct.</p> <p>18 Q Is an unknown or partially known terrorist</p> <p>19 the same thing as an individual who may intend harm</p> <p>20 to aviation security but who is not in the TSDB?</p> <p>21 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as the</p> <p>22 answer calls for anything protected by SSI, but you</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">51</p> <p>1 say what may have occurred had the enhanced</p> <p>2 screening or the identification of higher risk</p> <p>3 passengers for enhanced screening, what may have</p> <p>4 occurred had they not been identified.</p> <p>5 However, as it comes to directly known of a</p> <p>6 direct plot that's been foiled by various -- any</p> <p>7 specific passenger designated via Quiet Skies, no, I</p> <p>8 do not -- have not identified any.</p> <p>9 Q Has the Silent Partner program ever foiled</p> <p>10 any terrorist threats?</p> <p>11 MS. KONKOLY: Same objections insofar as the</p> <p>12 answer calls for any information protected by SSI or</p> <p>13 the law enforcement privilege, but you can again</p> <p>14 answer to the extent that you can.</p> <p>15 A So I would answer the same as I answered for</p> <p>16 Quiet Skies. It's very difficult to identify those</p> <p>17 that were deterred and did not occur and we did not</p> <p>18 identify from a deterrence effect. I cannot -- it's</p> <p>19 difficult to speculate on that. However, we have</p> <p>20 not formally identified any foiled terrorist plots</p> <p>21 based on Quiet Skies -- I'm sorry, Silent Partner.</p> <p>22 Q Has either Quiet Skies or Silent Partner led</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">50</p> <p>1 can answer that if you can.</p> <p>2 A It's -- to an extent, yes. When we view the</p> <p>3 current intelligence reporting and we identify a</p> <p>4 threat we know that there is information out there</p> <p>5 that are indications that present this threat but</p> <p>6 it's not fully known, that's why they are not on the</p> <p>7 TSDB for us to direct identify individuals.</p> <p>8 We do have enough information based on the</p> <p>9 threat reporting to then identify rules to identify</p> <p>10 passengers that present a higher risk that may</p> <p>11 intend to harm. So it's the risk related, so that's</p> <p>12 the difference. It's unknown, partially known, so</p> <p>13 we're trying to mitigate the threat by identifying</p> <p>14 potentially higher risk.</p> <p>15 Q Has the Quiet Skies program ever foiled a</p> <p>16 terrorist plot?</p> <p>17 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as that</p> <p>18 answer calls for information protected by SSI or the</p> <p>19 law enforcement privilege. You can answer that to</p> <p>20 the extent that you can.</p> <p>21 A So it's very difficult to talk to if</p> <p>22 something has been deterred. It's very difficult to</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">52</p> <p>1 to the arrest or prosecution of an individual on</p> <p>2 their list?</p> <p>3 MS. KONKOLY: Again, objection insofar as</p> <p>4 the answer calls for any information protected by</p> <p>5 SSI or the law enforcement privilege. You can</p> <p>6 answer to the extent that you can.</p> <p>7 A No, not specific to by virtue of being the</p> <p>8 match to Silent Partner or Quiet Skies.</p> <p>9 Q What is the TSA's definition of an unknown</p> <p>10 or partially known terrorist?</p> <p>11 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as the</p> <p>12 complete answer calls for anything protected by SSI</p> <p>13 or the law enforcement privilege. You can answer to</p> <p>14 the extent you can.</p> <p>15 A So as I discussed earlier, there is current</p> <p>16 intelligence reporting out there that speaks to</p> <p>17 threats to aviation security and homeland security</p> <p>18 or threats at large. TSA is focusing on those that</p> <p>19 are related to aviation security and homeland</p> <p>20 security.</p> <p>21 Those particular threats may not be as</p> <p>22 granular down to being those that have been</p>

Conducted on October 4, 2018

<p style="text-align: right;">53</p> <p>1 identified and listed in the TSDB. However, there</p> <p>2 is information that relates to -- there are specific</p> <p>3 activities that present a threat to aviation and</p> <p>4 homeland security.</p> <p>5 So we do not know all the details of, say,</p> <p>6 the actual threat actors or there's only partially</p> <p>7 known information which is why -- to mitigate that</p> <p>8 threat, because they are not known or meeting</p> <p>9 suspicion of known suspected terrorists, which is</p> <p>10 part of the TSDB as discussed earlier. These are</p> <p>11 those that we know there's a threat but we do not</p> <p>12 have the details down to individuals to say and that</p> <p>13 is why they are unknown or only partially known.</p> <p>14 Q In paragraph ten -- I'm summarizing -- you</p> <p>15 state that following the Christmas Day underwear</p> <p>16 bomber TSA conducted a review of existing threats to</p> <p>17 aviation security. Is that generally correct?</p> <p>18 A That is correct.</p> <p>19 Q Where is that review memorialized?</p> <p>20 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as the</p> <p>21 answer would call for information protected by SSI</p> <p>22 or the law enforcement privilege. You can answer to</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">55</p> <p>1 executed via secure flight. We also have</p> <p>2 documentation that memorializes what those rules are</p> <p>3 that are also reviewed on a quarterly -- regular</p> <p>4 basis with our DHS, oversight, civil rights and</p> <p>5 liberties, privacy, our chief counsel. They are</p> <p>6 approved and regularly reviewed by our</p> <p>7 administrator -- approved by our administrator or</p> <p>8 deputy administrator.</p> <p>9 Q And what are the documents that memorialize</p> <p>10 the high-risk rules called?</p> <p>11 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as that</p> <p>12 answer calls for any information protected by SSI,</p> <p>13 but you can answer if you can.</p> <p>14 A I don't know the actual name of the</p> <p>15 document. It is memorialized in a memo, which is</p> <p>16 approved by our administrator for the --</p> <p>17 Q What are the quarterly reports conducted by</p> <p>18 the civil rights authorities called?</p> <p>19 MS. KONKOLY: And, again, objection insofar</p> <p>20 as the answer calls for any information protected by</p> <p>21 SSI, but you can answer to the extent that you can.</p> <p>22 A I don't know that the reports have a name.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">54</p> <p>1 the extent that you can. I'm also going to</p> <p>2 interpose a scope objection.</p> <p>3 A I'd have to go back. Generally speaking,</p> <p>4 when we review current intelligence reports we --</p> <p>5 and identify those that are aviation threats,</p> <p>6 aviation security threats or homeland security</p> <p>7 threats, we have intelligence reports, whether we</p> <p>8 are providing it to our senior leadership or review</p> <p>9 by our security operations or leadership. I don't</p> <p>10 know if we can follow up on that.</p> <p>11 Q Okay. What are --</p> <p>12 A Sorry.</p> <p>13 Q What are the documents called where the TSA</p> <p>14 creates and explains its high-risk rules?</p> <p>15 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as the</p> <p>16 answer calls for any information protected by SSI.</p> <p>17 You can answer if you know.</p> <p>18 A What are the documents called where TSA</p> <p>19 explains its --</p> <p>20 Q And creates the high-risk rules.</p> <p>21 A So we review reports. We implement our</p> <p>22 high-risk rules in the ATS system and then also</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">56</p> <p>1 It is -- we have a quarterly governance review of</p> <p>2 current intelligence threats reporting and the rules</p> <p>3 that have been established to mitigate those</p> <p>4 threats.</p> <p>5 Q Has any portion of those quarterly</p> <p>6 governance reviews been made public?</p> <p>7 A No.</p> <p>8 Q Has -- are those -- have any of those</p> <p>9 quarterly governance reviews ever been provided to</p> <p>10 Congress?</p> <p>11 MS. KONKOLY: Objection if there's anything</p> <p>12 in that answer that calls for SSI, but you can</p> <p>13 answer to the extent that you can.</p> <p>14 A I do not believe we provide the actual</p> <p>15 quarterly governance reviews to Congress. What we</p> <p>16 do is we brief Congress regarding our processes,</p> <p>17 regarding the current intelligence threats,</p> <p>18 regarding our establishment of rules. We have been</p> <p>19 doing this since the establishment of the program.</p> <p>20 I do not believe we are actually -- the briefings</p> <p>21 are not set up to be the same rated for audiences.</p> <p>22 Q When was Quiet Skies established?</p>

Conducted on October 4, 2018

<p>57</p> <p>1 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as the</p> <p>2 answer calls for any information protected by SSI,</p> <p>3 but you can answer if you can.</p> <p>4 A TSA began Quiet Skies in 2011.</p> <p>5 Q When was Silent Partner established?</p> <p>6 MS. KONKOLY: Same objection insofar as the</p> <p>7 question calls for any information protected by SSI.</p> <p>8 You can answer to the extent you can.</p> <p>9 A 2010.</p> <p>10 Q When did the TSA begin assigning Federal Air</p> <p>11 Marshals to special mission coverage of Quiet Skies</p> <p>12 selectees?</p> <p>13 A March of 2018.</p> <p>14 Q When did the TSA begin assigning Federal Air</p> <p>15 Marshals to special mission coverage of Silent</p> <p>16 Partner?</p> <p>17 MS. KONKOLY: Objection. That question</p> <p>18 calls for information protected by SSI, and I'm</p> <p>19 going to instruct the witness not to answer on that</p> <p>20 ground.</p> <p>21 Q Does the TSA assign Federal Air Marshals to</p> <p>22 special mission coverage of the Silent Partner</p>	<p>59</p> <p>1 questions regarding the document.</p> <p>2 MS. HOMER: Any questions?</p> <p>3 MS. GREENBAND: Can we take a 20-second</p> <p>4 break?</p> <p>5 MS. HOMER: Yes.</p> <p>6 MR. ABBAS: Do you need us to leave the</p> <p>7 room?</p> <p>8 MS. KONKOLY: We can leave.</p> <p>9 MS. HOMER: Okay, you'll leave. We'll stay</p> <p>10 right here.</p> <p>11 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Please stand by. We are</p> <p>12 going off the record. The time is 12:01 p.m.</p> <p>13 (Off the record.)</p> <p>14 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the</p> <p>15 record. The time is 12:03 p.m.</p> <p>16 MS. KONKOLY: All right. Counsel, would you</p> <p>17 mind clarifying the document at issue and where you</p> <p>18 obtained it to include it among the materials that</p> <p>19 you identified for questioning at this deposition?</p> <p>20 MS. HOMER: Yes. So what has been marked as</p> <p>21 Exhibit 3 is a document that was published by the</p> <p>22 Boston Globe on July 28, 2018, and it was included</p>
<p>58</p> <p>1 listees?</p> <p>2 MS. KONKOLY: Again, I'm objecting on the</p> <p>3 basis of SSI and instructing the witness not to</p> <p>4 answer.</p> <p>5 Q Has the TSA ever informed Congress about</p> <p>6 whether or not it assigns Federal Air Marshals to</p> <p>7 special mission coverage of Silent Partner</p> <p>8 selectees?</p> <p>9 MS. KONKOLY: I'm going to object on the</p> <p>10 basis of SSI and instruct the witness not to answer.</p> <p>11 Q I'd like to look at what's now tab three in</p> <p>12 your binder, which is a March 13, 2018, TSA bulletin</p> <p>13 or at least purports to be, and ask the court</p> <p>14 reporter to mark it as Exhibit 3.</p> <p>15 (Froemling Deposition Exhibit 3 was</p> <p>16 marked for identification and attached to the</p> <p>17 transcript.)</p> <p>18 Q Ms. Froemling, have you seen this document</p> <p>19 before?</p> <p>20 MS. KONKOLY: I am going to object on the</p> <p>21 basis of SSI, potentially law enforcement privilege,</p> <p>22 and I'm instructing the witness not to answer any</p>	<p>60</p> <p>1 in plaintiff's motion to compel materials as Exhibit</p> <p>2 B.</p> <p>3 MS. KONKOLY: Okay. So to clarify, it was</p> <p>4 among the materials that was identified by you in</p> <p>5 preparation for this deposition?</p> <p>6 MS. HOMER: Yes. I specifically identified</p> <p>7 the Boston Globe July 28 article prior to this</p> <p>8 deposition, and this is hyperlinked within that</p> <p>9 article, and there's an image of it and it is quoted</p> <p>10 extensively.</p> <p>11 MS. KONKOLY: Okay. If you could ask the</p> <p>12 question again or have it read back, either way.</p> <p>13 BY MS. HOMER:</p> <p>14 Q Okay. Ms. Froemling, have you ever seen</p> <p>15 this document before?</p> <p>16 THE WITNESS: I can answer?</p> <p>17 MS. KONKOLY: Yeah. You can -- yes or no.</p> <p>18 A Yes.</p> <p>19 Q Did you see this document in connection with</p> <p>20 the Boston Globe reporting just discussed?</p> <p>21 MS. KONKOLY: I'm going to object insofar as</p> <p>22 the full -- insofar as the answer calls for any</p>

Conducted on October 4, 2018

<p style="text-align: right;">61</p> <p>1 information protected by SSI, but you can answer yes 2 or no.</p> <p>3 A Yes, as part of the exhibit provided for 4 this deposition.</p> <p>5 Q Is this an official TSA document?</p> <p>6 MS. KONKOLY: And I'm going to object on the 7 basis of SSI, potentially law enforcement privilege, 8 and instruct the witness not to answer that 9 question.</p> <p>10 Q At the top left of the document, is that the 11 Federal Air Marshal Service seal?</p> <p>12 MS. KONKOLY: Objection on the basis of SSI. 13 I'm going to instruct the witness not to answer that 14 question.</p> <p>15 Q On the top right of the document, is that a 16 TSA seal?</p> <p>17 MS. KONKOLY: I'm going to again object on 18 the basis of SSI and instruct the witness not to 19 answer.</p> <p>20 Q Does the top of this document state that it 21 is a Transportation Security Administration 22 document?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">63</p> <p>1 protected by SSI, and I'm instructing the witness 2 not to answer.</p> <p>3 Q Does the TSA have any internal policy 4 documents about the Quiet Skies program?</p> <p>5 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as the 6 complete answer would call for information protected 7 by SSI, but you can answer yes or no.</p> <p>8 A Yes.</p> <p>9 Q Is this one of those documents?</p> <p>10 MS. KONKOLY: Objection on the basis of SSI. 11 I'm instructing the witness not to answer that 12 question.</p> <p>13 Q All right. You've previously testified that 14 the Federal Air Marshal special mission coverage for 15 Quiet Skies selectees began in March 2018, correct?</p> <p>16 A Yes.</p> <p>17 Q Did it begin specifically on March 13, 2018?</p> <p>18 MS. KONKOLY: I'm going to object to that 19 question as calling for SSI, and I'm going to 20 instruct the witness not to answer.</p> <p>21 Q Your declaration -- we were at paragraph ten 22 a minute ago -- stated that the TSA adopt rules to</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">62</p> <p>1 MS. KONKOLY: You're --</p> <p>2 Q Let me clarify that. At the top of the 3 document do the words "Transportation Security 4 Administration Office of Law Enforcement/Federal Air 5 Marshal Service" appear?</p> <p>6 A Yes.</p> <p>7 Q Okay. And do you understand that this 8 document purports to be titled, in this top gray bar 9 that's somewhat hard to read, "Information bulletin 10 Quiet Skies selectees"?</p> <p>11 MS. KONKOLY: I'm going to object that is 12 very difficult to read from this copy what is in 13 that bar. If you can read it and --</p> <p>14 A To the extent I can see that that matches 15 what you just stated, yes.</p> <p>16 Q Okay. And the first bold heading below that 17 gray bar says, "TSA's Quiet Skies Program," correct?</p> <p>18 A It is listed there, yes.</p> <p>19 Q Does the TSA have an internal bulletin 20 regarding the Quiet Skies program?</p> <p>21 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as -- 22 objection, the answer calls for information</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">64</p> <p>1 mitigate the threat posed by -- and now I'm going to 2 quote -- "unknown or partially known terrorists 3 based on current intelligence on terrorist travel 4 and tradecraft." Is that correct, your declaration 5 said that?</p> <p>6 A Yes, my declaration says that we need to 7 mitigate the threat to commercial aviation posed by 8 unknown or partially known terrorists based on 9 analysis of current intelligence and terrorist 10 travel and tradecraft.</p> <p>11 Q Okay. And then turning back to Exhibit 3, 12 the first full, plain text paragraph, this exhibit 13 says that, quote, "The purpose of the Quiet Skies 14 program is to mitigate the threat to commercial 15 aviation posed by unknown or partially known 16 terrorists." Did I read that correctly?</p> <p>17 A You did.</p> <p>18 Q Okay. And then the sentence goes on and 19 says that this is, quote, based on analysis of 20 terrorist travel trends, comma, tradecraft and 21 associations. Did I read that correctly?</p> <p>22 A You did read it correctly.</p>

Conducted on October 4, 2018

<p style="text-align: right;">65</p> <p>1 Q Is an individual's associations a factor</p> <p>2 considered by Quiet Skies selectees rules?</p> <p>3 MS. KONKOLY: Objection, that question calls</p> <p>4 for information protected by SSI, and I'm going to</p> <p>5 instruct the witness not to answer.</p> <p>6 Q Skipping down in Exhibit 3 to the third</p> <p>7 bullet point, it states, "Quiet Skies rules target</p> <p>8 specific travel patterns or affiliations." Did I</p> <p>9 read that correctly?</p> <p>10 A Yes.</p> <p>11 Q Are an individual's affiliations a factor</p> <p>12 considered by Quiet Skies rules to designate them as</p> <p>13 high-risk passengers?</p> <p>14 MS. KONKOLY: And I'm objecting on the basis</p> <p>15 of SSI and instructing the witness not to answer</p> <p>16 that question. Let me also object on the basis of</p> <p>17 law enforcement and provide the same instruction on</p> <p>18 that basis as well.</p> <p>19 Q Skipping down to -- on Exhibit 3, beneath</p> <p>20 where it says, "Special Mission Coverage," it</p> <p>21 states, "Quiet Skies selectees are different from</p> <p>22 traditional SMC subjects because they are not under</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">67</p> <p>1 of SSI and instructing the witness not to answer</p> <p>2 that question.</p> <p>3 Q Do traditional special mission coverage</p> <p>4 subjects include individuals on the selectee list?</p> <p>5 MS. KONKOLY: I'm again objecting as to</p> <p>6 scope and objecting as to SSI and instructing the</p> <p>7 witness not to answer.</p> <p>8 Q Do traditional special mission coverage</p> <p>9 subjects include individuals on the expanded</p> <p>10 selectee list?</p> <p>11 MS. KONKOLY: Again, objection as to scope;</p> <p>12 objection, SSI; and I'm instructing the witness not</p> <p>13 to answer.</p> <p>14 Q What is the name of the policy manual that</p> <p>15 TSA has that includes information on Quiet Skies?</p> <p>16 MS. KONKOLY: Objection, vague. Objection</p> <p>17 insofar as the answer calls for information</p> <p>18 protected by SSI. And I'm also going to object to</p> <p>19 foundation.</p> <p>20 MS. HOMER: That's a fair objection. Let me</p> <p>21 start over, though I do think I asked that earlier.</p> <p>22 Q Does the TSA have any policy or procedure</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">66</p> <p>1 investigation by any agency and are not in the</p> <p>2 terrorist screening database." Did I read that</p> <p>3 correctly?</p> <p>4 A Yes.</p> <p>5 Q What is a traditional special mission</p> <p>6 coverage subject?</p> <p>7 MS. KONKOLY: Objection. That question</p> <p>8 calls for information protected by SSI, and I'm</p> <p>9 going to instruct the witness not to answer.</p> <p>10 Q Do the Federal Air Marshals monitor every</p> <p>11 individual on the TSDB who flies on a commercial</p> <p>12 aircraft?</p> <p>13 MS. KONKOLY: I'm going to first object as</p> <p>14 to scope, as that being outside of the scope of the</p> <p>15 designated topics for this deposition, but I'm also</p> <p>16 going to object on the basis of SSI, and I instruct</p> <p>17 the witness not to answer based on that ground.</p> <p>18 Q Do traditional special mission coverage</p> <p>19 subjects include individuals on the no-fly list?</p> <p>20 MS. KONKOLY: I'm going to again object as</p> <p>21 to scope. That would be outside of the designated</p> <p>22 topics again. And I'm again objecting on the basis</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">68</p> <p>1 manuals it provides to its employees regarding the</p> <p>2 Quiet Skies program?</p> <p>3 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as the</p> <p>4 question calls for any information protected by SSI,</p> <p>5 but you can answer that one to the extent you can.</p> <p>6 A Yes.</p> <p>7 Q What are the names of those manuals?</p> <p>8 MS. KONKOLY: Again, objection insofar as</p> <p>9 the question calls for information protected by SSI.</p> <p>10 You can answer that one to the extent you can. I</p> <p>11 will again interpose the question is vague.</p> <p>12 A I do not actually know the name of given</p> <p>13 manuals. We do have policy documents regarding the</p> <p>14 implementation of our Quiet Skies. I don't know the</p> <p>15 name.</p> <p>16 Q What is the name of the policy document that</p> <p>17 implements Quiet Skies?</p> <p>18 MS. KONKOLY: Same objections, also asked</p> <p>19 and answered.</p> <p>20 A I do not know the name of the document.</p> <p>21 Q Does the TSA have policy documents regarding</p> <p>22 the operation of the Silent Partner program?</p>

Conducted on October 4, 2018

<p style="text-align: right;">69</p> <p>1 MS. KONKOLY: Again, objection insofar as</p> <p>2 the answer calls for any information protected by</p> <p>3 SSI; objection, vague; but you can answer to the</p> <p>4 extent that you can.</p> <p>5 A Yes.</p> <p>6 Q What are the names of the policy documents</p> <p>7 that TSA has regarding the implementation of the</p> <p>8 Silent Partner program?</p> <p>9 MS. KONKOLY: Same objections, vague, and</p> <p>10 insofar as it calls for any information protected by</p> <p>11 SSI, but you can answer if you can.</p> <p>12 A I do not know the specific name of the</p> <p>13 document. I think the best I can say is --</p> <p>14 referring back to my prior statement is that it's</p> <p>15 primarily memorialized in the memo of our</p> <p>16 implementation to these rules. I do not know the</p> <p>17 name of the document.</p> <p>18 Q Does the TSA consider family members of TSDB</p> <p>19 listees to be unknown or partially known terrorists?</p> <p>20 MS. KONKOLY: Objection as to scope.</p> <p>21 Objection, that question calls for information</p> <p>22 protected by SSI, and also the law enforcement</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">71</p> <p>1 Q Does being an individual listed in the</p> <p>2 contact list of a TSDB individual's phone form a</p> <p>3 basis to trigger any high-risk rules?</p> <p>4 MS. KONKOLY: I'm, again, objecting on the</p> <p>5 basis of the law enforcement privilege and SSI, and</p> <p>6 I'm again instructing the witness not to answer that</p> <p>7 question.</p> <p>8 Q In your declaration you referred to current</p> <p>9 intelligence on terrorist travel and tradecraft.</p> <p>10 MS. KONKOLY: Can you please identify the</p> <p>11 paragraph?</p> <p>12 Q Yeah, so paragraph ten. It was where we</p> <p>13 were earlier, so Exhibit 2, paragraph ten. So I'm</p> <p>14 going to elide a bit of the sentence, but it says</p> <p>15 TSA conducted its own review of the existing threats</p> <p>16 to aviation security, ellipses, based on analysis of</p> <p>17 current intelligence on terrorist travel and</p> <p>18 tradecraft.</p> <p>19 Do you see the portion I'm referring to?</p> <p>20 A Yes.</p> <p>21 Q Okay.</p> <p>22 MS. KONKOLY: Could you actually read the</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">70</p> <p>1 privilege. You can answer that one to the extent</p> <p>2 that you can.</p> <p>3 A I'm sorry, could you repeat the question?</p> <p>4 Q Does the TSA consider family members of TSDB</p> <p>5 listees to be unknown or partially known terrorists?</p> <p>6 MS. KONKOLY: Same objections, but you can</p> <p>7 answer to the extent that you can.</p> <p>8 A So as I discussed on the unknown or</p> <p>9 partially known, it is more related to whatever</p> <p>10 particular threat is identified to aviation or</p> <p>11 homeland security. So to the extent, if it also so</p> <p>12 happens that they -- individuals are designated as</p> <p>13 higher risk and are designated for enhanced</p> <p>14 screening, by virtue of that they may. But TSA</p> <p>15 directly does not just say, okay, these are our</p> <p>16 unknown or partially known, therefore all family</p> <p>17 members, to your question.</p> <p>18 Q Does being a family member of a TSDB listee</p> <p>19 trigger the application of any high-risk rules?</p> <p>20 MS. KONKOLY: Objection on the basis of SSI,</p> <p>21 the law enforcement privilege, and I'm instructing</p> <p>22 the witness not to answer that question.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">72</p> <p>1 entire sentence for context?</p> <p>2 Q Sure. "TSA conducted its own review of the</p> <p>3 existing threats to aviation security and determined</p> <p>4 that it needed to mitigate the threat to commercial</p> <p>5 aviation posed by unknown or partially known</p> <p>6 terrorists based on analysis of current intelligence</p> <p>7 on terrorist travel and tradecraft."</p> <p>8 Did I read that sentence correctly?</p> <p>9 A Yes.</p> <p>10 Q What is the definition of terrorist</p> <p>11 tradecraft?</p> <p>12 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as that</p> <p>13 answer calls for information protected by the law</p> <p>14 enforcement privilege and SSI, and I'm instructing</p> <p>15 the witness not to answer that question.</p> <p>16 Q Can you give me any examples even at a high</p> <p>17 level of what constitutes terrorist tradecraft?</p> <p>18 MS. KONKOLY: I am going to again object on</p> <p>19 the basis of SSI and the law enforcement privilege,</p> <p>20 and I'm instructing the witness not to answer that</p> <p>21 question.</p> <p>22 Q Turning back to Exhibit 3, does this</p>

Conducted on October 4, 2018

<p style="text-align: right;">73</p> <p>1 document reflect TSA policy -- that won't work. Let 2 me start over.</p> <p>3 Do the details about the Quiet Skies program 4 you disclosed in your declaration match the 5 information in Exhibit 3?</p> <p>6 MS. KONKOLY: I'm going to object on the 7 basis of SSI and the law enforcement privilege and 8 instruct the witness not to answer that question.</p> <p>9 Q What other Federal Government agencies are 10 involved in the creation of the TSA's risk-based, 11 intelligence-driven rules?</p> <p>12 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as that 13 answer calls for information protected by the law 14 enforcement privilege and SSI, as well as the state 15 secrets privilege potentially, and I'm instructing 16 the witness not to answer that question.</p> <p>17 Q What other federal agencies provide 18 intelligence that inform TSA's creation of 19 risk-based rules?</p> <p>20 MS. KONKOLY: I'm going to again object 21 based on the law enforcement privilege, SSI, 22 potentially state secrets privilege, and I'm going</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">75</p> <p>1 Q Does the TSA work with any foreign 2 government agencies to create or implement its 3 high-risk rules?</p> <p>4 MS. KONKOLY: I'm going to object on the 5 basis of SSI, law enforcement privilege. You can 6 answer that one to the extent that you can.</p> <p>7 A So also as discussed previously, because you 8 said create and implement, I want to make sure the 9 way I'm interpreting it is TSA independently 10 assesses the current intelligence to identify 11 threats. TSA independently creates the rules, 12 coordinates with CBP.</p> <p>13 We do not coordinate with any, as stated 14 earlier, other federal agencies nor foreign 15 governments to create the rules. When you state 16 implement, as I discussed previously, we identify 17 these rules, identify passengers that present a 18 higher risk and therefore require enhanced 19 screening.</p> <p>20 So to the extent that passengers abroad 21 require enhanced screening, those foreign 22 governments may be involved in the -- if you want to</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">74</p> <p>1 to again instruct the witness not to answer.</p> <p>2 Q What federal agencies assist with the 3 implementation of the TSA's high-risk rules?</p> <p>4 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as that 5 calls for information protected by SSI or the law 6 enforcement privilege, but you can answer that one 7 to the extent that you can.</p> <p>8 A Sure. As discussed previously, TSA does 9 coordinate with CBP to implement its -- TSA's 10 derived rules into CBP's system to identify 11 passengers that meet TSA's higher risk rules.</p> <p>12 Q Aside from CBP, do any other federal 13 agencies assist with the implementation of TSA's 14 high-risk rules?</p> <p>15 MS. KONKOLY: I'm going to again object 16 based on SSI and the law enforcement privilege, but 17 you can answer that one to the extent that you can.</p> <p>18 A So for the actual creation of the rules, 19 it's TSA coordinating with CBP because we implement 20 our rules in CBP's system. So, no, we do not work 21 with other agencies to create TSA's high-risk 22 passenger rules.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">76</p> <p>1 say implementation in the conducting the actual 2 screening activities, physical screening activities.</p> <p>3 Q What federal agencies have the authority to 4 approve or disapprove specific risk-based rules?</p> <p>5 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as that 6 question calls for any information protected by SSI, 7 but you can answer that one to the extent that you 8 can.</p> <p>9 A So TSA-specific, high-risk-based rules, it 10 is under our administrator's authority to -- sorry, 11 was the word "approve" or "implement"?</p> <p>12 Q Approve or disapprove.</p> <p>13 A -- approve and disapprove the rules.</p> <p>14 Q Can the Secretary of the Department of 15 Homeland Security override the TSA administrator's 16 determination?</p> <p>17 A So to the -- sorry.</p> <p>18 MS. KONKOLY: Let me just object. To the 19 extent that there is any information, the answer 20 calls for information protected by SSI, but you can 21 answer to the extent that you can.</p> <p>22 A Right. So generally speaking, the TSA is a</p>

Conducted on October 4, 2018

<p style="text-align: right;">77</p> <p>1 component of the Department of Homeland Security.</p> <p>2 The Secretary for Homeland Security therefore has</p> <p>3 the same authorities as our administrator, and our</p> <p>4 administrator does report to the Secretary of</p> <p>5 Homeland Security, so, she therefore, yes, does have</p> <p>6 authority.</p> <p>7 Q Is there any individual outside of the</p> <p>8 Department of Homeland Security who has authority to</p> <p>9 approve or disapprove specific TSA risk-based rules?</p> <p>10 MS. KONKOLY: I'm going to object that this</p> <p>11 line of questioning calls for a legal conclusion,</p> <p>12 also insofar as the answer implicates any SSI. You</p> <p>13 can answer to the extent that you can.</p> <p>14 A So I'm trying to -- so no other federal</p> <p>15 agency approves or disapproves TSA's high-risk</p> <p>16 rules, to your question was, does any other</p> <p>17 authority --</p> <p>18 Q Outside of DHS.</p> <p>19 A -- outside of DHS.</p> <p>20 MS. KONKOLY: Same objections.</p> <p>21 A Can I get like ten seconds just to clarify</p> <p>22 if I state something, take a break, just to confirm?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">79</p> <p>(The Reporter read the record as</p> <p>2 follows: Is there any individual outside of the</p> <p>3 Department of Homeland Security who has authority to</p> <p>4 approve or disapprove specific TSA risk-based</p> <p>5 rules?)</p> <p>6 MS. KONKOLY: And I'm just going to again</p> <p>7 object that that calls for a legal conclusion, but</p> <p>8 you can answer to the extent that you can.</p> <p>9 A So I'm not the legal authority, but I</p> <p>10 suppose in the broader scheme of just overall</p> <p>11 authorities it could be the President that would</p> <p>12 also have authorities to approve or disapprove. But</p> <p>13 I want to clarify that the administrator is the one</p> <p>14 with the authority, TSA.</p> <p>15 Q What federal agencies provide input into the</p> <p>16 creation of the TSA's high-risk rules?</p> <p>17 MS. KONKOLY: I'm going to object insofar as</p> <p>18 that question calls for information protected by SSI</p> <p>19 and also object on grounds of vagueness. You can</p> <p>20 answer to the extent that you can.</p> <p>21 A So it is -- to the extent that I can answer,</p> <p>22 TSA conducts an independent assessment of current</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">78</p> <p>1 MS. HOMER: Yeah. Can we go off the record?</p> <p>2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Stand by for a moment.</p> <p>3 MS. KONKOLY: Can we take just a five-minute</p> <p>4 break actually?</p> <p>5 MS. HOMER: Yeah, that's fine.</p> <p>6 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. Well, then,</p> <p>7 I'm going to change tapes, so please stand by.</p> <p>8 MS. HOMER: Okay.</p> <p>9 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the end of</p> <p>10 disc number one in the videotaped deposition of</p> <p>11 Hoa-y Tran Froemling. We are going off the record</p> <p>12 at 12:26 p.m.</p> <p>13 (Off the record.)</p> <p>14 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the beginning</p> <p>15 of disc number two. We are back on the record at</p> <p>16 12:48 p.m.</p> <p>17 MS. HOMER: Counsel, you said you had some</p> <p>18 clarifications? Or we should answer the --</p> <p>19 MS. KONKOLY: We had an open question so if</p> <p>20 we could close that.</p> <p>21 MS. HOMER: Could you read back the question</p> <p>22 before we left?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">80</p> <p>1 intelligence threat reporting to derive its rules.</p> <p>2 As far as input, this is more of back to the</p> <p>3 discussion of oversight of we do review on a</p> <p>4 quarterly basis with DHS, civil rights and</p> <p>5 liberties, with privacy and our chief counsel,</p> <p>6 general counsel with the department regarding TSA's</p> <p>7 implementation of the rules.</p> <p>8 Q What other --</p> <p>9 MS. KONKOLY: Did you want to -- could we do</p> <p>10 those clarifications?</p> <p>11 MS. HOMER: Oh, I'm sorry. Please go ahead.</p> <p>12 MS. KONKOLY: Okay. Before we lose track of</p> <p>13 those.</p> <p>14 Ms. Froemling, did you want to offer any</p> <p>15 clarification regarding the testimony you provided</p> <p>16 earlier this morning regarding the definition of --</p> <p>17 or your statement regarding an unknown or partially</p> <p>18 known terrorist --</p> <p>19 THE WITNESS: Yes.</p> <p>20 MS. KONKOLY: -- as you referenced that term</p> <p>21 in your declaration?</p> <p>22 THE WITNESS: Yes. So I believe the</p>

Conducted on October 4, 2018

<p style="text-align: right;">81</p> <p>1 question -- two parts to the question. For unknown 2 and partially known terrorists and terrorist 3 activities, TSA devises rules to identify passengers 4 that may present a high risk? 5 I want to be very clear that matching to one 6 of these rules does not mean that every individual 7 that matches to these rules are considered to be 8 unknown or partially known terrorists. These rules 9 are established to mitigate the threat from unknown 10 or partially known terrorists. That's part one. 11 And then the clarification to your question 12 of are family members on the TSDB considered to be 13 part of the unknown or partially known terrorist, 14 TSA -- no, TSA does not, again, automatically 15 consider family members of TSDB listees to be 16 unknown or partially known terrorists. So the two 17 tied together. 18 We establish rules to mitigate the threat 19 that's been identified to aviation, homeland 20 security, from unknown and partially known 21 terrorists, which may then identify passengers that 22 could present a higher level of risk. It is not one</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">83</p> <p>1 to the extent you can. 2 A So it would depend on the particular threat, 3 so depending, because unknown can be -- unknown and 4 partially known is a broad term. Depending on the 5 threat it could be a broader -- so I think, yes, to 6 the extent of we do not know actual individuals 7 designated as unknown or partially known terrorists, 8 because at that point they would be known. 9 So to the extent that we identify a threat 10 we're establishing, that may encompass multiple 11 different areas and different things. I'm sorry. 12 It's a very broad question so to the extent I can, 13 I'm trying to answer it. It may depending on the 14 particular threat. 15 MS. HOMER: Did you have another cleanup 16 question? 17 MS. KONKOLY: There were two more, if you 18 don't mind, quickly. 19 MS. HOMER: Okay. 20 MS. KONKOLY: Ms. Froemling, did you also 21 want to clarify your earlier testimony regarding the 22 potential existence of oversight reports related to</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">82</p> <p>1 for one equal. 2 BY MS. HOMER: 3 Q Are there any individuals that the TSA has 4 labeled that individual as an unknown or partially 5 known terrorist? 6 MS. KONKOLY: I'll object insofar as that 7 question calls for any information protected by SSI, 8 and vagueness, but you can answer to the extent that 9 you can. 10 A So I think by definition, again, it's 11 unknown, partially known, so we do not know the 12 individuals. So all we could -- what we do is we 13 implement rules to identify passengers who may 14 present a higher risk to mitigate the threat from 15 unknown or partially known. 16 Q So would it be fair to say that the unknown 17 or partially known terrorist label, like encompasses 18 a broad group of high-risk characteristics but not 19 necessarily specific persons? 20 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as the 21 answer calls for anything protected by SSI, but -- 22 and law enforcement privilege, but you can answer it</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">84</p> <p>1 the quarterly reviews of the rules programs? 2 THE WITNESS: Right. We have a quarterly 3 review with our DHS oversight offices. There's no 4 actual report that I'm aware of that TSA creates as 5 a result of those quarterly governance reviews with 6 our DHS civil rights and civil liberties and then 7 privacy, general counsel, et cetera. 8 MS. KONKOLY: And did you also want to 9 clarify your testimony from earlier this morning 10 with respect to foreign government involvement with 11 implementation of the risk-based rules? 12 THE WITNESS: Right. I wanted to make sure 13 it's very, very clear. In the beginning, earlier 14 this morning, I discussed TSA as part of a secure 15 flight provides back to air carriers for overseas, 16 for international travel of those passengers that 17 require enhanced screening. 18 It could be for multiple reasons not just 19 whether they were matched to a rule or matches to 20 individuals on the -- a selectee. It could be 21 random, multiple reasons. Air carriers are aware of 22 passengers have been designated for enhanced</p>

Conducted on October 4, 2018

<p style="text-align: right;">85</p> <p>1 screening. They don't know any other information. 2 They don't know the reason why. 3 So when it goes to the follow-on question of 4 are any foreign governments involved in the 5 implementation of rules I want to go back to they 6 are aware, if they are a part -- with the carriers 7 conducting any of the physical screening related to 8 enhanced screening, they are aware if passengers 9 have been designated for enhanced screening. They 10 are not made aware that these individual passengers 11 have been matches to high-risk rules versus any 12 other reason. 13 Q So foreign airlines or partners or 14 governments would not know that a specific 15 individual was a Quiet Skies or Silent Partner 16 selectee? 17 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as that 18 question calls for any information protected by SSI, 19 but you can answer to the extent you can. 20 A That is correct, when we are providing back 21 the indicator for enhanced screening that is all 22 that is provided to them.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">87</p> <p>1 to scope of the designated topics for this 2 deposition. I'm going to object on grounds of 3 vagueness, also SSI and the law enforcement 4 privilege. You can answer if you know, to the 5 extent that you can. 6 A I do not believe I know other than the fact 7 that they -- a passenger, as we discussed in prior 8 testimony, the carrier would receive information and 9 ask to put on the boarding pass a designation for 10 enhanced screening, so to the extent that that 11 boarding pass is visible to the passenger and 12 others. I don't know that I can answer regarding 13 foreign governments sharing that designation on a 14 boarding pass. 15 Q So going back to where we were earlier, do 16 members of the watch listing advisory council 17 provide recommendations for input to the TSA about 18 the creation of specific high-risk rules? 19 MS. KONKOLY: I'm going to object insofar as 20 the answer calls for any information protected by 21 SSI or the law enforcement privilege, but you can 22 answer if you can.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">86</p> <p>1 Q What restrictions are there on foreign 2 governments sharing information about which 3 passengers have been identified as the U.S. as 4 higher risk and requiring enhanced screening? 5 MS. KONKOLY: I'm going to object as to 6 scope. I'm going to object on the basis of SSI and 7 the law enforcement privilege. But you can answer 8 if you know and to the extent that you can. 9 A I'm not sure. So the question is what 10 restrictions on foreign governments are -- I'm 11 sorry. 12 Q So let me break it down. You just 13 testified, correct, that the foreign government is 14 aware that an individual requires enhanced 15 screening, correct? 16 A The foreign party. If the government is 17 involved with the air carrier and performing 18 screening, then yes. 19 Q Okay. What restrictions are there to 20 prevent the foreign party from sharing that 21 high-risk designation more broadly? 22 MS. KONKOLY: I'm going to again object as</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">88</p> <p>1 A No. As part of my declaration, just to 2 reiterate, the watch listing advisory council is not 3 involved in TSA or provide input into TSA's 4 determination of high-risk rules. 5 Q Do any members of the watch listing advisory 6 council discuss the specific criteria for TSA 7 high-risk rules with the TSA in any way? 8 MS. KONKOLY: I'm going to object on the 9 basis of the deliberative process privilege insofar 10 as the information calls -- the question calls for 11 information protected by SSI or the law enforcement 12 privilege, but you can answer. 13 A Let me answer this -- in the sense of acting 14 as a member of the watch listing advisory 15 committee -- council, sorry, they do not discuss 16 regarding input or creation of the rules or have any 17 part in formulation and TSA's implementation of the 18 rules as to whether any member may have discussed it 19 or brought it up in its awareness if TSA has 20 implemented it. It may have occurred but not in a 21 formal capacity of providing any advisory or other 22 capacity.</p>

Conducted on October 4, 2018

<p style="text-align: right;">89</p> <p>1 Also CBP is also a partner, so to the extent 2 not as a watch listing advisory member but in its 3 component as CBP, TSA obviously does implement its 4 rules in CBP's systems for high-risk passengers. 5 Q What federal agencies have access to or 6 knowledge of the specific criteria of specific 7 high-risk rules created by the TSA? 8 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as that 9 question calls for any information protected by SSI 10 or the law enforcement privilege. You can answer if 11 you can. 12 A I'm sorry, what members of any federal 13 agency -- I'm sorry. 14 Q Yeah. What federal agencies know what the 15 TSA's high-risk rules are? 16 MS. KONKOLY: Same objections, and I'll add 17 that Ms. Froemling is here to testify on behalf of 18 TSA only as well today. 19 A So I can speak in the capacity of CBP is 20 another federal agency. TSA is obviously 21 implementing its rules in CBP's systems. TSA also 22 reviews the rules with DHS, privacy, civil rights,</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">91</p> <p>1 any information protected by SSI or law enforcement 2 implicated in that answer. You can answer. 3 A No, I do not believe TSA gives our rules to 4 the TSC. 5 Q Does the TSA give its rules to the FBI? 6 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as the 7 answer calls for any information protected by SSI or 8 the law enforcement privilege, but you can answer if 9 you know. 10 A No, I do not believe TSA gives its rules to 11 the FBI. 12 Q Are Federal Air Marshals automatically 13 assigned to flights containing Quiet Skies 14 selectees? 15 MS. KONKOLY: Objection. That calls for 16 information protected by SSI. And you can answer to 17 the extent -- you can answer to the extent that you 18 can without waiving the privilege. 19 A Okay. At a high level, depending on air 20 marshal availability, Federal Air Marshals are 21 assigned on flights that have Quiet Skies high-risk 22 passengers on them.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">90</p> <p>1 and liberties, general counsel bodies. As to other 2 federal agencies, I do not -- 3 Q Does the terrorist -- 4 A -- know of the actual rules. 5 Q Does the Terrorist Screening Center know 6 what the TSA's specific high-risk rules are? 7 MS. KONKOLY: I'm going to again object 8 insofar as the answer implicates any SSI, object 9 vagueness, and, again, reiterate that Ms. Froemling 10 is here to testify on behalf of TSA only. You can 11 answer if you know. Let me also add law enforcement 12 to the potential grounds. 13 A Generally speaking, no, in the sense of us 14 sharing or reviewing rules with TSC. In the -- they 15 are aware of TSA's implementing high-risk passenger 16 rules overall and our process, but I do not believe 17 we review -- we do not review our rules with the 18 TSC. 19 Q Okay. So to clarify, the TSA does not give 20 details of the specific criteria for specific 21 high-risk rules to the Terrorist Screening Center? 22 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as there is</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">92</p> <p>1 Q Who determines the specific assignments for 2 specific air marshals to specific flights? 3 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as that 4 answer calls for information protected by SSI. You 5 can answer to the extent you can without waiving. 6 A At high level it's TSA and it's the Federal 7 Air Marshal's office. 8 Q Are Federal Air Marshals automatically 9 assigned as soon as a Quiet Skies rule is triggered, 10 or is there a prioritization or discretionary 11 process regarding those assignments? 12 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as that 13 question calls for information protected by SSI. 14 You can answer to the extent that you can. 15 A So at a high level there's multiple factors 16 that go into the assignment of Federal Air Marshals 17 on flights and prioritization, availability, 18 multiple factors, logistics, everything. 19 So there is a process that would go into 20 effectively the prioritization based on Federal Air 21 Marshal availability to deploy FAMS on our high 22 risk -- high security risk flights.</p>

Conducted on October 4, 2018

<p style="text-align: right;">93</p> <p>1 Q Would you agree that human officials at the</p> <p>2 TSA exercise discretion regarding the prioritization</p> <p>3 of Federal Air Marshal assignments?</p> <p>4 MS. KONKOLY: Again, I'll object insofar as</p> <p>5 the answer calls for any information protected by</p> <p>6 SSI. You can answer that one to the extent you can.</p> <p>7 A So at a high level there is human</p> <p>8 involvement in the assignment of Federal Air</p> <p>9 Marshals. So to the extent as performing their</p> <p>10 duties there's a review and steps involved that is</p> <p>11 not 100 percent all system generated in order to be</p> <p>12 able to assign Federal Air Marshals to flights.</p> <p>13 Q What prevents the TSA officials who are</p> <p>14 making the final air marshal assignments from using</p> <p>15 a race or religion as a factor in those assignments?</p> <p>16 MS. KONKOLY: Objection, vague.</p> <p>17 A So TSA does not use race or religion in its</p> <p>18 rules to identify high-risk passengers. So to the</p> <p>19 same extent FAMS and scheduling as a part of that we</p> <p>20 do not use race or religion in assignment of Federal</p> <p>21 Air Marshals on flights.</p> <p>22 Q Has race or religion ever been a</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">95</p> <p>1 I cannot speculate on --</p> <p>2 Q Does the TSA evaluate the effectiveness of</p> <p>3 Quiet Skies and Silent Partner?</p> <p>4 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as the</p> <p>5 answer implicates any SSI, but you can answer.</p> <p>6 A Yes, TSA is continually reviewing the</p> <p>7 results of the rules, the high-risk passenger rules</p> <p>8 that it implements. It also compares it continually</p> <p>9 evaluating the current intelligence threat.</p> <p>10 And as stated earlier, we have set</p> <p>11 independent of TSA identifying passengers as being</p> <p>12 Quiet Skies or Silent Partner higher risk, it has</p> <p>13 been identified -- confirmed that individuals have</p> <p>14 been added to the TSDB.</p> <p>15 TSA has evaluated from an effectiveness</p> <p>16 perspective, has also identified that Quiet Skies</p> <p>17 passengers independent of being identified as a</p> <p>18 Quiet Skies rules-based passenger have been added to</p> <p>19 the TSDB subsequent to that at a higher rate than</p> <p>20 any other passengers. So TSA -- yes, TSA does</p> <p>21 evaluate the effectiveness of its high-risk rules.</p> <p>22 Q Can being flagged by a TSA high-risk rule</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">94</p> <p>1 consideration that a TSA employee or Federal Air</p> <p>2 Marshal has used --</p> <p>3 MS. KONKOLY: Objection.</p> <p>4 Q -- regarding their assignment?</p> <p>5 MS. KONKOLY: Objection, vague. Objection</p> <p>6 as to the scope of the designated topics for this</p> <p>7 deposition, but you can answer. Let me also object</p> <p>8 insofar as there's any SSI implicated in the answer,</p> <p>9 but you can answer.</p> <p>10 A I can state that TSA at large does not use</p> <p>11 race or religion in its identification of high-risk</p> <p>12 passengers and assignments of flights.</p> <p>13 Q Has any TSA official ever used race or</p> <p>14 religion in the course of carrying out their</p> <p>15 assignment?</p> <p>16 MS. KONKOLY: I'm going to again object as</p> <p>17 to the scope of the designated topics for the</p> <p>18 deposition, object insofar as there is any SSI</p> <p>19 implicated in the answer, calls for speculation at</p> <p>20 some level. But you can answer.</p> <p>21 A Not that I'm aware of by policy, by process,</p> <p>22 policy operations, we do not use race or religion.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">96</p> <p>1 constitute derogatory information supporting a</p> <p>2 nomination to the TSDB?</p> <p>3 MS. KONKOLY: I'm going to object insofar as</p> <p>4 that question calls for any information protected by</p> <p>5 SSI or the law enforcement privilege. You can</p> <p>6 answer to the extent that you can.</p> <p>7 A No. To reiterate my statement in the</p> <p>8 declaration, simply matching to rules to designate</p> <p>9 high risk is not viewed as derogatory information to</p> <p>10 be nominated into the TSDB. These rules are to</p> <p>11 identify passengers that may present an elevated</p> <p>12 risk.</p> <p>13 It goes back to my clarification again of</p> <p>14 simply matching to the rule. It does not constitute</p> <p>15 that the individual is considered a terrorist or</p> <p>16 considered the partially known terrorist direct. It</p> <p>17 is an indication that these passengers present an</p> <p>18 elevated risk.</p> <p>19 Q How does an individual who is identified as</p> <p>20 presenting an elevated risk end up on the TSDB?</p> <p>21 MS. KONKOLY: Objection as to scope.</p> <p>22 Objection insofar as the answer calls for any</p>


Conducted on October 4, 2018

<p style="text-align: right;">97</p> <p>1 information protected by the law enforcement 2 privilege or SSI. You can answer if you happen to 3 know, but -- to the extent you can. 4 A For those that have been -- so each 5 individual that has been subsequently added, I 6 cannot speak to how, but I can speak definitively 7 that by virtue of just simply matching to a Quiet 8 Skies rule has not been reason to be nominated to 9 the TSDB. 10 There's multiple criteria and factors just 11 overall, regardless of high risk -- of -- regardless 12 of whether you've matched to a high-risk rule. 13 There's multiple criteria that must be met in order 14 to be added to the TSDB. 15 Q Has a Quiet Skies or Silent Partner selectee 16 ever been nominated to the TSDB as a result of the 17 special mission coverage report about a specific 18 trip prepared by an air marshal? 19 MS. KONKOLY: I'm going to object. I 20 believe this question has been asked and answered. 21 Objection insofar as the question calls for any SSI 22 or law enforcement privilege information. You can</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">99</p> <p>1 but you can answer to the extent you can. 2 A So the Federal Air Marshals data retention 3 of their activities is five years. 4 Q Has the TSA prepared any reports on the 5 effectiveness of its risk-based rules? 6 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as the 7 question calls for anything protected by SSI. You 8 can answer if you can. 9 A There's, to my knowledge, no formal reports 10 of the effectiveness. We do -- our analysts do 11 review the results of rules and how they match to 12 examine are they meeting the current intelligence. 13 I'm not aware of formal reports regarding 14 effectiveness. 15 Q How does the TSA determine that its rules 16 are effective? 17 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as that 18 calls for any information protected by SSI, but you 19 can answer to the extent you can. 20 A So TSA has been conducting analysis, as I 21 stated earlier, where we have identified separately, 22 not as a result of being identified as a match to a</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">98</p> <p>1 answer again to the extent you can. 2 A No. 3 Q How long does the TSA retain information on 4 passengers who have been flagged as a Quiet Skies or 5 Silent Partner selectee? 6 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as the 7 question calls for any information protected by SSI. 8 You can answer that to the extent you can. 9 A So the details of -- the detailed 10 information of matches to Quiet Skies and Silent 11 Partner rules are reviewed in the Office of 12 Intelligence -- TSA's Office of Intelligence 13 Analysis for two years. 14 As -- broadly, as part of our secure flight 15 data retention information, those -- just matches, 16 not details regarding the rules, to high-risk 17 passenger rules are retained for seven years. 18 Q How long does the TSA retain any Federal Air 19 Marshal special mission coverage reports about Quiet 20 Skies or Silent Partner selectees? 21 MS. KONKOLY: I'll again object insofar as 22 the question calls for information protected by SSI,</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">100</p> <p>1 Quiet Skies or Silent Partner rule. We have 2 identified that individuals that have matched have 3 independently been added to the TSDB, again, as a 4 part of its validation of effectiveness. So 5 that's -- yeah. 6 Q Are Quiet Skies rules ever deactivated 7 because they have been proven ineffective? 8 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as that 9 calls for information protected by SSI. You can 10 answer to the extent that you can. 11 A So I'm going to rephrase. I'm not going to 12 say they've been activated because they've been 13 proven ineffective. We do, by virtue of 14 continuously reviewing current intelligence 15 reporting related to the threat, can and will and 16 have deactivated rules if the threat is no longer 17 present or is over, so not just as part of a 18 quarterly review. 19 We're continuously reviewing the threat when 20 we have identified whether -- if it's -- the threat 21 has evolved or is no longer present, we will 22 deactivate the rule. But that is not because of</p>

Conducted on October 4, 2018

<p style="text-align: right;">101</p> <p>1 ineffectiveness.</p> <p>2 Q I want you to turn to tab six of your</p> <p>3 binder, which will now get marked as Exhibit 4. And</p> <p>4 this is a story from NPR that was published on</p> <p>5 July 30, 2018.</p> <p>6 (Froemling Deposition Exhibit 4 was</p> <p>7 marked for identification and attached to the</p> <p>8 transcript.)</p> <p>9 Q On the second page of this in the fifth full</p> <p>10 paragraph, the NPR story reports, quote, After</p> <p>11 analyzing travel patterns, the TSA pulls</p> <p>12 intelligence from a number of sources, dash, state</p> <p>13 and local law enforcement, federal agencies, and</p> <p>14 international partners, and dash, before deciding to</p> <p>15 assign an air marshal. The program is designed to</p> <p>16 ignore people clearly traveling for business or to</p> <p>17 visit family and focus on potential threats, Bilello</p> <p>18 said. Who is the TSA official Bilello?</p> <p>19 MS. KONKOLY: Objection, scope. You can</p> <p>20 answer if you know.</p> <p>21 A Michael Bilello is our assistant</p> <p>22 administrator for strategic communications and</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">103</p> <p>1 MS. KONKOLY: I'm going to again object on</p> <p>2 the basis of SSI. You can answer that one to the</p> <p>3 extent you can.</p> <p>4 A Yes. As stated in my declaration, TSA</p> <p>5 doesn't have a process to maintain a list of those</p> <p>6 passengers that have been identified as not being a</p> <p>7 high risk or presenting a low risk.</p> <p>8 MS. HOMER: Okay. Can we go off the record</p> <p>9 for a split second?</p> <p>10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Please stand by. We are</p> <p>11 going off the record.</p> <p>12 (Off the record.)</p> <p>13 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the</p> <p>14 record. The time is 1:20 p.m.</p> <p>15 BY MS. HOMER:</p> <p>16 Q Are Federal Air Marshals ever assigned a</p> <p>17 special mission coverage for individuals listed on</p> <p>18 the TSDB?</p> <p>19 MS. KONKOLY: Objection as to scope.</p> <p>20 Objection insofar as the question calls for</p> <p>21 information protected by SSI. You can answer that</p> <p>22 to the extent that you can.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">102</p> <p>1 public affairs.</p> <p>2 Q Okay. Does this paragraph I just read</p> <p>3 accurately reflect TSA policy?</p> <p>4 MS. KONKOLY: Objection insofar as the</p> <p>5 question calls for any information protected by SSI,</p> <p>6 but you can answer.</p> <p>7 A Yes. As I stated, it basically is</p> <p>8 paraphrasing what I've stated previously in that TSA</p> <p>9 reviews current intelligence to identify individuals</p> <p>10 that present a higher risk and beginning in March</p> <p>11 of 2018 have also been incorporated into the</p> <p>12 assignment of Federal Air Marshals as part of their</p> <p>13 risk-based security activities.</p> <p>14 Q How does the TSA determine who people are</p> <p>15 that are, quote, clearly traveling for business or</p> <p>16 to visit family, closed quote?</p> <p>17 MS. KONKOLY: I'm going to object on the</p> <p>18 basis of SSI and instruct the witness not to answer</p> <p>19 that question.</p> <p>20 Q Does the TSA have a process for separating</p> <p>21 out potential threats from individuals clearly</p> <p>22 traveling for business or to visit family?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">104</p> <p>1 A I'm sorry. Can we take a break real quick</p> <p>2 just so -- sorry.</p> <p>3 MS. KONKOLY: Well, if we'll break, we'll</p> <p>4 come back and provide an answer.</p> <p>5 THE WITNESS: Yes. Sorry. Yeah.</p> <p>6 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Please stand by. We are</p> <p>7 going off the record. The time is 1:21 p.m.</p> <p>8 (Off the record.)</p> <p>9 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the</p> <p>10 record. The time is 1:27 p.m.</p> <p>11 (The Reporter read the record as</p> <p>12 follows: Are Federal Air Marshals ever assigned a</p> <p>13 special mission coverage for individuals listed on</p> <p>14 the TSDB?)</p> <p>15 MS. KONKOLY: And I'm going to again object</p> <p>16 as to scope and as to any SSI implicated in the</p> <p>17 answer, but you can answer to the extent you can.</p> <p>18 THE WITNESS: They may be.</p> <p>19 MS. HOMER: Thank you.</p> <p>20 MS. KONKOLY: And then lastly,</p> <p>21 Ms. Froemling, did you want to offer clarification</p> <p>22 regarding your earlier testimony about the selection</p>

Conducted on October 4, 2018

<p style="text-align: right;">105</p> <p>1 of Quiet Skies or rules-based matches for FAMS 2 special mission coverage? 3 THE WITNESS: Yes. I believe the prior 4 question was are the FAMS automatically assigned to 5 all flights with Quiet Skies rules-based passengers. 6 What I want to clarify is as FAMS, Federal Air 7 Marshals are available they will be assigned for 8 flights with Quiet Skies rules passengers. 9 MS. HOMER: Thank you. Did counsel have any 10 other questions? 11 MS. KONKOLY: That's it. 12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. Please stand 13 by. This marks the end of the videotaped deposition 14 of Hao-y Tran Froemling. We are off the record at 15 1:28 p.m. 16 THE COURT REPORTER: Can I get your 17 transcript order for the record? 18 MS. MENSI: Yes. Can you process it normal 19 processing and then make it out to the CAIR Legal 20 Fund. 21 MS. KONKOLY: Regular delivery. Email it to 22 me.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">107</p> <p>1 CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER - NOTARY PUBLIC 2 I, KATY M. ZAMORA, Registered Merit 3 Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, and Notary 4 Public, the officer before whom the foregoing 5 deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the 6 foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of 7 the testimony given; that said testimony was taken 8 by me stenographically and thereafter reduced to 9 typewriting under my supervision; that reading and 10 signing was not requested; and that I am neither 11 counsel for or related to, nor employed by any of 12 the parties to this case and have no interest, 13 financial or otherwise, in its outcome. 14 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my 15 hand and affixed my notarial seal this 16th day of 16 October 2018. 17 My commission expires April 30, 2019. 18 19  20 _____ 21 NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR 22 THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">106</p> <p>1 (Off the record.) 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22</p>	

Transcript of Hao-y Tran Froemling, Designated Representative

Conducted on October 4, 2018

28

A			
abbas	49:2, 53:6,	affirmed	30:2, 30:8,
3:4, 6:19,	55:14, 56:14,	7:7	45:12, 66:1,
25:10, 25:14,	74:18, 76:1,	affixed	77:15, 89:13,
37:19, 38:2,	83:6, 84:4, 90:4	107:15	89:20
59:6	actually	after	ago
able	56:20, 68:12,	46:3, 101:10	63:22
18:4, 93:12	71:22, 78:4	again	agree
about	add	10:19, 20:22,	93:1
37:4, 37:6,	89:16, 90:11	22:12, 23:22,	ahead
47:16, 49:6,	added	30:1, 30:6,	19:14, 22:19,
58:5, 63:4,	39:22, 40:3,	32:10, 32:16,	25:14, 80:11
73:3, 86:2,	41:11, 46:9,	36:19, 46:1,	air
87:17, 97:17,	95:14, 95:18,	49:10, 51:13,	8:17, 8:21,
98:19, 104:22	97:5, 97:14,	52:3, 55:19,	9:11, 9:18,
abroad	100:3	58:2, 60:12,	10:4, 10:11,
23:5, 23:7,	addition	61:17, 66:20,	10:14, 11:6,
75:20	18:7, 26:4,	66:22, 67:5,	11:18, 15:19,
access	28:12	67:11, 68:8,	34:13, 34:21,
89:5	additional	68:11, 69:1,	57:10, 57:14,
accurately	14:16, 14:18	71:4, 71:6,	57:21, 58:6,
102:3	address	72:18, 73:20,	61:11, 62:4,
acting	49:7	74:1, 74:15,	63:14, 66:10,
88:13	addresses	79:6, 81:14,	84:15, 84:21,
actions	32:14, 47:20	82:10, 86:22,	86:17, 91:12,
8:21, 11:15,	administration	90:7, 90:9,	91:19, 91:20,
14:21	4:16, 61:21,	93:4, 94:16,	92:2, 92:7,
activated	62:4	96:13, 98:1,	92:8, 92:16,
100:12	administrator	98:21, 100:3,	92:20, 93:3,
activities	35:2, 35:9,	103:1, 104:15	93:8, 93:12,
11:8, 11:12,	37:4, 38:6,	against	93:14, 93:21,
13:1, 13:16,	39:6, 46:5,	18:1, 21:15,	94:1, 97:18,
14:4, 14:20,	55:7, 55:8,	48:14	98:18, 99:2,
15:16, 16:4,	55:16, 77:3,	agencies	101:15, 102:12,
53:3, 76:2,	77:4, 79:13,	12:12, 13:1,	103:16, 104:12,
81:3, 99:3,	101:22	13:3, 15:4,	105:6
102:13	administrator's	15:14, 15:17,	aircraft
activity	76:10, 76:15	15:18, 22:11,	9:19, 11:9,
10:21, 11:1,	adopt	28:13, 29:3,	11:13, 13:12,
11:14, 11:19,	63:22	29:12, 46:6,	14:3, 14:15,
12:2, 13:18,	adversarial	73:9, 73:17,	23:9, 66:12
14:19, 15:13,	14:20	74:2, 74:13,	airlines
16:7	advisory	74:21, 75:2,	85:13
actors	87:16, 88:2,	75:14, 76:3,	airport
53:6	88:5, 88:14,	79:15, 89:5,	21:6, 21:7
actual	88:21, 89:2	89:14, 90:2,	airports
12:7, 27:2,	affairs	101:13	9:3, 13:5,
	102:1	agency	20:21, 23:7
	affiliations	15:12, 29:18,	al
	65:8, 65:11		1:5, 1:13, 6:4,

Transcript of Hao-y Tran Froemling, Designated Representative

Conducted on October 4, 2018

29

6:5 alexandria 1:3 all 38:4, 53:5, 59:16, 63:13, 70:16, 78:6, 82:12, 85:21, 93:11, 105:5, 105:12 allowing 47:15 also 4:21, 6:19, 10:10, 16:13, 17:2, 19:4, 20:13, 20:21, 23:2, 23:10, 29:9, 40:19, 41:2, 43:6, 44:21, 45:18, 45:22, 46:3, 48:16, 48:19, 54:1, 54:22, 55:1, 55:3, 65:16, 66:15, 67:18, 68:18, 69:22, 70:11, 75:7, 77:12, 79:12, 79:19, 83:20, 84:8, 87:3, 89:1, 89:21, 90:11, 94:7, 95:8, 95:16, 102:11 american-islamic 3:6, 6:17 among 59:18, 60:4 analysis 27:22, 64:9, 64:19, 71:16, 72:6, 98:13, 99:20 analysts 99:10 analyzing 101:11	anas 1:5 anomalous 11:16 another 83:15, 89:20 answered 26:19, 34:19, 46:16, 51:15, 68:19, 97:20 answering 33:8, 33:10 answers 37:18 antonia 3:12, 6:21 anybody 38:15, 42:20 anyone 45:9 anything 21:1, 29:8, 29:15, 47:2, 49:22, 52:12, 56:11, 82:21, 99:7 appear 62:5 application 43:16, 70:19 applies 20:18 apply 20:1 appreciate 25:8, 38:20 appropriate 15:12, 15:14 approve 76:4, 76:11, 76:12, 76:13, 77:9, 79:4, 79:12 approved 55:6, 55:7, 55:16 approves 77:15	april 107:17 areas 83:11 arlington 4:19 arrest 52:1 arrested 38:14, 38:16, 38:21 article 60:7, 60:9 aside 74:12 ask 29:11, 35:5, 37:12, 37:19, 37:22, 38:1, 38:2, 58:13, 60:11, 87:9 asked 25:16, 26:19, 67:21, 68:18, 97:20 asking 37:4 assesses 75:10 assessment 28:22, 79:22 assign 57:21, 93:12, 101:15 assigned 10:1, 91:13, 91:21, 92:9, 103:16, 104:12, 105:4, 105:7 assigning 57:10, 57:14 assignment 92:16, 93:8, 93:20, 94:4, 94:15, 102:12 assignments 92:1, 92:11, 93:3, 93:14,	93:15, 94:12 assigns 58:6 assist 74:2, 74:13 assistant 101:21 associated 31:22, 32:8, 32:14 associations 64:21, 65:1 assumption 41:7 ats 28:8, 54:22 attached 5:7, 8:7, 19:17, 58:16, 101:7 attend 35:12 audiences 56:21 authorities 55:18, 77:3, 79:11, 79:12 authority 8:20, 76:3, 76:10, 77:6, 77:8, 77:17, 79:3, 79:9, 79:14 automatically 33:22, 42:19, 44:21, 81:14, 91:12, 92:8, 105:4 availability 91:20, 92:17, 92:21 available 105:7 avenue 3:7, 4:7 aviation 9:19, 10:2, 11:10, 12:17,
--	---	---	---

Transcript of Hao-y Tran Froemling, Designated Representative

Conducted on October 4, 2018

30

13:10, 14:6, 15:11, 26:2, 28:1, 31:7, 47:21, 48:4, 48:12, 48:21, 49:12, 49:20, 52:17, 52:19, 53:3, 53:17, 54:5, 54:6, 64:7, 64:15, 70:10, 71:16, 72:3, 72:5, 81:19 aware 23:18, 24:3, 24:5, 25:4, 84:4, 84:21, 85:6, 85:8, 85:10, 86:14, 90:15, 94:21, 99:13 awareness 88:19	46:17, 47:4, 50:8, 51:21, 64:3, 64:8, 64:19, 66:17, 71:16, 72:6, 73:21, 74:16, 92:20 basically 102:7 basis 21:21, 29:13, 32:11, 32:17, 43:6, 43:9, 55:4, 58:3, 58:10, 58:21, 61:7, 61:12, 61:18, 63:10, 65:14, 65:16, 65:18, 66:16, 66:22, 70:20, 71:3, 71:5, 72:19, 73:7, 75:5, 80:4, 86:6, 88:9, 102:18, 103:2 because 41:5, 49:6, 53:8, 65:22, 74:19, 75:7, 83:3, 83:8, 100:7, 100:12, 100:22 become 14:21 been 24:7, 25:2, 28:3, 33:7, 38:13, 38:16, 38:17, 38:21, 39:8, 39:20, 39:22, 41:4, 41:9, 41:11, 44:1, 44:18, 46:6, 46:9, 50:22, 51:4, 51:6, 52:22, 56:3, 56:6, 56:9, 56:18,	59:20, 81:19, 84:22, 85:9, 85:11, 86:3, 93:22, 95:13, 95:14, 95:18, 97:4, 97:5, 97:8, 97:16, 97:20, 98:4, 99:20, 100:3, 100:7, 100:12, 102:11, 103:6 before 2:14, 8:11, 36:5, 36:21, 58:19, 60:15, 78:22, 80:12, 101:14, 107:4 began 57:4, 63:15 begin 57:10, 57:14, 63:17 beginning 78:14, 84:13, 102:10 begins 6:2 behalf 3:2, 3:11, 4:2, 8:13, 45:16, 89:17, 90:10 behavior 10:4, 11:16 being 7:7, 33:21, 34:9, 34:21, 39:17, 41:10, 42:7, 42:8, 42:18, 44:20, 46:21, 52:7, 52:22, 66:14, 70:18, 71:1, 95:11, 95:17, 95:22, 99:22, 103:6 believe 34:19, 37:1, 38:15, 46:16,	56:14, 56:20, 80:22, 87:6, 90:16, 91:3, 91:10, 97:20, 105:3 below 62:16 beneath 65:19 best 9:9, 69:13 better 29:11 between 19:8, 20:20, 30:14 bilello 101:17, 101:18, 101:21 binder 8:4, 58:12, 101:3 bit 71:14 board 9:21, 17:4 boarding 23:8, 87:9, 87:11, 87:14 bodies 90:1 bold 62:16 bomber 53:16 border 4:5 boston 59:22, 60:7, 60:20 both 19:7 break 35:19, 36:21, 59:4, 77:22, 78:4, 86:12, 104:1, 104:3 brief 36:4, 56:16
B			
b) (6 5:9 back 7:13, 23:14, 33:11, 36:2, 44:12, 54:3, 59:14, 60:12, 64:11, 69:14, 72:22, 78:15, 78:21, 80:2, 84:15, 85:5, 85:20, 87:15, 96:13, 103:13, 104:4, 104:9 background 27:2 bar 62:8, 62:13, 62:17 based 16:19, 18:8, 26:6, 36:12, 38:19, 45:18,			

Transcript of Hao-y Tran Froemling, Designated Representative

Conducted on October 4, 2018

31

briefings 56:20 broad 82:18, 83:4, 83:12 broader 14:14, 39:9, 79:10, 83:5 broadly 16:2, 86:21, 98:14 brought 88:19 bullet 65:7 bulletin 58:12, 62:9, 62:19 business 101:16, 102:15, 102:22	15:22, 17:18, 18:11, 18:21, 19:11, 20:16, 21:1, 21:19, 22:3, 22:13, 23:1, 23:21, 24:11, 25:18, 26:8, 26:21, 27:13, 27:19, 28:18, 29:8, 29:15, 30:18, 31:17, 32:2, 33:2, 34:6, 34:16, 39:10, 41:15, 42:1, 42:11, 42:12, 43:4, 43:12, 43:20, 44:6, 45:19, 46:13, 47:2, 48:7, 49:22, 50:18, 51:12, 52:4, 52:12, 54:16, 55:12, 55:20, 56:12, 57:2, 57:7, 57:18, 60:22, 62:22, 65:3, 66:8, 67:17, 68:4, 68:9, 69:2, 69:10, 69:21, 72:13, 73:13, 74:5, 76:6, 76:20, 77:11, 79:7, 79:18, 82:7, 82:21, 85:18, 87:20, 88:10, 89:9, 91:7, 91:15, 92:4, 92:13, 93:5, 94:19, 96:4, 96:22, 97:21, 98:7, 98:22, 99:7, 99:18, 100:9, 102:5, 103:20 cannot 51:18, 95:1,	97:6 capabilities 14:19 capacity 1:12, 36:16, 88:21, 88:22, 89:19 carolyn 3:3, 6:16 carrier 24:6, 86:17, 87:8 carriers 23:7, 23:15, 84:15, 84:21, 85:6 carrying 94:14 case 1:7, 6:6, 107:12 cause 11:13, 14:4 cbp 28:5, 28:12, 74:9, 74:12, 74:19, 75:12, 89:1, 89:3, 89:19 cbp's 74:10, 74:20, 89:4, 89:21 cdc 17:3 center 1:11, 16:5, 90:5, 90:21 certificate 107:1 certified 107:3 certify 107:5 cetera 84:7 chairman 36:22, 39:6 change 78:7	characteristics 82:18 characterize 14:9 charged 9:18, 10:11 charles 1:8 chief 55:5, 80:5 christmas 53:15 christopher 3:13 cia 30:2 circumstances 15:2, 44:3, 45:1 civil 2:6, 55:4, 55:18, 80:4, 84:6, 89:22 clarification 36:4, 46:4, 80:15, 81:11, 96:13, 104:21 clarifications 78:18, 80:10 clarify 21:11, 21:13, 36:10, 60:3, 62:2, 77:21, 79:13, 83:21, 84:9, 90:19, 105:6 clarifying 59:17 cleanup 36:14, 83:15 clear 81:5, 84:13 clearly 101:16, 102:15, 102:21 close 78:20 closed 102:16
C			
c-span 37:7, 37:8 cabin 11:9 cair 105:19 call 9:8, 9:15, 10:7, 11:3, 12:5, 12:14, 14:12, 16:12, 17:7, 20:8, 21:9, 24:19, 36:18, 39:12, 40:8, 53:21, 63:6 called 12:3, 25:15, 54:13, 54:18, 55:10, 55:18 calling 63:19 calls 10:18, 11:21, 13:7, 15:6,			

Transcript of Hao-y Tran Froemling, Designated Representative

Conducted on October 4, 2018

32

collection 9:3, 13:4, 13:20, 13:22, 14:1, 14:5, 32:20, 33:14, 33:19	85:7, 99:20 conducts 79:22 confess 19:6 confirm 22:5, 33:8, 37:19, 77:22 confirmed 41:9, 95:13 confused 19:6 congress 35:3, 35:10, 37:1, 56:10, 56:15, 56:16, 58:5 connection 60:19 consider 31:12, 69:18, 70:4, 81:15 consideration 94:1 considered 65:2, 65:12, 81:7, 81:12, 96:15, 96:16 constantina 4:3 constitute 13:4, 96:1, 96:14 constitutes 48:3, 72:17 construct 25:21 contact 71:2 containing 91:13 content 36:9 context 72:1 continually 95:6, 95:8 continues 47:18	continuously 100:14, 100:19 cooperate 21:22, 22:6 cooperation 23:6 coordinate 27:5, 27:10, 27:16, 28:7, 28:12, 29:1, 74:9, 75:13 coordinates 75:12 coordinating 27:16, 74:19 coordination 28:4 copy 35:6, 62:12 correct 7:13, 7:14, 8:18, 8:19, 9:1, 15:9, 35:4, 41:13, 46:22, 47:4, 49:16, 49:17, 53:17, 53:18, 62:17, 63:15, 64:4, 85:20, 86:13, 86:15, 107:6 correctly 48:1, 48:2, 64:16, 64:21, 64:22, 65:9, 66:3, 72:8 could 11:16, 14:21, 20:8, 24:19, 25:8, 45:6, 60:11, 70:3, 71:22, 78:20, 78:21, 79:11, 80:9, 81:22, 82:12, 83:5, 84:18, 84:20 council 3:6, 6:17, 30:8, 87:16,	88:2, 88:6, 88:15 counsel 6:14, 7:10, 25:7, 37:10, 38:1, 55:5, 59:16, 78:17, 80:5, 80:6, 84:7, 90:1, 105:9, 107:11 counterterrorism 16:5 country 20:13 course 14:7, 15:10, 94:14 court 1:1, 6:6, 7:1, 58:13, 105:16 coverage 9:12, 9:22, 10:3, 57:11, 57:15, 57:22, 58:7, 63:14, 65:20, 66:6, 66:18, 67:3, 67:8, 97:17, 98:19, 103:17, 104:13, 105:2 create 37:12, 74:21, 75:2, 75:8, 75:15 created 89:7 creates 29:1, 54:14, 54:20, 75:11, 84:4 creating 24:15 creation 27:2, 28:15, 29:2, 29:19, 30:3, 30:10, 73:10, 73:18, 74:18, 79:16,
---	---	---	---

Transcript of Hao-y Tran Froemling, Designated Representative

Conducted on October 4, 2018

33

87:18, 88:16 crew 9:20, 11:14, 13:13, 33:21 crime 46:21 criminal 15:13, 47:8 criteria 45:3, 88:6, 89:6, 90:20, 97:10, 97:13 cross 31:15, 31:21, 32:7, 32:13 crr 1:22, 2:14 current 27:22, 31:6, 47:5, 48:11, 48:20, 50:3, 52:15, 54:4, 56:2, 56:17, 64:3, 64:9, 71:8, 71:17, 72:6, 75:10, 79:22, 95:9, 99:12, 100:14, 102:9 currently 17:14 customs 4:5 cv 1:8, 6:7	david 35:9 day 53:15, 107:15 days 41:13 dc 1:17, 2:8, 3:8, 3:16, 4:9 deactivate 100:22 deactivated 100:6, 100:16 decided 25:21 deciding 101:14 declaration 5:10, 10:10, 17:9, 18:13, 19:1, 19:13, 26:10, 27:4, 28:5, 30:21, 42:14, 47:11, 49:14, 63:21, 64:4, 64:6, 71:8, 73:4, 80:21, 88:1, 96:8, 103:4 deemed 17:4 defendants 1:14, 3:11, 4:2, 6:22 definition 52:9, 72:10, 80:16, 82:10 definitively 45:8, 97:6 deliberative 88:9 delivery 105:21 department 2:5, 3:14, 4:6, 4:15, 6:22, 76:14, 77:1, 77:8, 79:3, 80:6	depend 16:2, 16:6, 46:20, 83:2 depending 12:19, 83:3, 83:4, 83:13, 91:19 deploy 92:21 deployments 10:22 deponent 38:3 depos 6:11, 7:3 deposed 7:12 deposition 1:16, 2:1, 5:8, 5:9, 6:3, 6:12, 7:18, 8:6, 8:9, 16:14, 19:16, 23:3, 24:1, 37:4, 40:16, 45:18, 58:15, 59:19, 60:5, 60:8, 61:4, 66:15, 78:10, 87:2, 94:7, 94:18, 101:6, 105:13, 107:5 deputy 55:8 derive 18:1, 49:4, 80:1 derived 16:19, 28:4, 31:6, 47:5, 74:10 derives 28:21 deriving 29:5 derogatory 43:1, 96:1, 96:9 described 33:19	description 5:11 designate 18:5, 19:20, 27:8, 65:12, 96:8 designated 23:2, 23:22, 24:4, 24:7, 25:22, 51:7, 66:15, 66:21, 70:12, 70:13, 83:7, 84:22, 85:9, 87:1, 94:6, 94:17 designation 23:11, 24:6, 86:21, 87:9, 87:13 designed 16:9, 101:15 designee 42:8 detailed 98:9 details 53:5, 53:12, 73:3, 90:20, 98:9, 98:16 determination 76:16, 88:4 determine 99:15, 102:14 determined 14:17, 38:18, 39:8, 72:3 determines 92:1 deterred 50:22, 51:17 deterrence 51:18 devises 81:3 dhs 55:4, 77:18, 77:19, 80:4, 84:3, 84:6,
D			
dash 101:12, 101:14 data 98:15, 99:2 database 16:9, 30:16, 36:16, 66:2 date 6:8, 33:5, 34:1, 34:9, 44:17			

Transcript of Hao-y Tran Froemling, Designated Representative

Conducted on October 4, 2018

34

89:22	discuss	26:5, 28:12,	93:10
did	88:6, 88:15	28:21, 31:21,	E
24:9, 35:12,	discussed	32:7, 32:13,	each
35:14, 35:17,	16:17, 17:2,	32:19, 33:13,	97:4
38:2, 39:3,	17:20, 19:1,	33:22, 36:14,	earlier
39:4, 48:1,	19:19, 20:10,	42:8, 42:17,	46:3, 48:13,
48:2, 51:17,	23:10, 28:5,	44:21, 48:14,	52:15, 53:10,
57:10, 57:14,	30:21, 40:16,	57:21, 61:20,	67:21, 71:13,
60:19, 63:17,	45:1, 48:13,	62:19, 63:3,	75:14, 80:16,
64:16, 64:17,	52:15, 53:10,	67:22, 68:21,	83:21, 84:9,
64:21, 64:22,	60:20, 70:8,	69:18, 70:4,	84:13, 87:15,
65:8, 66:2,	74:8, 75:7,	70:15, 70:18,	95:10, 99:21,
72:8, 80:9,	75:16, 84:14,	71:1, 72:22,	104:22
80:14, 83:15,	87:7, 88:18	74:8, 75:1,	east
83:20, 84:8,	discussion	77:4, 77:5,	4:18
104:21, 105:9	80:3	77:16, 81:6,	eastern
difference	distracting	81:14, 89:3,	1:2, 6:6
19:8, 50:12	25:8	90:3, 90:5,	effect
different	district	90:19, 91:5,	18:2, 18:4,
65:21, 83:11	1:1, 1:2, 2:15,	93:17, 94:10,	51:18
difficult	6:5, 6:6, 107:22	95:2, 95:20,	effective
50:21, 50:22,	division	96:14, 96:19,	99:16
51:16, 51:19,	1:3, 2:6	98:3, 98:18,	effectively
62:12	document	99:15, 102:2,	92:20
direct	11:19, 55:15,	102:14, 102:20	effectiveness
8:21, 16:18,	58:18, 59:1,	doesn't	47:14, 95:2,
17:21, 22:17,	59:17, 59:21,	103:5	95:15, 95:21,
24:22, 50:7,	60:15, 60:19,	doing	99:5, 99:10,
51:6, 96:16	61:5, 61:10,	56:19	99:14, 100:4
directly	61:15, 61:20,	domestic	efficiency
51:5, 70:15	61:22, 62:3,	19:3, 20:2,	47:14
director	62:8, 68:16,	20:18, 20:21,	either
1:9	68:20, 69:13,	21:3, 28:10	41:13, 46:19,
disapprove	69:17, 73:1	don't	51:22, 60:12
76:4, 76:12,	documentation	38:10, 38:15,	elevated
76:13, 77:9,	55:2	54:9, 55:14,	17:13, 27:9,
79:4, 79:12	documents	55:22, 68:14,	31:5, 42:16,
disapproves	54:13, 54:18,	83:18, 85:1,	47:7, 96:11,
77:15	55:9, 63:4,	85:2, 87:12	96:18, 96:20
disc	63:9, 68:13,	down	elhady
6:2, 78:10,	68:21, 69:6	52:22, 53:12,	1:5, 6:4
78:15	does	65:6, 65:19,	elide
disclosed	9:2, 16:8,	86:12	71:14
73:4	18:7, 21:5,	duly	ellipses
discretion	21:11, 21:15,	7:7	71:16
93:2	21:22, 22:5,	during	email
discretionary	22:9, 22:20,	14:10, 25:12	32:14, 105:21
92:10	23:4, 25:5,	duties	
		12:17, 14:2,	

Transcript of Hao-y Tran Froemling, Designated Representative

Conducted on October 4, 2018

35

employed 107:11	87:21, 88:11, 89:10, 90:11,	evaluated 95:15	5:11, 5:12, 8:6, 19:15, 19:16,
employee 94:1	91:1, 91:8,	evaluating 95:9	47:12, 58:14,
employees 68:1	96:5, 97:1,	even 72:16	58:15, 59:21,
encompass 83:10	97:22, 101:13	engage 9:2, 10:15	60:1, 61:3,
encompasses 82:17	enhanced 18:5, 19:21,	eventually 38:17	64:11, 64:12,
encounters 41:13	22:19, 22:22,	ever 12:11, 32:19,	65:6, 65:19,
end 78:9, 96:20, 105:13	23:12, 23:16, 23:19, 24:4,	33:13, 34:3, 34:12, 41:19,	71:13, 72:22,
endanger 11:13, 11:17	24:7, 25:3, 41:5, 49:10,	43:1, 43:17, 44:2, 46:10,	73:5, 101:3,
enforcement 10:12, 11:7, 11:12, 12:17, 13:11, 13:17, 14:2, 14:6, 14:8, 14:15, 15:10, 15:14, 28:19, 29:10, 29:16, 29:22, 30:6, 30:12, 30:19, 31:20, 32:4, 32:11, 32:17, 33:3, 33:20, 34:7, 34:17, 36:19, 39:13, 40:9, 43:7, 43:14, 43:21, 44:7, 45:22, 46:14, 48:8, 50:19, 51:13, 52:5, 52:13, 53:22, 58:21, 61:7, 62:4, 65:17, 69:22, 70:21, 71:5, 72:14, 72:19, 73:7, 73:14, 73:21, 74:6, 74:16, 75:5, 82:22, 86:7, 87:3,	51:1, 51:3, 70:13, 75:18, 75:21, 84:17, 84:22, 85:8, 85:9, 85:21, 86:4, 86:14, 87:10 enough 48:22, 50:8 entire 72:1 equal 82:1 equivalent 43:1 esquire 3:3, 3:4, 3:5, 3:12, 3:13, 4:3, 4:4, 4:12, 4:13, 4:14 establish 81:18 established 42:15, 49:9, 56:3, 56:22, 57:5, 81:9 establishing 83:10 establishment 56:18, 56:19 et 1:5, 1:12, 6:4, 6:5, 84:7 evaluate 95:2, 95:21	50:15, 51:9, 56:9, 58:5, 60:14, 93:22, 94:13, 97:16, 100:6, 103:16, 104:12 every 17:14, 66:10, 81:6 everything 92:18 evolved 100:21 examination 5:2, 7:10 examine 99:12 examined 7:9 example 12:22 examples 72:16 execute 18:3 executed 55:1 executing 22:8 execution 24:14 exercise 93:2 exhibit 5:9, 5:10,	101:6 exhibits 5:8 existence 83:22 existing 53:16, 71:15, 72:3 expanded 40:15, 41:8, 48:15, 67:9 expires 107:17 explains 54:14, 54:19 extensively 60:10 extent 10:19, 10:20, 11:5, 11:22, 12:6, 12:15, 13:8, 14:13, 15:8, 16:1, 16:14, 17:8, 17:19, 18:12, 18:22, 19:12, 20:9, 20:17, 21:2, 21:10, 22:4, 22:14, 24:3, 26:9, 28:20, 30:20, 33:4, 34:8, 34:18, 39:14, 40:10, 42:13, 44:8, 45:21, 46:2, 46:15, 47:3, 48:9, 50:2, 50:20, 51:14, 52:6,

Transcript of Hao-y Tran Froemling, Designated Representative

Conducted on October 4, 2018

36

52:14, 54:1, 55:21, 56:13, 57:8, 62:14, 68:5, 68:10, 69:4, 70:1, 70:7, 70:11, 74:7, 74:17, 75:6, 75:20, 76:7, 76:19, 76:21, 77:13, 79:8, 79:20, 79:21, 82:8, 83:1, 83:6, 83:9, 83:12, 85:19, 86:8, 87:5, 87:10, 89:1, 91:17, 92:5, 92:14, 93:6, 93:9, 93:19, 96:6, 97:3, 98:1, 98:8, 99:1, 99:19, 100:10, 103:3, 103:22, 104:17	81:15, 101:17, 102:16, 102:22 fams 12:8, 12:9, 12:11, 13:2, 13:9, 14:1, 14:7, 15:3, 92:21, 93:19, 105:1, 105:4, 105:6 far 80:2 fbi 16:5, 29:18, 91:5, 91:11 federal 8:17, 8:21, 9:11, 9:18, 10:4, 10:11, 10:14, 11:6, 11:18, 15:19, 28:13, 29:2, 34:13, 34:21, 57:10, 57:14, 57:21, 58:6, 61:11, 62:4, 63:14, 66:10, 73:9, 73:17, 74:2, 74:12, 75:14, 76:3, 77:14, 79:15, 89:5, 89:12, 89:14, 89:20, 90:2, 91:12, 91:20, 92:6, 92:8, 92:16, 92:20, 93:3, 93:8, 93:12, 93:20, 94:1, 98:18, 99:2, 101:13, 102:12, 103:16, 104:12, 105:6 fifth 101:9 final 93:14 financial 107:13	fine 78:5 first 7:7, 35:5, 38:4, 62:16, 64:12, 66:13 five 99:3 five-minute 78:3 flagged 32:21, 33:15, 41:5, 41:12, 42:8, 43:8, 44:2, 95:22, 98:4 flagging 41:19 flies 66:11 flight 18:3, 20:4, 20:5, 20:12, 20:20, 40:13, 55:1, 84:15, 98:14 flights 9:22, 10:1, 13:13, 19:21, 21:7, 21:17, 25:2, 27:4, 28:9, 91:13, 91:21, 92:2, 92:17, 92:22, 93:12, 93:21, 94:12, 105:5, 105:8 floor 4:18 flying 20:13 focus 47:15, 101:17 focusing 52:18 foiled 50:15, 51:6, 51:9, 51:20	follow 54:10 follow-on 85:3 following 53:15 follows 7:9, 33:13, 79:2, 104:12 foregoing 107:4, 107:6 foreign 20:13, 21:22, 22:6, 22:8, 22:11, 22:20, 23:17, 28:13, 29:3, 75:1, 75:14, 75:21, 84:10, 85:4, 85:13, 86:1, 86:10, 86:13, 86:16, 86:20, 87:13 form 71:2 formal 88:21, 99:9, 99:13 formally 51:20 forms 29:13 formulation 88:17 forwarded 13:19, 15:13 foundation 67:19 froemling 1:16, 2:1, 5:2, 5:8, 5:10, 6:4, 7:6, 7:12, 8:6, 8:16, 9:2, 19:16, 58:15, 58:18, 60:14, 78:11, 80:14, 83:20, 89:17, 90:9, 101:6,
F			
fact 40:11, 41:6, 44:1, 44:10, 45:6, 87:6 factor 65:1, 65:11, 93:15 factors 92:15, 92:18, 97:10 facts 43:16 fair 14:8, 67:20, 82:16 familiar 35:8, 35:9 family 32:8, 69:18, 70:4, 70:16, 70:18, 81:12,			

Transcript of Hao-y Tran Froemling, Designated Representative

Conducted on October 4, 2018

37

104:21, 105:14 from 8:1, 16:8, 20:4, 24:9, 25:7, 29:6, 31:6, 34:20, 35:14, 36:14, 37:17, 38:1, 44:18, 45:9, 47:5, 47:20, 48:10, 49:3, 51:18, 62:12, 65:21, 74:12, 81:9, 81:20, 82:14, 84:9, 86:20, 93:14, 95:15, 101:4, 101:12, 102:21 full 47:12, 60:22, 64:12, 101:9 fully 50:6 fund 105:20 further 12:20, 14:17, 15:1	getting 36:8 give 72:16, 90:19, 91:5 given 68:12, 107:7 gives 91:3, 91:10 globe 59:22, 60:7, 60:20 glover 4:3 go 19:14, 22:19, 25:14, 44:21, 54:3, 78:1, 80:11, 85:5, 92:16, 92:19, 103:8 goes 64:18, 85:3, 96:13 going 21:18, 25:10, 29:14, 29:17, 29:21, 30:1, 32:10, 35:5, 35:22, 37:18, 37:22, 38:8, 45:15, 54:1, 57:19, 58:9, 58:20, 59:12, 60:21, 61:6, 61:13, 61:17, 62:11, 63:18, 63:19, 64:1, 65:4, 66:9, 66:13, 66:16, 66:20, 67:18, 71:14, 72:18, 73:6, 73:20, 73:22, 74:15, 75:4, 77:10, 78:7, 78:11, 79:6, 79:17, 86:5, 86:6,	86:22, 87:2, 87:15, 87:19, 88:8, 90:7, 94:16, 96:3, 97:19, 100:11, 102:17, 103:1, 103:11, 104:7, 104:15 governance 56:1, 56:6, 56:9, 56:15, 84:5 governed 20:5 government 22:11, 73:9, 75:2, 84:10, 86:13, 86:16 governments 22:1, 22:6, 22:8, 22:20, 23:17, 29:3, 75:15, 75:22, 85:4, 85:14, 86:2, 86:10, 87:13 granular 52:22 gray 62:8, 62:17 greenband 4:12, 41:17, 59:3 ground 57:20, 66:17 grounds 79:19, 87:2, 90:12 group 82:18	half 37:7 hand 107:15 hao-y 1:16, 2:1, 5:2, 6:3, 7:6, 105:14 happen 45:21, 97:2 happened 45:13 happens 70:12 happy 37:15 hard 62:9 harm 11:13, 13:15, 14:4, 47:21, 48:4, 49:5, 49:19, 50:11 has 8:20, 24:4, 34:3, 34:10, 34:12, 38:15, 38:21, 39:16, 39:20, 41:4, 41:9, 41:19, 44:1, 44:17, 45:8, 45:12, 46:6, 46:16, 50:15, 50:22, 51:9, 51:22, 56:5, 56:8, 58:5, 59:20, 67:15, 69:7, 77:2, 77:8, 79:3, 82:3, 88:19, 93:22, 94:2, 94:13, 95:12, 95:15, 95:16, 97:5, 97:8, 97:15, 97:20, 99:4, 99:20, 100:21 have 8:11, 16:18,
G			
gadeir 3:4, 6:18 gannon 4:14 gave 36:22 general 80:6, 84:7, 90:1 generally 7:17, 53:17, 54:3, 76:22, 90:13 generated 93:11 get 9:5, 77:21, 101:3, 105:16			
		H	
		had 16:16, 17:2, 35:3, 36:11, 39:8, 40:2, 51:1, 51:4, 78:17, 78:19	

Transcript of Hao-y Tran Froemling, Designated Representative

Conducted on October 4, 2018

38

17:21, 18:8, 18:14, 19:1, 19:4, 19:20, 23:6, 24:7, 25:2, 25:20, 26:5, 26:10, 27:1, 27:9, 28:3, 31:5, 33:6, 33:7, 34:2, 34:22, 38:10, 38:13, 38:17, 39:21, 39:22, 40:19, 41:2, 41:9, 41:11, 42:5, 42:18, 44:18, 46:7, 46:9, 46:17, 47:5, 48:18, 49:9, 50:8, 51:1, 51:3, 51:8, 51:19, 52:22, 53:12, 54:3, 54:7, 55:1, 55:22, 56:1, 56:3, 56:8, 56:18, 58:18, 60:12, 60:14, 62:19, 63:3, 67:22, 68:13, 68:21, 76:3, 77:5, 79:12, 83:15, 84:2, 84:22, 85:9, 85:11, 86:3, 88:16, 88:18, 88:20, 89:5, 91:21, 95:10, 95:13, 95:18, 97:4, 98:4, 99:21, 100:1, 100:2, 100:7, 100:16, 100:20, 102:11, 102:20, 103:5, 103:6, 105:9, 107:12, 107:14 head 38:11	heading 62:16 healy 3:13 hear 39:3 hearing 35:12, 35:15, 35:17 held 2:1 here 6:2, 45:16, 59:10, 89:17, 90:10 hereby 107:5 hereunto 107:14 high 12:10, 12:16, 23:4, 40:11, 72:16, 81:4, 91:19, 92:6, 92:15, 92:21, 92:22, 93:7, 96:9, 97:11, 103:7 high-risk 16:10, 16:19, 16:21, 17:10, 18:9, 18:18, 22:10, 25:3, 25:22, 26:6, 26:12, 26:13, 28:9, 28:16, 29:13, 29:19, 30:4, 30:10, 30:15, 30:22, 31:4, 31:12, 31:15, 31:21, 32:7, 32:13, 32:19, 33:5, 33:13, 40:19, 40:20, 42:20, 43:1, 46:20, 54:14, 54:20, 54:22, 55:10,	65:13, 70:19, 71:3, 74:3, 74:14, 74:21, 75:3, 77:15, 79:16, 82:18, 85:11, 86:21, 87:18, 88:4, 88:7, 89:4, 89:7, 89:15, 90:6, 90:15, 90:21, 91:21, 93:18, 94:11, 95:7, 95:21, 95:22, 97:12, 98:16 high-risk-based 76:9 higher 17:4, 18:5, 18:16, 26:3, 42:16, 49:8, 49:11, 50:10, 50:14, 51:2, 70:13, 74:11, 75:18, 81:22, 82:14, 86:4, 95:12, 95:19, 102:10 higher-risk 47:16 his 1:11, 39:19 hoa-y 78:11 homeland 4:6, 4:15, 12:18, 26:2, 28:2, 31:8, 48:13, 48:21, 49:13, 52:17, 52:19, 53:4, 54:6, 70:11, 76:15, 77:1, 77:2, 77:5, 77:8, 79:3, 81:19 homer 3:3, 5:3, 6:16,	7:11, 9:6, 33:11, 35:19, 36:13, 37:15, 37:21, 44:12, 59:2, 59:5, 59:9, 59:20, 60:6, 60:13, 67:20, 78:1, 78:5, 78:8, 78:17, 78:21, 80:11, 82:2, 83:15, 83:19, 103:8, 103:15, 104:19, 105:9 houlihan 4:13 hour 37:6 how 7:17, 25:20, 38:12, 39:1, 96:19, 97:6, 98:3, 98:18, 99:11, 99:15, 102:14 however 16:18, 38:16, 45:10, 46:6, 51:5, 51:19, 53:1 human 93:1, 93:7 hyperlinked 60:8 <hr/> I <hr/> i'll 37:10, 45:10, 45:18, 82:6, 89:16, 93:4, 98:21 i've 27:1, 35:16, 46:16, 102:8 identification 8:7, 19:17, 31:4, 51:2, 58:16, 94:11,
---	---	---	---

Transcript of Hao-y Tran Froemling, Designated Representative

Conducted on October 4, 2018

39

101:7 identified 12:20, 25:2, 28:3, 33:6, 39:20, 40:18, 41:9, 44:18, 46:6, 51:4, 51:8, 51:20, 53:1, 59:19, 60:4, 60:6, 70:10, 81:19, 86:3, 95:13, 95:16, 95:17, 96:19, 99:21, 99:22, 100:2, 100:20, 103:6 identifies 47:20 identify 6:14, 16:10, 16:20, 17:12, 18:16, 18:18, 22:18, 26:1, 26:2, 27:5, 27:8, 28:1, 28:2, 28:8, 40:14, 42:15, 42:17, 47:6, 48:12, 48:20, 49:8, 49:10, 50:3, 50:7, 50:9, 51:16, 51:18, 54:5, 71:10, 74:10, 75:10, 75:16, 75:17, 81:3, 81:21, 82:13, 83:9, 93:18, 96:11, 102:9 identifying 26:12, 50:13, 95:11 ignore 101:16 image 60:9 implement 24:14, 28:6,	28:8, 54:21, 74:9, 74:19, 75:2, 75:8, 75:16, 76:11, 82:13, 89:3 implementation 28:15, 68:14, 69:7, 69:16, 74:3, 74:13, 76:1, 80:7, 84:11, 85:5, 88:17 implemented 26:1, 28:11, 88:20 implementing 89:21, 90:15 implements 68:17, 95:8 implicated 91:2, 94:8, 94:19, 104:16 implicates 77:12, 90:8, 95:5 inbound 20:4 include 17:3, 59:18, 66:19, 67:4, 67:9 included 59:22 includes 9:22, 67:15 including 13:3 incorporated 102:11 increases 47:13 independent 28:22, 46:8, 79:22, 95:11, 95:17 independently 75:9, 75:11, 100:3	indication 96:17 indications 50:5 indicator 85:21 individual 41:4, 43:8, 44:1, 44:10, 45:13, 46:21, 48:3, 49:19, 52:1, 66:11, 71:1, 77:7, 79:2, 81:6, 82:4, 85:10, 85:15, 86:14, 96:15, 96:19, 97:5 individual's 65:1, 65:11, 71:2 individuals 21:16, 23:12, 24:4, 25:1, 38:12, 39:7, 39:17, 39:21, 40:2, 40:15, 41:10, 41:12, 42:6, 44:17, 46:7, 46:8, 46:17, 47:9, 47:21, 48:14, 48:17, 49:2, 50:7, 53:12, 66:19, 67:4, 67:9, 70:12, 82:3, 82:12, 83:6, 84:20, 95:13, 100:2, 102:9, 102:21, 103:17, 104:13 ineffective 100:7, 100:13 ineffectiveness 101:1 inform 73:18 informed 58:5	informs 29:19, 30:3, 30:10 initial 8:16 input 79:15, 80:2, 87:17, 88:3, 88:16 instead 25:9 instruct 21:20, 29:17, 30:1, 36:20, 43:5, 57:19, 58:10, 61:8, 61:13, 61:18, 63:20, 65:5, 66:9, 66:16, 73:8, 74:1, 102:18 instructed 36:7 instructing 30:6, 30:12, 31:19, 32:5, 32:12, 32:18, 41:16, 43:14, 43:21, 58:3, 58:22, 63:1, 63:11, 65:15, 67:1, 67:6, 67:12, 70:21, 71:6, 72:14, 72:20, 73:15 instruction 65:17 intelligence 9:3, 12:12, 13:3, 13:4, 13:20, 13:21, 14:1, 14:5, 14:10, 14:22, 15:4, 15:17, 15:18, 27:21, 27:22, 28:22, 29:5, 29:12, 30:9, 31:6,
--	--	---	--

Transcript of Hao-y Tran Froemling, Designated Representative

Conducted on October 4, 2018

40

33:19, 47:5, 48:11, 48:20, 50:3, 52:16, 54:4, 54:7, 56:2, 56:17, 64:3, 64:9, 71:9, 71:17, 72:6, 73:18, 75:10, 80:1, 95:9, 98:12, 99:12, 100:14, 101:12, 102:9 intelligence-driven 17:12, 17:22, 73:11 intend 47:21, 48:4, 49:19, 50:11 intended 24:21, 25:4, 27:3 intent 24:22 interest 107:12 interject 25:6, 45:22 internal 62:19, 63:3 international 19:7, 19:21, 20:1, 20:4, 20:11, 21:6, 22:21, 23:5, 23:18, 27:4, 28:9, 84:16, 101:14 international-based 19:2, 19:5 international-related 25:1 international-to-international 21:17 interpose 54:2, 68:11	interpreting 75:9 into 74:10, 79:15, 88:3, 92:16, 92:19, 96:10, 102:11 investigation 12:21, 14:17, 46:21, 66:1 involved 73:10, 75:22, 85:4, 86:17, 88:3, 93:10 involvement 84:10, 93:8 issue 59:17 it's 12:8, 12:21, 12:22, 13:16, 19:15, 26:14, 37:6, 50:2, 50:6, 50:11, 50:12, 50:21, 50:22, 51:16, 51:18, 69:14, 74:19, 82:10, 83:12, 84:13, 92:6, 100:20 itineraries 21:16 its 22:15, 54:14, 54:19, 68:1, 71:15, 72:2, 74:9, 75:2, 80:1, 88:19, 89:2, 89:3, 89:21, 91:5, 91:10, 93:17, 94:11, 95:21, 99:5, 99:15, 100:4, 107:13	jersey 3:7 job 1:20 joining 6:18 joseph 4:22, 6:10 july 59:22, 60:7, 101:5 just 19:6, 19:14, 19:22, 21:11, 21:13, 22:5, 23:14, 25:6, 26:14, 27:10, 27:15, 33:9, 34:19, 36:4, 36:10, 37:10, 60:20, 62:15, 70:15, 76:18, 77:21, 77:22, 78:3, 79:6, 79:10, 84:18, 86:12, 88:1, 97:7, 97:10, 98:15, 100:17, 102:2, 104:2 justice 2:5, 3:14, 6:22	26:10, 40:11, 41:6, 45:21, 48:22, 49:2, 50:4, 53:5, 53:11, 54:10, 54:17, 55:14, 55:22, 68:12, 68:14, 68:20, 69:12, 69:16, 82:11, 83:6, 85:1, 85:2, 85:14, 86:8, 87:4, 87:6, 87:12, 89:14, 90:4, 90:5, 90:11, 91:9, 97:3, 101:20 knowledge 45:12, 89:6, 99:9 known 39:22, 40:4, 42:18, 48:19, 49:15, 49:18, 50:6, 50:12, 51:5, 52:10, 53:7, 53:8, 53:9, 53:13, 64:2, 64:8, 64:15, 69:19, 70:5, 70:9, 70:16, 72:5, 80:18, 81:2, 81:8, 81:10, 81:13, 81:16, 81:20, 82:5, 82:11, 82:15, 82:17, 83:4, 83:7, 83:8, 96:16 knows 47:17
	J	K	
	jennifer 4:12	kable 1:8, 6:5 kathleen 4:14 katy 1:22, 2:14, 7:2, 107:2 keeping 17:1 kevin 4:13 kind 27:14 know 12:7, 22:7,	L
			label 82:17 labeled 82:4

Transcript of Hao-y Tran Froemling, Designated Representative
Conducted on October 4, 2018

41

large 52:18, 94:10 larger 38:18 last 33:8 lastly 104:20 later 14:16, 39:8 law 10:12, 11:7, 11:11, 12:16, 13:11, 13:17, 14:2, 14:5, 14:7, 14:14, 15:10, 15:14, 28:19, 29:9, 29:16, 29:22, 30:5, 30:11, 30:19, 31:19, 32:4, 32:11, 32:17, 33:3, 33:20, 34:7, 34:17, 36:19, 39:12, 40:9, 43:7, 43:13, 43:21, 44:7, 45:22, 46:14, 48:8, 50:19, 51:13, 52:5, 52:13, 53:22, 58:21, 61:7, 62:4, 65:17, 69:22, 70:21, 71:5, 72:13, 72:19, 73:7, 73:13, 73:21, 74:5, 74:16, 75:5, 82:22, 86:7, 87:3, 87:21, 88:11, 89:10, 90:11, 91:1, 91:8, 96:5, 97:1, 97:22, 101:13 lead 32:20, 33:14,	33:22 leadership 54:8, 54:9 leads 32:21, 33:15 least 58:13 leave 45:10, 59:6, 59:8, 59:9 leaving 20:12 led 34:2, 34:22, 35:3, 51:22 left 61:10, 78:22 legal 77:11, 79:7, 79:9, 105:19 lena 3:5, 6:19 less 47:15, 47:17 let 27:14, 27:18, 29:11, 45:22, 62:2, 65:16, 67:20, 73:1, 76:18, 86:12, 88:13, 90:11, 94:7 let's 19:14 level 12:10, 12:16, 12:19, 14:18, 23:4, 40:12, 72:17, 81:22, 91:19, 92:6, 92:15, 93:7, 94:20 liberties 55:5, 80:5, 84:6, 90:1 like 58:11, 77:21, 82:17	line 36:6, 36:8, 39:15, 77:11 list 16:8, 17:15, 17:20, 17:21, 18:2, 18:4, 31:2, 31:3, 31:13, 35:4, 40:5, 40:16, 41:3, 42:21, 47:9, 52:2, 66:19, 67:4, 67:10, 71:2, 103:5 listed 8:14, 53:1, 62:18, 71:1, 103:17, 104:13 listee 70:18 listees 32:1, 32:9, 32:15, 58:1, 69:19, 70:5, 81:15 listing 87:16, 88:2, 88:5, 88:14, 89:2 lists 16:18, 16:22, 17:3, 17:4, 40:22, 41:2, 48:15 live 37:12 local 101:13 logistics 92:18 long 37:7, 98:3, 98:18 longer 100:16, 100:21 look 58:11	looking 11:11 lose 80:12 low 103:7 lower-risk 47:15 <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">M</p> <hr/> made 23:18, 24:3, 24:5, 56:6, 85:10 maintain 16:8, 103:5 make 12:1, 27:14, 33:7, 33:9, 42:4, 75:8, 84:12, 105:19 making 93:14 mann 4:4 manual 67:14 manuals 68:1, 68:7, 68:13 many 38:12, 39:2 march 7:13, 57:13, 58:12, 63:15, 63:17, 102:10 mark 19:14, 19:15, 58:14 marked 8:4, 8:7, 19:17, 47:11, 58:16, 59:20, 101:3, 101:7 markey 37:3, 37:17, 38:12, 38:20, 39:1
--	--	--	--

Transcript of Hao-y Tran Froemling, Designated Representative

Conducted on October 4, 2018

42

marks 78:9, 78:14, 105:13	84:19, 85:11, 98:10, 98:15, 105:1	70:4, 70:17, 81:12, 81:15, 87:16, 88:5, 89:12	31:7, 49:5, 49:11, 50:13, 53:7, 56:3, 64:1, 64:7, 64:14, 72:4, 81:9, 81:18, 82:14
marshal 8:17, 8:21, 9:11, 11:18, 15:19, 34:13, 61:11, 62:5, 63:14, 91:20, 92:21, 93:3, 93:14, 94:2, 97:18, 98:19, 101:15	matching 31:2, 31:9, 31:14, 40:14, 42:14, 42:17, 46:8, 49:3, 81:5, 96:8, 96:14, 97:7	memo 55:15, 69:15	mitigation 49:12
marshal's 92:7	materials 59:18, 60:1, 60:4	memorialize 55:9	moment 78:2
marshals 9:18, 10:4, 10:11, 10:14, 11:6, 34:21, 57:11, 57:15, 57:21, 58:6, 66:10, 91:12, 91:20, 92:2, 92:8, 92:16, 93:9, 93:12, 93:21, 99:2, 102:12, 103:16, 104:12, 105:7	matter 6:4, 8:16	memorialized 53:19, 55:15, 69:15	monitor 6:9, 14:3, 66:10
masri 3:5, 6:20	may 11:12, 13:1, 13:15, 14:4, 15:15, 15:16, 15:19, 16:19, 17:13, 19:13, 26:3, 27:8, 32:8, 47:21, 48:3, 49:5, 49:8, 49:12, 49:19, 50:10, 51:1, 51:3, 52:21, 70:14, 75:22, 81:4, 81:21, 82:13, 83:10, 83:13, 88:18, 88:20, 96:11, 104:18	memorializes 55:2	more 27:14, 47:16, 70:9, 80:2, 83:17, 86:21
match 31:10, 33:21, 40:13, 40:17, 40:22, 44:10, 44:19, 44:20, 45:7, 52:8, 73:4, 99:11, 99:22	mean 81:6	mensi 105:18	morning 80:16, 84:9, 84:14
matched 18:1, 39:21, 40:2, 41:10, 46:7, 46:18, 84:19, 97:12, 100:2	meant 39:6	mentioned 19:22	motion 60:1
matches 31:13, 41:3, 42:20, 48:14, 62:14, 81:7,	medical 7:22	merit 107:2	move 36:5
	meet 74:11	met 45:3, 48:18, 97:13	much 16:6
	meeting 53:8, 99:12	michael 101:21	multiple 45:2, 83:10, 84:18, 84:21, 92:15, 92:18, 97:10, 97:13
	member 70:18, 88:14, 88:18, 89:2	mind 59:17, 83:18	must 97:13
	members 32:8, 69:18,	minute 63:22	
		mischaracterizes 15:7	<hr/> N <hr/>
		mission 9:11, 9:19, 9:21, 9:22, 10:3, 10:22, 12:8, 12:9, 12:11, 12:18, 13:2, 13:15, 14:6, 14:8, 14:10, 14:15, 15:11, 57:11, 57:15, 57:22, 58:7, 63:14, 65:20, 66:5, 66:18, 67:3, 67:8, 97:17, 98:19, 103:17, 104:13, 105:2	name 12:7, 19:4, 24:9, 24:15, 25:21, 27:3, 55:14, 55:22, 67:14, 68:12, 68:15, 68:16, 68:20, 69:12, 69:17
		mitigate 26:1, 28:3,	names 18:17, 68:7, 69:6

Transcript of Hao-y Tran Froemling, Designated Representative

Conducted on October 4, 2018

43

national 16:5, 30:8 necessarily 82:19 necessary 15:11 need 36:20, 43:5, 45:3, 59:6, 64:6 needed 72:4 neither 107:10 new 3:7 next 36:5 nine 47:10, 47:12 no-fly 31:2, 40:15, 41:8, 48:15, 66:19 nominate 42:19 nominated 34:3, 34:10, 34:12, 39:16, 41:20, 42:5, 42:19, 44:17, 45:4, 45:9, 45:13, 46:17, 96:10, 97:8, 97:16 nominating 43:11 nomination 32:22, 33:16, 33:22, 35:1, 40:5, 42:9, 43:2, 43:18, 44:4, 44:22, 45:7, 96:2 nominations 34:2, 35:4, 46:11 nor 45:9, 75:14,	107:11 norm 22:16 normal 105:18 northwest 2:7, 3:15, 4:7, 6:13 notarial 107:15 notary 2:15, 107:1, 107:3, 107:21 note 37:10, 41:1 noted 41:10 notes 12:1, 25:9 nothing 7:8 notice 2:14, 5:9, 8:9, 8:14 noting 10:20 now 37:18, 38:8, 58:11, 64:1, 101:3 npr 5:12, 101:4, 101:10 number 6:2, 6:7, 78:10, 78:15, 101:12 numbers 31:22 <hr/> o <hr/> oath 7:20 object 21:18, 29:14, 32:10, 45:15, 45:18, 58:9, 58:20, 60:21,	61:6, 61:17, 62:11, 63:18, 65:16, 66:13, 66:16, 66:20, 67:18, 72:18, 73:6, 73:20, 74:15, 75:4, 76:18, 77:10, 79:7, 79:17, 79:19, 82:6, 86:5, 86:6, 86:22, 87:2, 87:19, 88:8, 90:7, 90:8, 93:4, 94:7, 94:16, 94:18, 96:3, 97:19, 98:21, 102:17, 103:1, 104:15 objected 36:6 objecting 32:16, 58:2, 65:14, 66:22, 67:5, 67:6, 71:4 objections 26:15, 29:22, 30:5, 30:11, 33:17, 36:12, 43:19, 44:15, 51:11, 68:18, 69:9, 70:6, 77:20, 89:16 objective 13:10 observations 10:15, 33:20, 34:1, 34:20 observe 10:4, 11:8, 13:21, 14:2 observed 15:9, 15:13 observes 11:18 observing 10:13 obtained 14:9, 59:18	obviously 89:3, 89:20 occur 13:16, 51:17 occurred 51:1, 51:4, 88:20 occurs 13:18 october 1:18, 6:8, 107:16 off 18:8, 35:22, 36:1, 37:11, 38:4, 38:11, 59:12, 59:13, 78:1, 78:11, 78:13, 103:8, 103:11, 103:12, 104:7, 104:8, 105:14, 106:1 offer 80:14, 104:21 office 27:21, 62:4, 92:7, 98:11, 98:12 officer 107:4 officers 11:7 offices 2:2, 84:3 official 1:12, 61:5, 94:13, 101:18 officials 93:1, 93:13 oh 37:21, 41:17, 80:11 okay 7:1, 7:12, 7:20, 8:16, 8:20, 9:2, 10:14, 12:9, 20:12, 20:20,
--	--	---	---

Transcript of Hao-y Tran Froemling, Designated Representative

Conducted on October 4, 2018

44

36:14, 36:21, 38:8, 39:15, 47:10, 49:14, 54:11, 59:9, 60:3, 60:11, 60:14, 62:7, 62:16, 64:11, 64:18, 70:15, 71:21, 78:8, 80:12, 83:19, 86:19, 90:19, 91:19, 102:2, 103:8 one 6:3, 8:5, 17:10, 18:14, 18:15, 36:14, 37:22, 38:21, 44:8, 46:15, 47:18, 63:9, 68:5, 68:10, 70:1, 74:6, 74:17, 75:6, 76:7, 78:10, 79:13, 81:5, 81:10, 81:22, 82:1, 93:6, 103:2 only 41:12, 45:17, 53:6, 53:13, 89:18, 90:10 onto 36:5 open 78:19 operate 22:17 operates 22:7 operation 18:8, 22:1, 22:10, 26:6, 31:21, 32:7, 32:13, 32:19, 33:5, 33:13, 46:19, 68:22 operational 14:20, 47:14	operations 19:3, 20:2, 20:19, 21:4, 26:12, 28:11, 54:9, 94:22 order 18:2, 18:4, 93:11, 97:13, 105:17 ordinary 11:15 org 37:8 other 7:22, 13:1, 13:3, 13:17, 14:19, 16:22, 17:3, 18:8, 18:17, 22:6, 24:13, 26:5, 28:13, 29:2, 29:12, 45:12, 46:5, 73:9, 73:17, 74:12, 74:21, 75:14, 77:14, 77:16, 80:8, 85:1, 85:12, 87:6, 88:21, 90:1, 95:20, 105:10 others 87:12 otherwise 107:13 our 11:6, 18:3, 18:14, 18:15, 19:22, 20:18, 21:3, 26:12, 28:8, 28:10, 31:1, 33:5, 34:20, 40:12, 40:19, 40:21, 41:2, 42:15, 42:20, 54:8, 54:9, 54:21, 55:4, 55:5, 55:6, 55:7,	55:16, 56:16, 56:18, 68:14, 69:15, 70:15, 74:20, 76:10, 77:3, 80:5, 84:3, 84:6, 90:16, 90:17, 91:3, 92:21, 98:14, 99:10, 101:21 out 11:15, 50:4, 52:16, 94:14, 102:21, 105:19 outcome 107:13 outside 17:1, 45:17, 66:14, 66:21, 77:7, 77:18, 77:19, 79:2 over 67:21, 73:2, 100:17 overall 79:10, 90:16, 97:11 override 76:15 overseas 84:15 oversight 37:2, 55:4, 80:3, 83:22, 84:3 own 71:15, 72:2 <hr/> P <hr/> page 5:2, 5:8, 19:19, 101:9 pages 1:21 paragraph 47:10, 47:12, 49:14, 53:14, 63:21, 64:12,	71:11, 71:12, 71:13, 101:10, 102:2 paraphrasing 102:8 part 9:21, 10:3, 10:11, 11:11, 12:17, 14:14, 27:3, 33:9, 38:18, 39:7, 39:8, 42:6, 45:7, 53:10, 61:3, 81:10, 81:13, 84:14, 85:6, 88:1, 88:17, 93:19, 98:14, 100:4, 100:17, 102:12 partially 49:15, 49:18, 50:12, 52:10, 53:6, 53:13, 64:2, 64:8, 64:15, 69:19, 70:5, 70:9, 70:16, 72:5, 80:17, 81:2, 81:8, 81:10, 81:13, 81:16, 81:20, 82:4, 82:11, 82:15, 82:17, 83:4, 83:7, 96:16 particular 16:7, 52:21, 70:10, 83:2, 83:14 particularly 15:2 parties 107:12 partner 19:5, 19:9, 20:1, 20:6, 20:11, 20:14, 22:1, 22:7, 22:10, 24:9,
---	--	--	---

Transcript of Hao-y Tran Froemling, Designated Representative

Conducted on October 4, 2018

45

24:16, 24:17, 24:22, 25:4, 25:5, 25:15, 26:5, 26:11, 26:18, 46:20, 51:9, 51:21, 51:22, 52:8, 57:5, 57:16, 57:22, 58:7, 68:22, 69:8, 85:15, 89:1, 95:3, 95:12, 97:15, 98:5, 98:11, 98:20, 100:1 partners 85:13, 101:14 parts 81:1 party 86:16, 86:20 pass 25:8, 87:9, 87:11, 87:14 passenger 17:10, 17:14, 34:10, 34:11, 34:22, 40:12, 40:14, 51:7, 74:22, 87:7, 87:11, 90:15, 95:7, 95:18, 98:17 passenger's 32:22, 33:16, 35:1 passengers 9:3, 9:20, 10:5, 10:13, 10:16, 11:8, 11:14, 13:4, 13:12, 14:3, 16:10, 16:17, 16:19, 16:21, 17:12, 18:2, 18:5, 18:16, 18:19, 19:20, 22:18, 22:21,	23:5, 23:8, 23:15, 23:18, 23:19, 24:6, 26:3, 26:13, 27:8, 28:9, 31:2, 31:5, 32:21, 33:15, 34:20, 39:18, 40:20, 42:15, 47:6, 47:16, 49:8, 49:12, 50:10, 51:3, 65:13, 74:11, 75:17, 75:20, 81:3, 81:21, 82:13, 84:16, 84:22, 85:8, 85:10, 86:3, 89:4, 91:22, 93:18, 94:12, 95:11, 95:17, 95:20, 96:11, 96:17, 98:4, 103:6, 105:5, 105:8 past 39:20 pattern 43:10 patterns 27:6, 27:7, 43:9, 65:8, 101:11 pekoske 35:2, 35:9, 36:22, 37:4, 37:17, 37:20, 38:6, 38:10, 38:15, 38:22, 39:6 pending 44:14 pennsylvania 4:7 people 38:16, 101:16, 102:14 per 17:9, 18:13,	24:2, 26:10 percent 93:11 perform 13:21, 14:1, 21:5, 21:12, 23:4, 23:8, 33:18, 40:12, 48:14, 49:4 performed 34:1 performing 13:9, 14:2, 14:5, 14:7, 15:10, 33:20, 86:17, 93:9 permalink 37:13 person 41:20 persons 82:19 perspective 48:11, 95:16 phone 6:19, 31:22, 71:2 phrase 49:15 physical 21:12, 76:2, 85:7 picture 38:5 pieces 45:2 place 6:12 plain 64:12 plaintiff's 38:1, 60:1 plaintiffs 1:6, 3:2, 6:18, 6:19, 6:20, 7:10 planet 6:11, 7:2 play 37:18, 38:8	please 6:14, 7:3, 25:13, 35:21, 44:13, 59:11, 71:10, 78:7, 80:11, 103:10, 104:6, 105:12 plot 50:16, 51:6 plots 51:20 point 13:19, 65:7, 83:8 policy 22:15, 63:3, 67:14, 67:22, 68:13, 68:16, 68:21, 69:6, 73:1, 94:21, 94:22, 102:3 pool 38:18, 39:9 portion 37:3, 37:16, 56:5, 71:19 portions 35:16 posed 64:1, 64:7, 64:15, 72:5 potential 13:15, 14:3, 27:6, 28:2, 34:7, 47:20, 49:5, 49:11, 83:22, 90:12, 101:17, 102:21 potentially 11:13, 11:16, 29:9, 32:3, 50:14, 58:21, 61:7, 73:15, 73:22 precise 27:15 preparation 60:5
---	--	---	--

Transcript of Hao-y Tran Froemling, Designated Representative

Conducted on October 4, 2018

46

<p>prepare 10:14, 10:21</p> <p>prepared 8:13, 97:18, 99:4</p> <p>prescreening 21:12, 40:12</p> <p>presence 13:12</p> <p>present 4:21, 17:13, 26:3, 42:16, 47:6, 49:8, 49:12, 50:5, 50:10, 53:3, 75:17, 81:4, 81:22, 82:14, 96:11, 96:17, 100:17, 100:21, 102:10</p> <p>presenting 96:20, 103:7</p> <p>preserve 37:13</p> <p>president 79:11</p> <p>prevent 86:20</p> <p>preventing 8:1</p> <p>prevents 93:13</p> <p>previous 39:16</p> <p>previously 17:20, 27:1, 33:18, 42:5, 47:11, 63:13, 74:8, 75:7, 75:16, 102:8</p> <p>primarily 12:8, 22:7, 69:15</p> <p>primary 13:9, 13:14</p> <p>prior 13:22, 15:7, 16:16, 17:2,</p>	<p>23:8, 23:11, 24:2, 40:16, 45:2, 60:7, 69:14, 87:7, 105:3</p> <p>prioritization 92:10, 92:17, 92:20, 93:2</p> <p>privacy 55:5, 80:5, 84:7, 89:22</p> <p>privilege 28:19, 29:10, 29:16, 29:22, 30:6, 30:12, 30:19, 31:20, 32:4, 32:5, 32:11, 32:17, 33:3, 34:7, 34:17, 36:19, 39:13, 40:9, 43:7, 43:14, 43:21, 44:7, 46:1, 46:14, 48:8, 50:19, 51:13, 52:5, 52:13, 53:22, 58:21, 61:7, 70:1, 70:21, 71:5, 72:14, 72:19, 73:7, 73:14, 73:15, 73:21, 73:22, 74:6, 74:16, 75:5, 82:22, 86:7, 87:4, 87:21, 88:9, 88:12, 89:10, 91:8, 91:18, 96:5, 97:2, 97:22</p> <p>procedure 67:22</p> <p>procedures 22:15</p> <p>process 31:1, 88:9, 90:16, 92:11,</p>	<p>92:19, 94:21, 102:20, 103:5, 105:18</p> <p>processes 56:16</p> <p>processing 105:19</p> <p>program 5:11, 18:3, 37:5, 38:13, 38:17, 38:19, 39:8, 50:15, 51:9, 56:19, 62:17, 62:20, 63:4, 64:14, 68:2, 68:22, 69:8, 73:3, 101:15</p> <p>programs 17:11, 18:8, 18:14, 18:15, 18:18, 22:18, 26:5, 36:15, 47:19, 84:1</p> <p>prosecuted 38:14, 38:16, 39:1</p> <p>prosecution 52:1</p> <p>protect 10:1, 13:12</p> <p>protection 4:5, 9:20</p> <p>proven 100:7, 100:13</p> <p>provide 12:21, 13:10, 13:11, 15:3, 56:14, 65:17, 73:17, 79:15, 87:17, 88:3, 104:4</p> <p>provided 12:11, 13:2, 14:22, 35:6, 45:9, 56:9, 61:3, 80:15, 85:22</p>	<p>provides 23:11, 23:14, 29:18, 30:2, 30:9, 68:1, 84:15</p> <p>providing 8:1, 14:18, 54:8, 85:20, 88:21</p> <p>public 2:15, 56:6, 102:1, 107:1, 107:4, 107:21</p> <p>published 59:21, 101:4</p> <p>pulls 101:11</p> <p>purporting 27:22</p> <p>purports 58:13, 62:8</p> <p>purpose 13:14, 13:21, 64:13</p> <p>purposes 10:12</p> <p>pursuant 2:14</p> <p>put 27:18, 47:9, 87:9</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">Q</p> <hr/> <p>quarterly 55:3, 55:17, 56:1, 56:5, 56:9, 56:15, 80:4, 84:1, 84:2, 84:5, 100:18</p> <p>question 15:17, 16:22, 21:11, 21:13, 21:19, 22:3, 22:5, 23:1, 24:11, 26:8, 26:20, 27:13, 27:14, 29:4,</p>
--	--	--	---

Transcript of Hao-y Tran Froemling, Designated Representative

Conducted on October 4, 2018

47

29:11, 32:2, 33:8, 33:9, 36:5, 36:14, 37:17, 38:2, 38:9, 41:14, 42:1, 42:4, 43:4, 43:12, 43:20, 44:6, 44:9, 44:14, 46:13, 47:2, 48:7, 57:7, 57:17, 60:12, 61:9, 61:14, 63:12, 63:19, 65:3, 65:16, 66:7, 67:2, 68:4, 68:9, 68:11, 69:21, 70:3, 70:17, 70:22, 71:7, 72:15, 72:21, 73:8, 73:16, 76:6, 77:16, 78:19, 78:21, 79:18, 81:1, 81:11, 82:7, 83:12, 83:16, 85:3, 85:18, 86:9, 88:10, 89:9, 92:13, 96:4, 97:20, 97:21, 98:7, 98:22, 99:7, 102:5, 102:19, 103:20, 105:4 questioning 36:6, 36:8, 59:19, 77:11 questions 36:11, 38:1, 46:4, 59:1, 59:2, 105:10 quick 35:19, 104:1 quickly 83:18 quote 64:2, 64:13,	64:19, 101:10, 102:15, 102:16 quoted 60:9 <hr/> R <hr/> race 93:15, 93:17, 93:20, 93:22, 94:11, 94:13, 94:22 rachel 4:4 random 84:21 rate 95:19 rated 56:21 read 33:11, 33:12, 35:14, 35:16, 44:12, 44:14, 48:1, 48:2, 60:12, 62:9, 62:12, 62:13, 64:16, 64:21, 64:22, 65:9, 66:2, 71:22, 72:8, 78:21, 79:1, 102:2, 104:11 reading 107:9 reads 47:13 real 104:1 realtime 107:3 reason 7:22, 85:2, 85:12, 97:8 reasonable 48:18 reasons 84:18, 84:21 receive 23:12, 24:7,	87:8 recognize 8:9 recommendations 87:17 record 27:15, 33:12, 35:22, 36:1, 36:3, 37:11, 37:14, 59:12, 59:13, 59:15, 78:1, 78:11, 78:13, 78:15, 79:1, 103:8, 103:11, 103:12, 103:14, 104:7, 104:8, 104:10, 104:11, 105:14, 105:17, 106:1, 107:6 recorded 37:7 reduced 107:8 refer 19:13 reference 19:22, 31:16, 31:22, 32:8, 32:14 referenced 36:21, 80:20 referencing 10:10, 35:7 referral 12:20 referred 13:1, 15:12, 15:16, 71:8 referring 69:14, 71:19 refers 21:3 reflect 73:1, 102:3 regarding 25:20, 27:2, 56:16, 56:17,	56:18, 59:1, 62:20, 68:1, 68:13, 68:21, 69:7, 80:6, 80:15, 80:16, 80:17, 83:21, 87:12, 88:16, 92:11, 93:2, 94:4, 98:16, 99:13, 104:22 regardless 97:11 registered 107:2 regular 55:3, 105:21 regularly 55:6 reiterate 44:16, 88:2, 90:9, 96:7 relate 14:20 related 10:21, 11:6, 12:16, 12:22, 13:17, 14:19, 15:3, 15:15, 16:16, 17:11, 39:17, 47:7, 49:3, 50:11, 52:19, 70:9, 83:22, 85:7, 100:15, 107:11 relates 20:10, 53:2 relations 3:6, 6:17 relationship 30:14 religion 93:15, 93:17, 93:20, 93:22, 94:11, 94:14, 94:22 rely 36:15 remember 7:15, 7:17
---	--	--	---

Transcript of Hao-y Tran Froemling, Designated Representative

Conducted on October 4, 2018

48

repeat 44:9, 70:3 rephrase 100:11 report 11:19, 12:7, 77:4, 84:4, 97:17 reported 1:22 reporter 7:2, 7:3, 33:12, 58:14, 79:1, 104:11, 105:16, 107:1, 107:3 reporting 31:7, 33:6, 47:5, 47:8, 48:12, 48:20, 49:6, 50:3, 50:9, 52:16, 56:2, 60:20, 80:1, 100:15 reports 10:15, 10:21, 12:3, 12:8, 12:9, 12:11, 13:2, 54:4, 54:7, 54:21, 55:17, 55:22, 83:22, 98:19, 99:4, 99:9, 99:13, 101:10 represent 6:15 representative 7:13 representing 6:11, 6:17, 7:2 requested 107:10 require 25:3, 75:18, 75:21, 84:17 required 23:15 requirements 23:6, 23:7	requires 12:20, 23:19, 86:14 requiring 22:19, 86:4 respect 84:10 responsive 45:5 restrictions 86:1, 86:10, 86:19 result 42:6, 84:5, 97:16, 99:22 results 22:9, 22:16, 95:7, 99:11 retain 98:3, 98:18 retained 98:17 retention 98:15, 99:2 review 15:1, 35:17, 53:16, 53:19, 54:4, 54:8, 54:21, 56:1, 71:15, 72:2, 80:3, 84:3, 90:17, 93:10, 99:11, 100:18 reviewed 55:3, 55:6, 98:11 reviewing 48:11, 90:14, 95:6, 100:14, 100:19 reviews 27:22, 48:19, 56:6, 56:9, 56:15, 84:1, 84:5, 89:22, 102:9 right 24:2, 38:4,	49:2, 59:10, 59:16, 61:15, 63:13, 76:22, 78:6, 84:2, 84:12, 105:12 rights 55:4, 55:18, 80:4, 84:6, 89:22 rise 15:15 rises 12:19, 13:17, 14:17, 16:3 risk 17:4, 17:13, 18:5, 18:16, 26:3, 27:9, 31:5, 42:16, 47:7, 49:9, 49:11, 50:10, 50:11, 50:14, 51:2, 70:13, 74:11, 75:18, 81:4, 81:22, 82:14, 86:4, 92:22, 95:12, 96:9, 96:12, 96:18, 96:20, 97:11, 102:10, 103:7 risk-based 17:11, 17:22, 47:13, 47:19, 48:10, 73:10, 73:19, 76:4, 77:9, 79:4, 84:11, 99:5, 102:13 rmr 1:22, 2:15 room 59:7 rule 31:9, 31:10, 33:22, 42:17, 43:1, 43:17, 84:19, 92:9,	95:22, 96:14, 97:8, 97:12, 100:1, 100:22 rule-based 21:12 rules-based 16:17, 17:10, 18:14, 18:15, 18:18, 21:5, 22:18, 34:10, 34:11, 34:22, 36:9, 36:15, 95:18, 105:1, 105:5 run 17:22, 21:15 <hr/> S <hr/> said 27:10, 27:15, 39:7, 64:5, 75:8, 78:17, 101:18, 107:7 same 15:12, 26:15, 29:21, 30:5, 30:11, 31:1, 31:13, 33:17, 42:22, 43:10, 43:16, 43:19, 44:15, 49:19, 51:11, 51:15, 56:21, 57:6, 65:17, 68:18, 69:9, 70:6, 77:3, 77:20, 89:16, 93:19 say 25:6, 28:7, 45:6, 45:8, 51:1, 53:5, 53:12, 69:13, 70:15, 76:1, 82:16, 100:12 says 62:17, 64:6, 64:13, 64:19, 65:20, 71:14
--	---	--	--

Transcript of Hao-y Tran Froemling, Designated Representative

Conducted on October 4, 2018

49

scheduling 93:19 scheme 14:14, 79:10 scope 16:13, 17:1, 23:2, 23:22, 45:17, 54:2, 66:14, 66:21, 67:6, 67:11, 69:20, 86:6, 87:1, 94:6, 94:17, 96:21, 101:19, 103:19, 104:16 screening 1:10, 16:9, 18:6, 19:3, 19:21, 20:2, 20:18, 21:3, 21:6, 21:13, 22:19, 22:22, 23:5, 23:8, 23:13, 23:16, 23:17, 23:19, 24:5, 24:8, 25:3, 30:15, 36:16, 41:5, 49:10, 51:2, 51:3, 66:2, 70:14, 75:19, 75:21, 76:2, 84:17, 85:1, 85:7, 85:8, 85:9, 85:21, 86:4, 86:15, 86:18, 87:10, 90:5, 90:21 scrutiny 34:14 seal 61:11, 61:16, 107:15 second 37:16, 59:3, 101:9, 103:9 seconds 77:21	secretary 76:14, 77:2, 77:4 secrets 29:9, 32:4, 73:15, 73:22 section 19:19 secure 11:9, 13:10, 13:12, 18:3, 33:20, 40:13, 55:1, 84:14, 98:14 securing 9:19, 11:8, 14:15 security 4:6, 4:15, 4:16, 9:20, 10:2, 10:12, 11:10, 12:17, 12:18, 13:10, 14:6, 15:11, 26:2, 28:1, 28:2, 30:8, 31:7, 31:8, 47:13, 47:14, 47:19, 47:21, 48:4, 48:10, 48:12, 48:13, 48:21, 49:13, 49:20, 52:17, 52:19, 52:20, 53:4, 53:17, 54:6, 54:9, 61:21, 62:3, 70:11, 71:16, 72:3, 76:15, 77:1, 77:2, 77:5, 77:8, 79:3, 81:20, 92:22, 102:13 see 60:19, 62:14, 71:19 seem 11:15	seen 8:11, 58:18, 60:14 selectee 31:3, 34:4, 34:13, 38:18, 39:9, 40:15, 40:16, 41:8, 43:9, 44:2, 45:14, 48:15, 67:4, 67:10, 84:20, 85:16, 97:15, 98:5 selectees 41:20, 57:12, 58:8, 62:10, 63:15, 65:2, 65:21, 91:14, 98:20 selection 104:22 senate 37:1 senator 37:3, 37:17, 38:12, 38:20, 39:1 senior 54:8 sense 88:13, 90:13 sentence 47:13, 64:18, 71:14, 72:1, 72:8 separate 16:8, 30:22, 31:11, 40:18, 49:3 separately 99:21 separating 102:20 september 35:3, 35:10, 37:2 series 17:22, 49:4	service 8:17, 8:22, 15:19, 61:11, 62:5 set 56:21, 95:10, 107:14 seven 98:17 share 16:4, 22:9, 22:16 shared 23:13 sharing 86:2, 86:20, 87:13, 90:14 she 77:5 shorthand 107:1 shortly 6:18 should 13:16, 22:21, 23:12, 78:18 show 38:4 sic 36:3 side 38:1 signature-91vk7 107:19 signing 107:10 silent 19:5, 19:9, 19:22, 20:6, 20:11, 20:14, 22:1, 22:7, 22:10, 24:9, 24:16, 24:22, 25:15, 26:4, 26:11, 26:18, 46:20, 51:9, 51:21, 51:22, 52:8, 57:5,
---	--	--	---

Transcript of Hao-y Tran Froemling, Designated Representative

Conducted on October 4, 2018

50

57:15, 57:22, 58:7, 68:22, 69:8, 85:15, 95:3, 95:12, 97:15, 98:5, 98:10, 98:20, 100:1 similar 17:21 simply 20:20, 42:14, 42:17, 96:8, 96:14, 97:7 since 56:19 single 17:15 sir 38:22 six 101:2 skies 5:11, 17:5, 17:9, 17:15, 18:4, 18:7, 18:13, 18:15, 19:4, 19:8, 20:1, 20:2, 20:6, 20:19, 20:21, 21:3, 26:4, 26:11, 28:10, 34:3, 34:10, 34:11, 34:12, 34:20, 34:21, 35:3, 37:5, 38:13, 38:17, 38:19, 39:8, 39:17, 39:18, 39:21, 40:3, 40:5, 41:2, 41:6, 41:11, 41:12, 41:20, 42:7, 42:8, 43:8, 43:17, 44:2, 44:19, 44:20, 45:7, 45:10, 45:14, 46:7,	46:9, 46:10, 46:18, 46:19, 47:18, 50:15, 51:7, 51:16, 51:21, 51:22, 52:8, 56:22, 57:4, 57:11, 62:10, 62:17, 62:20, 63:4, 63:15, 64:13, 65:2, 65:7, 65:12, 65:21, 67:15, 68:2, 68:14, 68:17, 73:3, 85:15, 91:13, 91:21, 92:9, 95:3, 95:12, 95:16, 95:18, 97:8, 97:15, 98:4, 98:10, 98:20, 100:1, 100:6, 105:1, 105:5, 105:8 skipping 65:6, 65:19 slightly 19:6 smc 65:22 some 14:9, 15:2, 25:10, 78:17, 94:20 someone 41:19 something 11:16, 13:18, 50:22, 77:22 somewhat 25:7, 62:9 somewhere 17:16 soon 92:9 sorry 9:14, 21:14, 25:17, 41:17,	44:9, 44:11, 51:21, 54:12, 70:3, 76:10, 76:17, 80:11, 83:11, 86:11, 88:15, 89:12, 89:13, 104:1, 104:2, 104:5 sources 29:5, 101:12 south 4:17 southeast 3:7 speak 46:5, 89:19, 97:6 speaking 54:3, 76:22, 90:13 speaks 52:16 special 9:11, 9:22, 10:3, 57:11, 57:15, 57:22, 58:7, 63:14, 65:20, 66:5, 66:18, 67:3, 67:8, 97:17, 98:19, 103:17, 104:13, 105:2 specific 10:5, 16:18, 23:13, 33:6, 47:8, 51:7, 52:7, 53:2, 65:8, 69:12, 76:4, 77:9, 79:4, 82:19, 85:14, 87:18, 88:6, 89:6, 90:6, 90:20, 92:1, 92:2, 97:17 specifically 37:1, 60:6, 63:17	speculate 51:19, 95:1 speculation 39:11, 42:11, 94:19 split 103:9 stand 35:21, 59:11, 78:2, 78:7, 103:10, 104:6, 105:12 standards 48:18 stands 41:18 start 67:21, 73:2 starting 37:15 state 6:15, 29:9, 29:21, 32:4, 44:16, 53:15, 61:20, 73:14, 73:22, 75:15, 77:22, 94:10, 101:12 stated 13:22, 27:1, 33:18, 37:11, 42:5, 42:14, 46:3, 46:5, 47:8, 48:10, 62:15, 63:22, 75:13, 95:10, 99:21, 102:7, 102:8, 103:4 statement 24:2, 39:16, 69:14, 80:17, 96:7 states 1:1, 3:14, 6:5, 20:5, 20:13, 65:7, 65:21 stay 59:9
--	---	--	--

Transcript of Hao-y Tran Froemling, Designated Representative

Conducted on October 4, 2018

51

stenographically 107:8 steps 93:10 stopped 41:19 story 5:12, 101:4, 101:10 strategic 101:22 street 2:7, 3:15, 4:17, 6:13 subcomponent 8:17 subject 17:14, 22:21, 66:6 subjected 34:13 subjects 65:22, 66:19, 67:4, 67:9 subsequent 41:10, 95:19 subsequently 39:22, 40:3, 97:5 subset 28:10 subsets 19:2 such 40:18, 41:1 suite 4:8 summarizing 53:14 supervision 107:9 supply 15:19 support 42:9, 43:10, 43:17, 44:3, 46:11 supporting 96:1	supports 43:2 suppose 79:10 sure 24:13, 33:7, 33:9, 35:20, 42:4, 45:6, 72:2, 74:8, 75:8, 84:12, 86:9 surveilled 38:13 suspected 40:1, 40:4, 48:19, 53:9 suspicion 48:18, 53:9 suspicious 10:21, 11:1, 11:14, 11:18, 12:1, 42:18 swear 7:3 sworn 7:7 system 28:8, 40:21, 54:22, 74:10, 74:20, 93:11 systems 89:4, 89:21	target 65:7 targeting 36:10 telephone 3:5 tell 22:20 ten 49:14, 53:14, 63:21, 71:12, 71:13, 77:21 term 80:20, 83:4 terrorism 15:3 terrorist 1:10, 13:18, 14:19, 16:9, 30:15, 36:15, 42:18, 48:19, 49:18, 50:16, 51:10, 51:20, 52:10, 64:3, 64:9, 64:20, 66:2, 71:9, 71:17, 72:7, 72:10, 72:17, 80:18, 81:2, 81:13, 82:5, 82:17, 90:3, 90:5, 90:21, 96:15, 96:16 terrorist-related 12:22, 15:16, 16:3, 27:6, 27:7 terrorists 40:1, 40:4, 49:16, 53:9, 64:2, 64:8, 64:16, 69:19, 70:5, 72:6, 81:2, 81:8, 81:10, 81:16, 81:21, 83:7 testified 7:9, 35:2, 35:9, 63:13,	86:13 testify 7:7, 8:13, 45:16, 89:17, 90:10 testimony 8:1, 13:22, 15:7, 16:16, 17:2, 23:11, 25:13, 35:6, 35:14, 35:16, 36:22, 37:6, 37:13, 39:3, 39:19, 45:2, 80:15, 83:21, 84:9, 87:8, 104:22, 107:7 text 64:12 th 4:17, 4:18, 107:15 than 24:13, 87:6, 95:19 thank 104:19, 105:9 that's 12:20, 37:11, 37:19, 49:2, 50:6, 50:11, 51:6, 62:9, 67:20, 78:5, 81:10, 81:19, 100:5, 105:11 their 9:21, 10:12, 10:22, 11:11, 11:14, 12:8, 12:16, 13:9, 13:14, 13:20, 14:2, 14:7, 14:14, 15:10, 28:7, 40:5, 43:9, 52:2, 93:9, 94:4, 94:14, 99:3, 102:12
	T		
	tab 8:5, 19:15, 58:11, 101:2 take 35:19, 59:3, 77:22, 78:3, 104:1 taken 107:5, 107:7 taking 6:12 talk 50:21 tapes 78:7		

Transcript of Hao-y Tran Froemling, Designated Representative
Conducted on October 4, 2018

52

them 30:22, 42:17, 43:11, 47:9, 65:12, 85:22, 91:22 themselves 6:15, 31:9, 31:11, 31:15 then 14:21, 16:20, 18:1, 28:10, 32:21, 33:15, 41:20, 47:9, 47:18, 50:9, 54:22, 64:11, 64:18, 78:6, 81:11, 81:21, 84:6, 86:18, 104:20, 105:19 there 7:22, 12:1, 12:18, 15:14, 17:2, 18:3, 23:6, 24:3, 24:14, 24:21, 36:5, 37:17, 40:14, 48:16, 48:22, 49:1, 50:4, 52:15, 52:16, 53:1, 53:2, 62:18, 76:19, 77:7, 79:2, 82:3, 83:17, 86:1, 86:19, 90:22, 92:10, 92:19, 93:7, 94:18 there's 14:16, 25:4, 25:10, 45:2, 53:6, 53:11, 56:11, 60:9, 84:3, 92:15, 93:10, 94:8, 97:10, 97:13, 99:9 thereafter 107:8	therefore 70:16, 75:18, 77:2, 77:5 these 22:18, 47:4, 53:10, 69:16, 70:15, 75:17, 81:6, 81:7, 81:8, 85:10, 96:10, 96:17 they 6:15, 10:1, 10:15, 10:20, 10:21, 11:7, 11:11, 11:19, 12:1, 12:21, 14:9, 14:15, 15:11, 16:17, 16:19, 22:21, 24:3, 24:5, 24:15, 25:22, 31:1, 31:5, 31:11, 40:17, 40:18, 41:7, 45:6, 50:6, 51:4, 53:8, 53:13, 55:5, 65:22, 70:12, 70:14, 83:8, 84:19, 85:1, 85:2, 85:5, 85:6, 85:8, 85:9, 87:7, 88:15, 90:14, 99:11, 99:12, 100:7, 104:18, 105:7 they're 25:22 they've 100:12 thing 15:12, 49:19 things 83:11 think 67:21, 69:13, 82:10, 83:5	third 47:12, 65:6 this 6:12, 6:16, 8:9, 8:14, 23:3, 38:5, 38:8, 41:6, 47:7, 49:3, 49:7, 49:9, 50:5, 56:19, 58:18, 59:19, 60:5, 60:7, 60:8, 60:15, 60:19, 61:4, 61:5, 61:20, 62:7, 62:8, 62:12, 63:9, 64:12, 64:19, 66:15, 72:22, 77:10, 78:9, 78:14, 80:2, 80:16, 84:9, 84:14, 87:1, 88:13, 94:6, 97:20, 101:4, 101:9, 102:2, 105:13, 107:12, 107:15 those 12:3, 19:7, 23:19, 25:1, 26:14, 31:10, 31:13, 34:1, 36:11, 36:12, 40:2, 40:22, 41:2, 46:8, 47:16, 48:17, 49:7, 51:16, 52:18, 52:21, 52:22, 53:11, 54:5, 55:2, 56:3, 56:5, 56:8, 63:9, 68:7, 75:21, 80:10, 80:13, 84:5, 84:16, 92:11, 93:15, 97:4, 98:15, 103:5	though 41:9, 67:21 threat 39:2, 49:5, 49:6, 50:4, 50:5, 50:9, 50:13, 53:3, 53:6, 53:8, 53:11, 64:1, 64:7, 64:14, 70:10, 72:4, 80:1, 81:9, 81:18, 82:14, 83:2, 83:5, 83:9, 83:14, 95:9, 100:15, 100:16, 100:19, 100:20 threats 26:1, 28:1, 28:3, 31:7, 47:20, 48:12, 48:20, 49:1, 49:13, 51:10, 52:17, 52:18, 52:21, 53:16, 54:5, 54:6, 54:7, 56:2, 56:4, 56:17, 71:15, 72:3, 75:11, 101:17, 102:21 three 8:14, 41:13, 58:11 thursday 1:18 thus 41:4 thwart 13:14 tied 81:17 time 6:9, 35:22, 36:3, 40:5, 41:7, 59:12, 59:15, 103:14,
--	--	---	--

Transcript of Hao-y Tran Froemling, Designated Representative

Conducted on October 4, 2018

53

104:7, 104:10 titled 62:8 today 6:10, 7:2, 8:2, 8:11, 37:12, 45:18, 89:18 today's 6:8 together 81:17 top 38:11, 61:10, 61:15, 61:20, 62:2, 62:8 topics 8:14, 23:2, 23:22, 66:15, 66:22, 87:1, 94:6, 94:17 totality 44:3, 45:1 tower 4:18 track 80:12 tradecraft 27:7, 64:4, 64:10, 64:20, 71:9, 71:18, 72:7, 72:11, 72:17 traditional 65:22, 66:5, 66:18, 67:3, 67:8 trained 11:7 tran 1:16, 2:1, 5:2, 6:3, 7:6, 78:11, 105:14 transcript 5:7, 8:8, 19:18, 58:17, 101:8, 105:17, 107:6 transportation 4:16, 37:2,	61:21, 62:3 transportationse- curityadministra- tionoversight 37:9 travel 27:6, 27:7, 43:9, 43:10, 64:3, 64:10, 64:20, 65:8, 71:9, 71:17, 72:7, 84:16, 101:11 travelers 27:4, 47:15 traveling 101:16, 102:15, 102:22 trends 64:20 trigger 70:19, 71:3 triggered 92:9 triggers 42:22 trip 97:18 true 107:6 truth 7:8 truthful 8:1 try 49:4, 49:8, 49:11 trying 45:5, 50:13, 77:14, 83:13 tsa's 17:10, 17:11, 18:17, 25:2, 30:14, 36:15, 40:19, 45:12, 47:19, 52:9, 62:17, 73:10, 73:18, 74:3,	74:9, 74:11, 74:13, 74:21, 77:15, 79:16, 80:6, 88:3, 88:17, 89:15, 90:6, 90:15, 98:12 tsa-specific 76:9 tsc 90:14, 90:18, 91:4 tsdb 17:1, 31:13, 31:16, 31:22, 32:9, 32:14, 32:22, 33:16, 34:1, 34:2, 34:4, 34:11, 34:14, 35:1, 39:18, 39:22, 40:4, 40:6, 40:22, 41:11, 41:21, 42:6, 42:9, 43:2, 43:11, 43:17, 44:4, 44:19, 44:22, 45:4, 45:8, 45:10, 45:14, 46:9, 46:11, 46:18, 47:22, 48:5, 48:14, 48:17, 49:3, 49:20, 50:7, 53:1, 53:10, 66:11, 69:18, 70:4, 70:18, 71:2, 81:12, 81:15, 95:14, 95:19, 96:2, 96:10, 96:20, 97:9, 97:14, 97:16, 100:3, 103:18, 104:14 tsdb's 41:8 turn 8:4, 47:10,	101:2 turning 64:11, 72:22 two 19:15, 20:20, 26:14, 78:15, 81:1, 81:16, 83:17, 98:13 typewriting 107:9 <hr/> U <hr/> under 7:20, 38:13, 46:21, 65:22, 76:10, 107:9 undergo 23:15 underlie 43:16 understand 7:20, 40:21, 42:4, 62:7 understanding 26:17, 36:11, 39:5, 39:15, 39:19 understood 36:7 underwear 53:15 united 1:1, 3:14, 6:5, 20:5, 20:12 unknown 48:17, 49:15, 49:18, 50:12, 52:9, 53:13, 64:2, 64:8, 64:15, 69:19, 70:5, 70:8, 70:16, 72:5, 80:17, 81:1, 81:8, 81:9, 81:13, 81:16, 81:20, 82:4, 82:11, 82:15, 82:16, 83:3,
--	--	--	--

Transcript of Hao-y Tran Froemling, Designated Representative

Conducted on October 4, 2018

54

83:7 url 37:11, 38:5 use 28:7, 49:15, 93:17, 93:20, 94:10, 94:22 used 19:7, 43:17, 44:2, 45:7, 46:10, 94:2, 94:13 using 93:14 utilized 16:20	35:17, 37:8, 38:5 videographer 4:22, 6:2, 6:10, 7:1, 35:21, 36:2, 59:11, 59:14, 78:2, 78:6, 78:9, 78:14, 103:10, 103:13, 104:6, 104:9, 105:12 videotaped 6:3, 78:10, 105:13 view 30:21, 50:2 viewed 96:9 virginia 1:2, 4:19, 6:6 virtue 33:21, 34:9, 44:20, 52:7, 70:14, 97:7, 100:13 visible 87:11 visit 101:17, 102:16, 102:22 voice 6:14	was 7:9, 8:6, 19:16, 24:14, 25:21, 34:13, 36:5, 37:4, 45:7, 47:11, 56:22, 57:5, 58:15, 59:21, 59:22, 60:3, 60:4, 71:12, 76:11, 77:16, 85:15, 101:4, 101:6, 105:4, 107:5, 107:7, 107:10 washington 1:17, 2:8, 3:8, 3:16, 4:9, 6:13 watch 17:3, 17:21, 31:2, 31:13, 35:4, 42:21, 87:16, 88:2, 88:5, 88:14, 89:2 way 29:11, 40:12, 40:21, 42:9, 60:12, 75:9, 88:7 we'd 25:8 we'll 59:9, 104:3 we're 22:19, 38:8, 50:13, 83:10, 100:19 well 11:9, 46:1, 65:18, 73:14, 78:6, 89:18, 104:3 were 24:15, 40:2, 40:3, 41:7, 45:6, 51:17, 63:21, 71:13,	83:17, 84:19, 87:15 what 9:11, 11:1, 12:3, 12:19, 15:18, 17:5, 17:21, 18:17, 22:17, 26:17, 29:5, 29:11, 30:14, 37:10, 38:19, 39:5, 41:8, 44:16, 46:4, 48:3, 51:1, 51:3, 52:9, 54:11, 54:13, 54:18, 55:2, 55:9, 55:17, 56:15, 59:20, 62:12, 62:15, 66:5, 67:14, 68:7, 68:16, 69:6, 72:10, 72:17, 73:9, 73:17, 74:2, 76:3, 79:15, 80:8, 82:12, 86:1, 86:9, 86:19, 89:5, 89:12, 89:14, 90:6, 93:13, 102:8, 105:6 what's 8:4, 19:8, 58:11 whatever 70:9 when 10:1, 16:3, 24:15, 28:7, 39:6, 50:2, 54:4, 56:22, 57:5, 57:10, 57:14, 75:15, 85:3, 85:20, 100:19 where 16:17, 16:19,
v	w		
vague 24:18, 25:17, 26:20, 33:1, 41:22, 42:10, 43:3, 67:16, 68:11, 69:3, 69:9, 93:16, 94:5 vagueness 79:19, 82:8, 87:3, 90:9 validation 100:4 various 51:6 velazquez 4:22, 6:10 versus 85:11 very 16:6, 50:21, 50:22, 51:16, 62:12, 81:5, 83:12, 84:13 via 3:5, 18:3, 24:5, 24:6, 25:2, 51:7, 55:1 video 6:9, 6:12,	waiving 91:18, 92:5 want 21:13, 38:4, 75:8, 75:22, 79:13, 80:9, 80:14, 81:5, 83:21, 84:8, 85:5, 101:2, 104:21, 105:6 wanted 36:10, 84:12 warrant 14:17		

Transcript of Hao-y Tran Froemling, Designated Representative

Conducted on October 4, 2018

55

23:6, 24:9, 49:9, 53:19, 54:13, 54:18, 59:17, 65:20, 71:12, 87:15, 99:21 whereof 107:14 whereupon 7:5 whether 13:16, 23:12, 54:7, 58:6, 84:19, 88:18, 97:12, 100:20 which 13:19, 16:9, 19:3, 23:15, 28:11, 36:6, 36:12, 37:11, 47:11, 49:9, 53:7, 53:9, 55:15, 58:12, 81:21, 86:2, 101:3 whispering 25:7, 25:11 who 6:15, 26:17, 27:10, 27:16, 34:13, 39:7, 40:2, 40:3, 45:13, 47:21, 47:22, 48:3, 48:4, 49:8, 49:19, 49:20, 66:11, 77:8, 79:3, 82:13, 92:1, 93:13, 96:19, 98:4, 101:18, 102:14 who's 24:16 whole 7:8 whom 47:17, 107:4 why 13:2, 25:15,	49:2, 50:6, 53:7, 53:13, 85:2 will 6:18, 12:21, 15:11, 19:6, 19:15, 44:16, 68:11, 100:15, 100:21, 101:3, 105:7 with 6:16, 9:18, 10:11, 16:4, 21:22, 22:6, 22:8, 22:10, 28:5, 28:13, 29:2, 29:18, 31:22, 32:9, 32:14, 35:8, 39:15, 55:4, 60:19, 74:2, 74:9, 74:13, 74:19, 74:21, 75:1, 75:12, 75:13, 79:14, 80:4, 80:5, 80:6, 84:3, 84:5, 84:10, 85:6, 86:17, 88:7, 89:22, 90:14, 90:17, 105:5, 105:8 within 17:1, 60:8 without 91:18, 92:5 witness 7:4, 21:20, 25:12, 29:17, 30:1, 30:7, 30:13, 31:19, 32:5, 32:12, 32:18, 35:6, 36:7, 36:20, 41:16, 43:6, 43:15, 43:22, 45:16, 57:19, 58:3, 58:10,	58:22, 60:16, 61:8, 61:13, 61:18, 63:1, 63:11, 63:20, 65:5, 65:15, 66:9, 66:17, 67:1, 67:7, 67:12, 70:22, 71:6, 72:15, 72:20, 73:8, 73:16, 74:1, 80:19, 80:22, 84:2, 84:12, 102:18, 104:5, 104:18, 105:3, 107:14 won't 73:1 word 19:7, 76:11 words 62:3 work 28:5, 73:1, 74:20, 75:1 worked 24:14 works 7:18 would 6:14, 7:1, 7:3, 9:8, 9:15, 10:7, 11:3, 11:15, 12:5, 12:14, 13:19, 14:8, 14:12, 16:2, 16:6, 16:12, 17:4, 17:7, 21:9, 27:5, 27:9, 28:3, 36:18, 37:12, 39:11, 40:8, 40:18, 40:22, 41:1, 41:2, 45:3, 51:15, 53:21, 59:16, 63:6, 66:21, 79:11, 82:16,	83:2, 83:8, 85:14, 87:8, 92:19, 93:1 <hr/> Y <hr/> yeah 19:14, 21:15, 24:16, 60:17, 71:12, 78:1, 78:5, 89:14, 100:5, 104:5 years 98:13, 98:17, 99:3 yes 7:16, 7:19, 7:21, 8:10, 8:12, 8:15, 9:6, 18:13, 18:14, 21:4, 26:16, 35:11, 37:21, 38:7, 50:2, 59:5, 59:20, 60:6, 60:17, 60:18, 61:1, 61:3, 62:6, 62:15, 62:18, 63:7, 63:8, 63:16, 64:6, 65:10, 66:4, 68:6, 69:5, 71:20, 72:9, 77:5, 80:19, 80:22, 83:5, 86:18, 95:6, 95:20, 102:7, 103:4, 104:5, 105:3, 105:18 yet 39:1 you'll 59:9 you're 7:20, 35:7, 41:1, 62:1 you've 63:13, 97:12 your 8:4, 15:17,
--	---	---	---

Transcript of Hao-y Tran Froemling, Designated Representative

Conducted on October 4, 2018

56

16:22, 21:11, 26:17, 29:3, 33:8, 33:9, 39:5, 47:10, 49:14, 58:12, 63:21, 64:4, 70:17, 71:8, 73:4, 77:16, 80:17, 80:21, 81:11, 83:21, 84:9, 101:2, 104:22, 105:16	59:12, 59:15, 78:12, 78:16 13 58:12, 63:17 1300 4:7 14 19:19, 35:22 16 1:8, 6:7, 107:15 19 5:10	26 78:12 27 104:10 28 59:22, 60:7, 105:15	8 8-minute 37:16 9 90 41:13
Z		3	
zamora 1:22, 2:14, 7:2, 107:2	2	30 5:9, 101:5, 107:17 32 36:3 344 4:10 3694 4:10 375 6:7 38 37:16	
0	20 59:3, 103:14 2000 2:9, 3:17 20003 3:8 20005 2:8, 3:16 2010 57:9 2011 57:4 2018 1:18, 6:8, 37:3, 57:13, 58:12, 59:22, 63:15, 63:17, 101:5, 102:11, 107:16 2019 107:17 202 2:9, 3:9, 3:17, 4:10 20229 4:9 20598 4:19 209464 1:20 21 104:7 227 4:20	4 4.4 4:8 40 1:19, 6:9 4294 4:20 451104 37:8 453 3:7 48 78:16 488 3:9	
1		5	
1 37:8, 103:14, 104:7, 104:10, 105:15 1-hour 37:16 10 1:19, 6:9, 36:3 100 93:11 101 5:12 107 1:21 11 35:22 1100 2:7, 3:15, 6:13 12 4:17, 4:18,		6 514 2:9, 3:17 571 4:20 58 5:11	
		601 4:17	